(b)(3)

12 April 1966

HEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Officer, Office of Personnel

SUBJECT:

Consultant Pay Legislation

REFERENCE:

Memorandum from Executive Assistant to Director of Personnel, DDI, Same subject, dated 14 February 1966

Sorry for the long delay in providing you with the additional information on consultants you requested in your reference. We now have the new team pretty well briefed so we can get on with other things.

/I find it rather difficult to give you as specific informat<del>ion</del> as you would probably like on the types of consultants we use and the kinds of service they provide. Instead, let me talk about how a couple of our offices use consultants. 7 ONE, for example, has about a dozon outstanding men comprising the Princeton consultants. These men are scholars, mostly from the academic world, although some are from other institutions. For example, the former President of the Council on Foreign Affairs, the Editor of Foreign Alfairs, the Chairman of the Carnegie Institute, and the President of the Export-Import Bank are members of the Princeton consultants. These nen meet about twice a year to review estimates with the Board of National Estimates. They bring to bear expertise of inestimable value on problems of vital national importance. Similarly, ORR uses distinguished scholars and industrial leaders to advise on the research techniques and substance of many of their economic reports.

The guidance these men provide the DDI is almost priceless. It has reached the stage where the \$50 per

day consulting fee is almost insulting. It cortainly does not come close to compensating them for the importance of the contribution they make. While all of them do their work out of a sense of patriotism, they are extremely busy men whose time is extremely valuable. Bone of the industrial leaders work without compensation, but those from the academic world supplement their regular income by their consulting fees. Since the competition is so fierce for their time, they are receiving higher and higher fees from other organizations. Some examples vill illustrate this point. One of the CRR consultants regularly receives \$150 a day and recently told ORR he would like this from the Agency. A notes demographer turned down CBI because he received bigher fees from other Government agencies. By appealing to his patriction, ODI finally secured the services of a noted authority on VSSI geography, but only after negotiating with him for a year. Another geographer refused to work for us because he was getting \$250 a day from the State Department. Finally, one of our Princeton consultants wrote us that he is now getting \$1,000 per month from American Tolephone and Telegraph Company for one or two days of work per month! While we cannot expect to compate with ATST, we must be able to pay more than \$50 a day to attract and keep the calibre of men we need as consultants.

- 4. The problem is worsening with the competition on the one hand and the Director's insistent urging that we make more use of consultants. We have considered alternate arrangements to compensate consultants, such as paying them a fee for a product, but this would be a circumvention of our own regulations because those men are really being used as consultants. We would prefer not to get involved in this subterfuge, but we may be forced in to it.
- 5. From the above, you can tell how urgently we request that you support an increase in compensation for consultants. We believe that we would average about \$150 per day for them, with the range being absent exclusively in the \$100 2000 a day range. We would, of course, centinue to regotiate for a reasonable fee.

6. Please advise me if you need further information.

LAWRENCE G. WOODWARD Assistant for Personnel, DDI

DDI/aam

## Distribution:

Original & 1 - Addressee
1 - ODDI Chrono
2 - ODDI Admin.
1 - ORR ADmid.

.

(b)(3)

