

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SECRET

19 May 1954

M/R

SUBJECT: UJDREADFUL Conference

ATTENDANCE: @ KUEHNE  
@ SCHACH  
[ ]  
[ ]  
[ ]

1. Agenda agreed upon:
  - a. Presentation by [ ] of PCB case against UJDREADFUL in resumé form.
  - b. Presentation of ZIPPER investigation results to date by @ SCHACH in resumé form.
  - c. Limited discussion of points raised in a. and b.
  - d. Formulation of combined POB-ZIPPER committee (those in attendance) recommendations for solution of problem.
2. [ ] , utilizing summary of investigative findings prepared by [ ] , gave a quick run-through, condensed to 16 points, of derogatory information against UJDREADFUL. These points were noted in writing by ZIPPER representatives.
3. @ SCHACH presented results of ZIPPER's investigation to date. In brief this consisted of following:
  - a. Shortly after start of case, @ REISSNER was inserted into Dienststelle 5 Staff as deputy to UJDREADFUL. @ REISSNER is a former German General Staff Officer who was not briefed on the UJDREADFUL case but who was informed that he had the mission to examine the security of Dienststelle 5's operations and personnel (including UJDREADFUL himself) and to report to headquarters upon any item or incident that might appear to him suspicious in any way. He was placed upon his honor as a former German General Staff Officer in the fulfillment of this commitment. According to @ SCHACH, the results of this investigation/surveillance since January 1953 have been completely negative.
  - b. @ SCHACH interrogated those two present members of the Organization who are most knowledgeable, he felt, on the personal and professional abilities of UJDREADFUL. The first of these was @ ACKERMANN, the leader of Dienststelle 73. Again @ ACKERMANN was not briefed as to headquarters' suspicions of UJDREADFUL but was asked to give his personal assessment. The results of this, and these were based in part upon @ WONDRAK's assessment which had been ex-

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2006

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SECRET

- 2 -

pressed to @ ACKERMANN, consisted of 100% endorsement of UJDREADFUL. (Incidentally, in the course of this, it was learned that the person who recruited UJDREADFUL for ZIPPER was one @ HAASE, fnu, who has since been dropped by ZIPPER, and not @ WONDRAK as had been previously supposed.) The second person interviewed by @ SCHACH was @ PFEIFFER, who also gave a 100% endorsement of UJDREADFUL in his assessment.

c. The next step in the investigation was to examine all of the Forschungen and operations of Dienststelle 5 since it was established in 1952. This consisted of a detailed review of each operation and a general review of the Forschungen. It was thorough and extensive in form but concluded in each case with a finding not unfavorable to UJDREADFUL. Each adverse aspect in this operational review was explained plausibly at least to ZIPPER. (Incidentally, in the course of discussing this point, @ SCHACH alluded to the fact that he depended for his basic material upon the compilation efforts of @ HAMMER and @ WINTER. [ ] inquired as to the extent of briefing on UJDREADFUL case of these two gentlemen to which @ SCHACH replied that they had both been briefed in December and January just past, but @ WINTER less so than @ HAMMER.)

d. The next step in the investigation centered around the results of two graphology reports on UJDREADFUL's handwriting. @ SCHACH did not have with him, or at least pretended not to have with him, the dates when these two reports were composed. The first of these, however, was conducted some time ago based upon a handwritten Lebenslauf submitted by UJDREADFUL. The second of these is purported to have been given the psychological analysis treatment by an unidentified professor from the University of Munich. In both cases, according to @ SCHACH, UJDREADFUL came off with a clean bill of health insofar as the UJDREADFUL aspects are concerned.

e. The final step of the ZIPPER investigation thus far, according to @ SCHACH, is not yet completed. This centers around the overall Organization security self-examination now in progress. (Incidentally, POB was lead to believe that this had already been completed.) Specifically, it involved the issuance of a Personal History Questionnaire being disseminated by headquarters to all members of the Organization. @ SCHACH stated that for the express purpose of eliciting information from UJDREADFUL on certain key questions about his past that he had inserted several questions which would not otherwise have been included. This phase is not completed, however, since the questionnaire in its final form has not yet received final approval from UTILITY. After this occurs the questionnaire will then be printed and disseminated. All in all @ SCHACH foresaw a delay of circa 4 or more weeks. This, in turn, would create more delay waiting for the forms to be completed and sent in to headquarters.

4. A brief discussion ensued during which some theorizing was done by both sides as to the possible significance of different points in the adverse information. \* The let by Frau LEISNER is in the letter dated 2/12/53 and is based on the handwritten Lebenslauf which we sent to ZIPPER.  
R&B.

SECRET

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SECRET

- 3 -

tion discussed. It was pointed out to ZIPPER that the investigations conducted so far fell into two categories:

- a. ZIPPER's attempt at evaluation and assessment of UJDREADFUL based upon an examination of his operational achievements.
- b. POB's investigation of UJDREADFUL's personal affairs, conduct and background history.

(Co-incidentally, the two categories above point up the long-known differences in the German and American approaches to establishing reliability of information, that is, the Germans look solely to the product to determine the good of the source; the Americans look principally to the source to determine the validity and authenticity of the product.)

a. POB pointed out to ZIPPER that whatever solution was found our KUBARK headquarters would have to concur therein. Also stressed very heavily was the fact that POB insisted upon a solution of this case prior to any positive operational commitments by UJDREADFUL of agents into the CSR. ZIPPER was told that KUBARK would accept no responsibility nor give any support to any such dispatches until this case was resolved. They were further told that the responsibility for whatever might happen to any such agents would rest squarely on the shoulders of UTILITY.

5. [ ] stated that we were of the opinion that a joint investigation and a completely mutual approach to the case was necessary. ZIPPER representatives agreed fully. In such an approach ZIPPER was told that we would like to be as thorough as possible, investigating all leads through all available means and measures. However, since this would be time-consuming and time was of the essence, especially in view of UJDREADFUL's plans for positive operational commitments into CSR, a faster solution was absolutely essential. @ SCHACH, anticipating the POB recommendation, stated that he felt the best and fastest solution would be to bring the case to a head by a confrontation of UJDREADFUL. Consequently the following plan to accomplish this end was mutually agreed upon as the joint recommendation of this committee for consideration by UTILITY and [ ]

a. UJDREADFUL would be personally confronted by a joint investigative team who would interrogate him orally on all the points of doubt or suspicion resulting from the joint POB-ZIPPER investigation. This interrogation, it was agreed, should take place under the most favorable conditions to insure best results including electric recordings of all the conversations. UJDREADFUL would then be asked to answer in writing all of the questions previously asked.

b. A period of oral re-interrogation would be conducted to attempt to reconcile any differences between the previous two interrogations.

SECRET

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

- 4 -

c. A final re-interrogation would then be conducted utilizing LCFLUTTER. It was agreed that at this time UJDREADFUL would be asked if he would be willing to submit to re-interrogation involving the use of LCFLUTTER. If he agrees the interrogation would proceed as planned. If he failed to agree then, in view of the history of the case and his previous voluntary acceptance of LCFLUTTER, UJDREADFUL would be dismissed from the Organization. (Towards the end of this meeting, after the above program had been decided upon and while some minor points were still being discussed, @ SCHACH left the room to get a message from his headquarters. During this time @ KUEHNE, who had previously expressed no objections to the use of LCFLUTTER, in this case stated that the only part he worried about in the proposed joint solution was the possible emotional reaction of UTILITY to the LCFLUTTER use. [ ] then pointed out to @ KUEHNE that it might be worthwhile to tell UTILITY that indeed the re-use of LCFLUTTER as proposed might in itself serve to clarify the first adverse LCFLUTTER report on UJDREADFUL. He stressed the fact that in fairness to all concerned, especially to UJDREADFUL himself, in a case such as this where an initial LCFLUTTER has been unfavorable, additional trials are very desirable and can indeed result in the subsequent exoneration of a person who has been brought under suspicion by a one-time run-through. @ KUEHNE noted this suggestion with great interest and stated that he would bring out this point when reporting to UTILITY.)

6. It was agreed that both sides of the committee would make a complete report of this meeting to their Staff chiefs, after which, if the proposal was acceptable and approved by KUBARK headquarters, detailed plans for its implementation would be formulated.

[ KDA ]

SECRET