UJ DROLLERY 16

1. Summary

b. In July 1951, V-16530 was approached by the SRIS in Vienna, and by mid-August, 1951, he had begun to report to the SRIS everything he knew about the AIS, both American case officers (thirteen in all, CIC and Detachment 35; one subsequently KUFASK, but soon dropped) and AIS agents. (One FOD memo lists minety-eight persons, all with direct or indirect IS ties, known to V-16530. It is a reasonably safe assumption that he listed nearly all of these for the SRIS. He also listed all information known to him, which was considerable, on an MOB operation.)

c. During a period of hospitalization in Salzburg for TE, November, 1951, to April, 1952, V-15530 was recruited by a sub-agency leader (V-1501) of ZIFFER's UM organization. ZIFFER did run traces with us, and as a consequence (at that time at NUMAR headquarters) strongly advised against recruitment, even suggesting that V-1530 could well be an SRIS agent. The agent was recruited despite this warning, and began to provide the RRIS with all information on ZIFFER which became available to him.

d. For somewhat obscure reasons, V-1h530 confessed to V-1h501 on 17 July 1953, after two years of doubling for the Soviets, that he was an SRIS agent. UN nevertheless decided to attempt to double V-1h530 back against the SRIS. The record makes it clear that, from this point on, V-1h530 told each service most of what he knew about the other. 21PFER apparently believes that V-1h530 withheld from the SRIS the vital fact that he had revealed to MIFFER his status as an SRIS agent, a contention given weight by the Soviet arrest of the agent in Movember or Secember, 1953. On the other hand, analysis of SRIS information which V-1h530 supplied 21PPER, indicates some inaccuracies.

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

Declassified and Approved for Release by the Central Intelligence Agency Date:

SECRITORIE

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)

(2)(A) Privacy (2)(B) Methods/Sources

(2)(G) Foreign Relations

THE SECRET

e. According to _______ the SRIS case officer of V-14530 became suspicious, ca. September, 1953, that his 3/A was actually working against him. The SRIS arrested the agent in late November or early December, 1953, and turned him over to the Bulgarians.

2. Supplementary Information

- a. The information provided by V-1/630 about the SMIS is a curious mixture of truth and falsehood. It appears, however, that the bulk of the information submitted on this subject by V-1/630 was true and significant.
 - (1) He correctly describes his case officer, whom we know to have been Vladimir PRISTROW, MVD Vienna, and also provides a good deal of supplementary information which is accurate at all checkable points (type of vehicle; hobbies; language skills.) On the other hand, his description of the lieutenant colonel whom he describes as the Kommandant in Urfahr (actually, Col. fmu YAKOVCHOK at that time) is wholly wrong. (It remains possible that he saw the man whom he described, and was mistaken only in the position which he assigned him.)
 - (2) He submitted to ZIPFER an S/W pad furnished him by the SRIS. IN TOPAZ analysis (EGTA-02556, 27 November 1953) of a sheet from this pad showed it to be a previously unknown S/W system which "may be classified as being of high security."
 - (3) On the other hand, some operational details reported by V-14530 seem incredible. For example, after entering the Urfahr Komendatura openly, he is disguised with dark glasses and enother man's lat (on another occasion with dark glasses and false moustache) before being driven to a nearly safe house. Yet, at the conclusion of this meeting, his case officer, for no discernible reason, drives him through Urfahr streets, undisquised, for ten minutes before letting him out at the busiest corner in Urfahr this in a Soviet plated wehicle.
 - (h) Yet, when the license number of this car, and other plates reported by V-11530, were checked by VOB, they were found to be known SRIS plates.
 - (5) Similarly, V-11530 told ZIFFER that his Soviet case officer intended to place another highly trained SRIS officer in touch with an old Viennese friend of V-11530, one Hilly KREEFRA, a former (AIS) letter-drop for V-11530. KREWENKA would then inform V-11530 by letter that she was in touch with a Soviet officer who was willing to defect. V-11530 was to show the letter to his ZIFFER case officer, state that he knew the officer concerned and knew him to be anti-communist, but refuse to become involved himself, thereby forcing ZIFFER to reveal a new operative, from whom the Soviet officer would draw ZIFFER ID's.

 \[
 \begin{array}
 \text{ | however, remembers only that V-11530 denounced one Emilia KREVENIA to the SMIS as an AIS agent.

TOP SECRET

- (6) Again, V-14530 reported that at the nineteenth meeting, held in Orfahr, his case officer proposed Weyer as the site of the twentieth meeting. Although, (once again,) the possibility of simple error exists, there is also a possibility of fabrication here. Even on a detailed map, Weyer looks as though it were in the Soviet Zone, whereas, in actuality it is in the American Zone.
- b. UJ DEDILERY 16 is complicated by the fact that three other men additted, truly or falsely, that they were SEIS agents.
 - (1) The most important of these is Ferdinand SCHAEFFER, Volksdentacher, born 3 August, 1924, in Eumania. Like V-11530, SCHAEFFER had an involved IS history. SCHMEFFER told V-11530 that he (SCHAEFFER) had been recruited by Fetru COMSTANTINESCU (a professional peddler, who once had ZIPPER ties, and who was working for the FIS at this time) in 19h9. He was sent to France for training in preparation for cosmitment in Amania, was adjudged unsuitable, and was hired as a safe-house keeper at the school. (Subsequently, some students at this school were among FIS agents air-dropped into Rumarda, and there arrested and tried. POB suggests SCHARFFER's possible complicity, although subsequent interregation and LCFLUTTER failZed to substantiate this suspicion.) At an unknown time prior to 1919, ZIPVER had recruited SCHAEFTER, but dropped him in January of that year for a security breach and suspected theft. CONSTANTIBESCU picked him up soon thereafter, as did MIS. The latter organization dropped him (reason unstated) on 1 March 1950. COMSTANTINESCU appears to have dropped SCHAEFFER in late 1952. According to his own statement to V-14530, SCHAEFFIR was recruited by the SHIS in Vienna in late October, 1952, as the result of a chance meeting with an SRIS recruiter. (Comment: SCHAFFER seems to have been an unscrupulous liar and may well have been recruited by the Soviete long terore this date.) At some point in 1953, SCHAEFFER went to CIC and volunteered the information that he was an SRIS agent, but was so blatant in his attempts to wring money out of this admission, that CIC considered him merely a swindler and dropped all contact with him on 17 August 1953, after forcing him to sign a place (which he promptly ignored) to stay out of IS work. On 28-29 August 1953, SCHAEFFER admitted his SRIS tie to V-14530 and, by his account, made it clear that he was deding with the same case officers who were directing V-lh530. The latter had initially established contact with SCHAEFFER in a Salzburg DP camp about June, 1953, on SRIS orders. These orders were that V-14530 should represent himself as an AIS agent to SCHAFFEE, recruit him for the AIS, and then try to get ZIFFER to buy him. In addition, V-11530 was directed to make inquiries of SCHAEFFER re one Otto HEINTEL MANN (who, subsequent events suggested, was probably, like SCHARFFER, already in the employ of the SRIS at this time.) When SCHAEFFER admitted his SRIS tie to V-14530. he added that be had told only the Soviets about HEINZELEANN and, therefore, had reason now to suspect that V-14530 was, himself, a Soviet agent.

THESECRET

- a. This involved picture is made yet more complex by the fact that V-1h530 promptly reported the above to the SRIS, being indignant that they had blown him to another agent. The SRIS case officer, according to V-1h530, thereupon admitted that SCHAEFFER was a Soviet agent, (Comment: Such an admission seems somewhat improbable,) but reassured V-1h530 by adding that SCHAEFFER had been deceived as to V-1h530's true affiliations, because the SRIS had informed him (SCHAEFFER) that V-1h530 had be armed about HEINZELMANN from the AIS and that the AIS had bearned about him through a leak in the SRIS. (Comment: Such a "reassurance" is obviously absurd. Had the Soviet case officer actually told both V-1h530 and SCHAEFFER that the AIS had a penetration of this type into the SRIS, both agents would have had no choice, but to eachew all SRIS contact from that point on.)
- b. V-11530 alleges that he met SCHAEFFER again on 8 September 1953, and that the latter added yet another element of confusion to the case by reporting that he had met an old friend, one Anton STEFAN, on 6 September, and that STEFAN had confessed to SCHAEFFER that he (STEFAN) was an SRIS agent! STEFAN alleged that he was directed from Floridsdorf/Vienna. (SCHAEFFER, like V-11530, was directed from Vienus and Moedling.) STEFAN had, thereupon, tried to recruit SCHAEFFER on behalf of the SRIS! Later, however, SCHAEFFER was confronted with STEFAN in CIC offices, and concluded that STEFAN was a CIC provocation agent.
- c. Despite the fact that the can was, by now, overflowing with worms, UM decided to recruit SCHAEFFEE, (already dropped once by ZIFFEE for poor security and theft,) and V-14530 accordingly directed SCHAEFFEE to fill out a FES.
- d. V-11530 arrested by the Soviets on or about 28 November 1953. SCHAEFFER was interrogated and LCFLUTTERed by EURARK personnel between 20 and 31 December 1953. The results tend to demonstrate that SCHAEFFER (like V-11530) was indeed a Soviet agent and that he (like V-11530) had, in effect, told the BRIS everything he knew. Finally, checkable information about the SRIS which SCHAEFFER provided ZIFFER, proves largely accurate but is also, for the most part, a duplication of information provided by V-11530.
- e. After this interrogation, SCHAETFER was turned over to the French (see para. 2b (1), above) and sentenced by them to fifteen years at hard labor.
- f. In addition to SCHARFFER and STEFAN, one Otto MAI appears within the UJ DROLLERY, 16 framework as a self-confessed SRIS agent or, more accurately phrased, as a self-claimed KPCe courier to the SRIS in Urfahr and Moedling. MAI made this "admission" to V-11530 (and subsequently to V-11501) on a October 1953. His reasons for "confessing" are, like the motives of V-11530, SCHAEFFER, and STEFAN, extremely obscure. (He claimed that he had long wised to harm the KPCe, although for years his livelihood had been dependent upon that party.) The story told by MaI is so fantastic that it can, upon the fact of it, safely be dismissed as blatent fabrication. MIA offered to obtain and supply SIFFER with KPCe intelligence, and also to make his

THP SECRET into his confidence. PRIBYTER magaleo have been trecting

courier pouches available for examination before delivery, and to do this despite his poverty - without recompense. On 17 Cotober, V-11530 reported the MAI case fully to the SAIS, and thereafter MAI obviously avoided all further contact with V-16530 or V-16501. (Comment: It is possible that MAI is morely a low-level awindler who noted that the constitutionally indiscreat V-14530 was an agent, and decided to try to milk him. It is also possible, however, that MAI was played into V-14530 and V-14501 by the SRMS. V-14501 refused, for a time, to consider the recruitment of Ferdinand SCHAEFTER. FRIETHOW, blocked in this attempt to run a separate line into V-14501, may have decided to make another play without taking V-14530/of whom he was already suspicious) by waiting to see whether V-11530 would report HAI's "confession." (V-14530 did so and the ERIS disclaimed any knowledge of MAI.)

3. Analysis and Commentary

a. It is felt that ZIFFAR ran this show rather poorly. Wi became involved in extremely complicated gambits and shadow-boxing, seamwhile losing sight of fundamentals. Examples follow.

- (1) Neither V-14530 nor Ferdinand SCHAEFFER should have been recruited in the first place. Both had had a long and dublous IS record before ZIPPER recruitment.
- (2) Once V-11530 had admitted an SHIS tie, he should never have been given serious consideration for a D/A role. By the time that he had made this revelation, he was already known to ZIPPER as being a probable homosexual, a very heavy (probably compulsive) drinker, and a person whose emotional instability led him to complemous extravagance. The only person for whom he shows much feeling during this case was his mother: and the fact that she was living in Bulgaria had given the SRIS its initial hold on the agent, by his own statement. To top it all off, V-16530 was so seriously ill with tuberculosis that frequent hospitalization was necessary. In brief, there was no reason to feel that V-11530 could stand the strain of a D/A operation without a split of loyalties, and there was ample reason to feel that he could not.
- (3) V-11530 reported that he was paid fairly generously (by Soviet standards.) ZIFFER, reporting, mentions SHIS payments to the agent only at the tenth, thirteenth, fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth, nineteenth, twentieth, and twenty-first meetings; but it is probable that several other payments were made as well. The reported payments were, respectively, 1500, 2000, 1500, 1500, 2000, 1000, 1000, and 2000 ANU. As in its other U/A cases, ZIPPER apparently made no effort to apply the principle that a D/A must be paid only by the service for which he is actually working, and must turn over to that service all Amids paid him by the opposition. Failure to apply this principle may have been one of the reasons why V-14530 worked "loyally" for both sides. Moreover, the net result was to give the agent far more armay than he could wisely handle, and thus give him the opportunity (of which he availed himself most thoroughly) to upend money commicnously.

TOP SECILET

It is also felt that, as a result of the above, the SRIS gained more from this case than did IIFFER. ZIFFER Is armed no identities (those mentioned I and KURARK/REDGAP in Austria,) and could not even here derive from C be sure of which component of the SRIS was on the other end of the line, (Identified later again by _ _ _ _ _ On the theory that he was protecting the security of V-14530, a theory which seems incredible in view of the fact that V-11530 had already confessed to penetrating the UM organization on SHIS orders, UM allowed a second SHIS agent, SCHARFFER, into his family. Meanwhile, the SRIS had gained a wealth of valuable information, unfortunately, concerned far more with the AIS than with AIPPER. Finally, this two-way flow was permitted before MIPPER could assess the value of what it was getting on the SHIS (as we have noted, some of this information does not seem sound) and without reference to the fact that it could not centrol, or even determine, the kind or assumt of information which would be given the SRIS. The final Soviet arrest of V-11530, and the turning over of the agent to the Bulgarians, cannot be regarded as evidence that the Soviets had come to realize that they were on the losing side in this ball game. On the contrary, it seems likely that the SRIS realized that it had extracted everything of significance that V-14530 could provide, and cold-bloodedly decided to dispose of the agent before they themselves had been hurt.

