

1. Circumstances of conferences. After delays caused by absences at various times of officers who have contact with the **Graglets**, a conference was arranged between ______ and ______ which occured over luncheon at the Restaurant Zur Linde, Vienna I, Rotenturmstrasse 12, on 16 March 1953. ______ an old friend of ______ who is not a member of the covert element of BROADWAY, made it clear that he was speaking for the covert element. On a recent visit to Salzburg, he had attempted to learn what was known about Josef Adolf URBAN by CRUELER, and he had been advised by Mr. ______ to query ______

2. Topics Discussed. _____had prepared a memorandum (Appendix A herewith) for his own use indicating the topics in the order in which he hoped to discuss them; the conversation followed this list in order. _____had also prepared a memorandum on UPPAN's connections (1 copy at Appendix E herewith) for passage to ______ at the end of the conversation.

3. Structure of URBAN's Operations.

a. Subordinates [] permitted [] to copy the following list of names from his notes: Franz PROHASKA Friedrich KINDL Heinrich KLAUS Franz KRATOCHVIL Ludwig GESCHWANDTNER Stefan KIEFER Tranz KICHTER DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3028 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2001 2008

URBAN allegedly gave these names to his BROADWAY case officer as those of members of his collecting mechanism. Gratlas traces are negative on all these names; GRUELER and GRAGORA traces in Vienna and Salzburg are being run.

CLASSIFICATION

Guel. At B H/W'

FORM NO. 51-28 A

 \Box



EAVA- 2166 19 March 1953 Page 2

b. Other associates. which he said were objects of curiosity on the part of BROADWAY. BROADWAY wished to learn whether those persons exist and what is known about them.

> Robert KNECHTI. Josef HAMMERL Heinrich FROEHILIGH Wilhelm Anton CERLEY

Gratlas: traces are negative on all these except FROEHLICH, on whom $\Box \Box$ gave verbal traces to \Box , identifying FROEHLICH as the man whom UREAN had introduced to various persons as his "Chief of Staff." (\Box \Box)Note: This introduction was made to GRUESOME/201, who was not mentioned in the conversation with \Box \Box). A card in the Gratlas files indicates that in 1918 we possessed a report on Wilhelm OERLEY, but this report is no longer in the Gratlas files.

c. Involvement with Dr. Wilhelm HOETTL. Early in the conversation [] said rather offhandedly that he hoped we would be able to avoid protracted speculation over the status of HOETTL, but later he stated that, in his opinion, there was undoubtedly an operational connection between the two men. []]commented that in the case of GIS oldtimers, it is always difficult to know from week to week which cases have been picked up and which dropped, adding that, as a matter of habit, we are always interested in any information on HOETTL.

d. The Possibility of Deception. Late in the conversation, advanced as a theory to be considered the possibility that Soviet Intelligence is permitting the collection of garbage or is actually passing garbage to the URBAN group in order to establish a channel for future deception. This idea was evidently a new one to ______ and he gave as his immediate opinion the judgment that a garbage operation is much too low level and too loose to be expected to function as a deception channel. He agreed that this did not rule out the possibility of a connection between URBAN and the Soviet Intelligence which might be maintained for some reason not at the moment apparent to us.

e. Contact with BROADWAY. ______ stated that the URBAN operation was purely under the jurisdiction of the covert elements of BROADWAY and that he was not aware of the identity of the case officer handling the operation. He implied that there was direct contact between a British national and URBAN. He stated that BROADWAY receives two types of information: (1) miscellaneous goesip and rumor of a general nature and (2) waste paper collected from Soviet installations in Austria. He added that only the second category was of interest to BROADWAY."

f. Contact with ZIPPER. and ZIPPER but affected not to be abreast of recent organizational developments in ZIPPER or of the precise relation between ZIPPER and KUBAEK. He rather ingenuously asked whether the group, which C. group was not coded as RUSTY. mame, no longer in use and did not volunteer the KUEARK cryptonym, although it is probable that this crypt is known to BROADWAY since it is known to GRUELER.

EAVA- 2166 19 March 1953 Page 3

stated that ZIPPER maintains a base near Munich at which KUBARK also maintains a base and added that ZIPPER operations generally follow the pattern of the Abwehr so that the acquisition of precise operational information through ZIPPER channels is frequently impossible. Talso made it clear in answer to a question of that KUBARK bases in Austria do not have direct contact with ZIPPER agents.

Lasked C] directly g. Contact with FIS and the \sum whether he was aware that URBAN had other customers than BROADWAY, and ZIPPEK. _____ stated that he did not believe that the garbage is being passed to other Cuscomers and that, although URBAN is known as having had French connections in 1947-48, he did not believe that the French were involved in this operation. Then stated that the French were receiving some part of the take from this operation through certainly in Paris and possibly in Innsbruck. J by true name but did not identify ⊐ he]identified Identified the nationality and affiliation of the group, and [indicated some familiarity with the activities of this group in Ankara in the period immediately following World War I.

4. Joint Discipline of URBAN.

a. POB Proposal (PULL 5016). _____proposed officially that KUBARK, PROADWAY and possibly the FIS agree to gang up on URBAN and demand complete operational information on his operations, suggesting that a single price be paid by this customer-cartel and that all customers receive the total take.

b. Reaction. replied that he personally would favor such a course of action although he was a little uncertain about the mechanisms of establishing cooperation with the French. He indicated that he has liaison with a French Intelligence representative in Vienna and might be able to present such a proposal through this man. I indicated that we have never engaged in local liaison with the French and suggested that perhaps the proper place to coordinate with them would be in Paris, where presumably **POADWAY** KURARK and the French all have some sort of contact with the Chief of the group. Hen indicated that he would refer the question to the covert element of EROADWAY for their reactions.

____ pointed out that to be effective any joint disc. Mechanics. cipline of URPAN would probably have to be announced to him simultaneously by all of the representatives of Western intelligence services with whom URBAN is in contact. This would presumably require a conference in Salaburg at which members of five nationalities would come to know each other. ______stated that he would not care to invite any intelligence officer to expose himself at such a meeting] and that he was not certain a board rate officer would care to elf to a ZIPPER principal agent. []] aid that perhaps some to 匚 expose himself to a ZIPPER principal agent. arrangement could be made for recognition signals whereby a representative could be delegated by the covert agencies to meet URBAN and announce the change. agreed that these details could be worked out later when reactions and L _____indicated that he himself of BROADWAY and KUBARK had been received.

EAVA- 2166 19 March 1953 Page 4

would be willing to meet URBAN on behalf of the EROADWAY case officer and suggested that ______ might be willing to meet URBAN on behalf of KURARK. ______ expressed reservations on this point.

Enclosures: Appendix A Appendix B

Distribution:

- 3 EE
- 1 Grower
- 1 Gratlas
- 1 Carding
- 1 POB.(via SOB)
- 1 Fran (vi.a SOB)
- 1 WTL/CE(471)
- 1 DMM (521)

APF TX A to EAVA- STICE

ATION

16 Baroh 1953

Notes for Graglat Conference on URBAR.

1. RUMANN received a report in Summer 1952 that UNEAN was doing business with NAUDER. Since KAUDER was suspected of soviet affiliations and since UNEAN was at that time providing garbage to the ex-Abwehr Group which KAUARN sponsors in Germany, Samington put out several queries.

2. What is the present status of KAUDER vis-a-vis Broadway?

3. What is the present status of U-RAN, vis-a-vis Broadway?

4. Notes on WERAM connections are to be handed to Braglet/ and discussed after 2 and 3 above have been exhausted.

5. Is raglet aware of any sponsorphip of UNBAR other than Broadway and 21pper? (Try to learn extent of knowledge of FIS and _____ connections.)

6. What is the status of C ____, vis-s-vis Brundway?

7. Propose joint financial and operational control of MBAS as suggested by POB. (FUL-SOLG: "We hope solve UREAN case if all his customers, FIS, BIS, AIE (Sipper) present coordinated demand all details U BAN operations as price continue taking his product on basic single fair price from all customers who all to get complete take."

3. Must is Gragist/ 's present assessment of ROETTL?

9. What is traglet/ 's opinion of the theory that Soviet Intelligence may be using fabricator organisations as witting produkting channels for deception?

GN

Notes on the Connections of Josef Holf Urban

BEST AVAILABLE COP

"PENDIX B to EAVA- 2166

1. Frank Eupertsborger, Folizeidirektor of Link, forwarly Suberheitsdirektor of Opper Austria, Socialist, moneored in his police career by Minister of Interior Sekar Helmer, functioned jointly with Trban as principal agent of an expensive SC operation which provided vast quantities of fabricated information on Hungary, Csechoslovakia, the Austrian Communist Farty and the Cominform during 1947-49. Rupertsberger was able to suppress the evidence against Grean in the joint British-American prosecution of the leaders of the Mazi Schweize Forsment, of which Brean was one of the founders, and thus prevent Urban's execution for treason under Austrian law, Supertsberger's key subordinate during this period was the colice official Siegfried Feter.

×,

2. Frits serdnick & Freddy sener, a hotsl-keeper in Salzburg, functioned as courier and cut-out between Peter/Urban/Repertsharger, to the Czech fabricator group of Files Drobeda and (initially) to Sergel John, white Russian representative of seneral Dissenap, as will as to several American offices in Certany. Fordnick is or has been in close touch with Josef Klampfar of Gras.

3. Josef Fascher, now in covint custody, is best known for his activity as part of the brham/Supertstorger complex. His specialty has always been fabricated information on the Austrian Communist Party, but he has also provided CIC with quantities of fabricated CB. It abould be noted that Urban is currently furnishing Communist Party information to numerous customers, and Mascher is thought to be the source of this particular information, which has been recently determined to be fabrication. In 1947-50 Mascher provided false information on the nonexistent Cominform General Staff which he attributed to a secretary in the office of Walther Hollitscher, a Communist propaganda official in Vienna. Mascher, incongraously enough, was also at this same time one of the numerous sources of reports contioned in paragraph 9 below.

4. Wilman Hoarth, currently residing in Alt Aussee and Using his journalism and publishing for covor (v. Die Geheime Front, by Walthor Hagon & Hoettl, published by the Mibelungenverlag, which is owned ostensibly by Moettl's wife and is reported to be largely financed by loviet funds through the journalist and allogedly French-Soviet double agent Alexander Vodopivec), was referent in CD-Leitunguabschnitt Wien and as such Urban's operational superior. Hostil's chief was Willi Canek, chief of HEMA/Ant WI E in Vienna, who is now active In neo-Kazi politics in Funich and is in steady correspondence through his secretary Fliszbath Franks, who has acted as a letter-drop or accomposition address for Urben, with Wettl. Hoettlis perhaps the most active former 30 san in Austria, being involved directly or indirectly in nearly every intelligence venture. Like Urban he made an extremely good living in 1947-49 by supplying fabricated information to (IC. He represents himself as the official Corman MI representative for Southeastern Furopo. There have been persistent reports for several years that ibettl is a Soviet agent. "These are supported by a known connection between Rost 1 and the Nationale lize and by unclarified instances of direct association with ovict agents.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

5. Herbert Baumann, a lawyer now in covist custody, st. had been imprisoned by the Main for registance activities and who was the first president of the Union of Academic Freedom Mighters, was apparently first contacted in 1947 on behalf of brban and Graf. His fiances and later wife joined the Commannet Farty in 1945, was employed as a secretary by the Ministry of Interior in 1947 and assigned to the Soviet Civil Consorship Office in Vienca, where she is thought to be functioning at the present time as some sort of supervisor of Austrian personnel. She is reported now to be a trusted and fairly bigh-ranking Communist. Her position in fivil Consorship would normally bring her into contact with coviet intelligence officers who say be presimed to have access to the take from Coviet military cansorship, which would include material suitable for passing as trash.

6. Alfred Alkovsky # Alfred Huber, now in ovist sundoy, is known to have had contact with Trban as early as 1917. In is reported to have good Tovist and communist contacts, since his uncle is the indigenous manager of the Notel Imperial, a boviet billet and headquarters wilding in Vienna.

7. Josef Klampfer, Aungarian Volksdeutsch living in Oraz, furnisched fabricated hungarian information, much of which originated with lare blein, in an expensive "IC operation of shich the principal agents were Earl Inkler of Salzburg and Karl Krautsborger of Vienna (now Funich)./ Winkler and Krautsborger were sponsored by Ferdinand Graf in en attempt to interest CIC in a resistance organization. Mampfer is an associate of Fritz perdnick. In late 1951, erdnick reported to Heine Aushn, an informant of GIG in falsburg, that Klampfer had told his that Elepter and the dentist Rudolf weich of Voecklabruck had been apployed by boviet intelligonce since shortly after the var and that they comprised one of goveral deception bases. A number of reports implicating Heich in Coviet espionars activities are on record with 4-2. As include Michard Rauder & Matt in our chart of the Almspfer complex, showing connections between Kauder and Winkler, which actually run through (Tr.) Roman 1008, former official of the Coheine Feldpolizei who is now confidential encretary to Archbishop judiracher of Falshurg. Kaudor's presumed connections with enery intelligence are substantiated by statements to G-2 by British intelligence, which in 1950 dismissed Fauser's close associate fr, Viktor Altsann on suspicion of being under fovist control.

8. Ur. Adolf Slavik, founder and chief of the foviet-sponsored organization of ex-Maris in Austria the Mationale Liga, is presumed to be a collaborator of Urban's, since in a communication intercepted in the susser of 1950 Urban stated that re could arrange a meeting with blavik at any time.

9. Seports circulated in late 1949 and early 1950, which case onto the record via journalistic, police and CIC channels, name Wrban together with the Fauxane group and Vodopivec as members of group sponsored or controlled by the 6000 which had allegedly penetrated Furdihand braf's intelligence service and sure functions deception material on formulist and Cominform matters. This earlies of reports, the sources of which were difficult to ascertain, gave an aggragate impression that some control office had been established (usually said to be located in the (CA) to produce and disseminate false information on communist matters through the intelligence markets of viences. 10. Kainand Birangl, a multiple agent of various western services (British, French, Salas, CIC, Austrian police, etc.) was a creature of Hilhelm Noethl, whom he met through Josef Mascher, and was also as associate of Urban. After numerous adventures he was arrested in the Soviet Zone of Austria and has not been heard from since. His files, which were acquired immediately after his disappearance, indicate in the clearest and most mumaing way the precise marmer in which his material was revised and expended by Hostil for resule to other customers. It is within the limits of conjecture to suppose that Strangl, who undoubtedly broke under Soviet interrogation, is now in Soviet control and may be acting as a channel of deception to Noettl or to the Urban group.

.Fr

. 3.

EAVA - 2166 .