

~~SECRET~~

11 MAY 1964

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

SUBJECT :

[Redacted]

REFERENCE : Course Report dated 29 April 1964

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

1. Comments by students after completion of the recent course reinforced our impression that the course was quite good. The group did indeed function as a real seminar with much group participation and much interchange among the more experienced and the less experienced participants.

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

2. The presentations by [Redacted]

Peter Baranowski, and [Redacted]

(b)(3)

were all considered effective and could well be continued in the next running of the course. [Redacted] presentation should be revised; many students had difficulty following the detailed organizational analysis. A more general discussion of [Redacted] may well serve the needs of this course better. [Redacted] theoretical presentation on [Redacted] should likewise be revised to meet the needs of this course by showing more concisely and concretely the interrelations [Redacted]

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

3. The course was generally weighted toward a theoretical approach rather than toward heavy inclusion of the nuts and bolts of operations reflected in case histories. Without weakening the doctrinal presentation, three hours could probably be spent profitably in the next course on a case history problem like [Redacted] which is completed and available.

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

4. We agree that the reading material could be made more valuable by tying it into specific class presentations.

5. These suggestions having been made, however, we think the basics of the course should be maintained to make the next course as good as this one was.

(b)(3)

(b)(1)

[Redacted]

~~SECRET~~