

19 November 1946

## MEMORANDON FOR THE DIRECTOR

## Subject: TREATERET OF APECIAL FUEDS OFERSEAS

In a conference with the Executive for Personnel 1. and Administration, the Chief, Finance Division, representatives of Special Funds and the General Counsel's Office, it was considered desirable to discuss certain basic problems conserning the treatment and handling of Special Funds overseas with proper afficials of the General Accounting Office. Accordingly, a conference was held on the afternoon of 12 Neverber in the office of the General Counsel of the General Accounting Office, with Mr. Fisher, Assistant General Counsel, GAO; Mr. Johnson, Assistant Chief of the Audit Division, GAO; Mr. Echols, Guief, Special Funds; and Mr. Houston and Mr. Warner of the General Counsel's Office. The purpose was to discuss two basis problems detailed below. In each case the procedure or their presticed by Special Funds is contrary to normal Government regulations, but in both cases it was felt by representatives of CIG that these practices and theories were necessary to operation and justifiable in view of the special direvestances and the controls exercised by Special Funds. It was folt that it would be inadvisable to request a written epinion from Gid or even a firm oral approval; the most it was planned to achieve was, by explaining what Special Funds proposed to do and how they proposed to do it, to put the office on record that it had kept the interested Government office informed, and so to forestall any oritigian of bad faith or negligence in possible future investigations or special situations.

2. The first problem discussed concerned the practice of considering foreign currencies purchased by Special Funds as "commodities" to the same extent as other property used for operational purposes. It was explained that these foreign currencies are obtained in various and often devicus manners, and, that while full accountability is kept of the eventual expenditure of the foreign currencies, for practical purposes it is desirable to consider the American dollars used in the



HR 70-14

(U)

APPROVED FOR RELEASEDATE: 26-Aug-2008

TOPSECRET

#### The Director

#### 19 November 1946

purchase as expended. This permits stock-piling of required foreign currencies in all parts of the world against projected operations. Such stock-piling is necessary to service world wide secret intelligence operations, the successful performance of which requires long range planning and proparation. In erdinary exchange transactions, the foreign surrenaies would be "money" as much as the dollars with which they are bought, but once purchased and committed to future elandestine operations, they lose their exchangeability and become, in effect, commodities which are carried over for expenditure beyond the end of the fiscal year as are other commodities, and not eredited back to the old appropriation and charged to the new, as deliars would be. Admittedly this in effect supplements the appropriation. However, it was the contention of representatives of GIG that this is not objectionable if good faith is maintained, i.e., just so long as the amounts stock-piled for the supersive fiscal year are for the direct support of continuing or definitely foreseeable needs. Mr. Fisher stabut that, while he had not given the matter much thought, he would have distund that GIG would have to stock-pile currensies for its confidential operations, as it was obviously a necessary move. He comensed that the question of bad faith was the important point, stating that if did put in reports of a million dollars a month for purchase of foreign surreneiss throughout the year and then in June, at the end of the fiscal year, reported ten million, they would immediately question the last report on the grounds of bad faith. Mr. Houston, referring to Mr. Rehols for confirmation, assured Mr. Fisher that CIG would so maintain its foreign exchange activities that it could at all times show that the surgesties purchased were for reasonably foreseeable expenses of projected operations. It was Mr. Pisher's informal opinion that such a showing would be satisfactory in case of general investigation, or complaint on individual items, and that within such bounds of good faith it would be proper to consider as expended all dollars exchanged for foreign currencies.

5. In connection with this discussion, a specific case was discussed concerning an exception taken by the Accounts Division of GAO to a report of miscellaneous receipts made some months previously. In brief, the situation arose because Special Funds had made actual payment in the local currency in China of certain vouchered obligations. The transactions were reported

TOP SECRET

# TOP SECRET

## The Director

-5-

19 Xovember 1946

back on proper vouchers, and Special Funds was reimbursed here by vouchered funds in dollars. This amounted to a reconversion of the local currency into dollars by Special Funds. Here there was in effect a more exchange inducestion on the part of Special Funds; dollars converted to foreign surround with the intent to receive dellars again for this surrency. In such an exchange transaction, any profits realised would be in fact an improper supplement to the appropriation. Under normal eircumstances any such profit would be covered into the Treasury as a missellaneous receipt. Due to the various and often complicated means by which the foreign currency in question was procured, it was found to be, as a practical matter, impossible to get a most value on the currencies with any degree of eccuracy, and it was consequently equally impossible to estimate accurately the smount of the profit involved. In order to avoid possibility of an impreser supplement to the appropriation, it was desided that the full apount of the dollars reinbursed to special Funds, which was in effect a reconversion, should be covered back into the Treasury. This was done, and profits plus cost were turned in as missellaneous receipts. At the time the first report was made, the fast and emount of currency commodity assets in Ghina more considered most confidential. Consequently, the report to the Treasury of miscellaneous receipts was made without detail other than the statement that it was the proceeds of a sale of a commodity. The Audit Division of GAO took an exception to the report. requesting further information.

This situation was outlined to Mr. Fisher and 4. Hr. Johnson. They expressed surprise that the report had been made at all, inasmuch as had the mensy refunded been spont for confidential purposes they would never have been sware of the transaction. It was pointed out that there was always the possibility of an investigation of the handling of unvoushered funds, in which event CIG wished to be in a position to show that, insofar as it was operationally possible, no difference was made in the use of vouchered and unvouchered funds. Inasmuch as vouchered funds would report profit on exchange transactions, unvouchered should do likewise, in order to forestall any claim that the appropriation had been supplemented in an improper and unjustified manner. Mr. Fisher thought that perhaps Special Funds had leaned ever backwards in this matter but certainly saw nothing objectionable from the GAO point of view, and Mr. Johnson stated that if we would make a very brief written explanation directly to him with reference to this conversation, he could arrange to take care of the exception.

SECHEL



#### The Director

13

See State

#### 19 November 1946

Mr. Fisher expressed surprise that this matter had been considered so confidential in view of the fact, as stated above, that he had anton the fact, as stated agency would have stock-wills of fereign correction. It was explained that it was not the existence, but it was the mounts, whereabouts and uses to which put that might be exposed in any woucher explaining such a transaction. It was agreed, herever, that on past transactions the security probion was reduced to the existence that areas.

do with the treatment of dellar edvances sent overseas either for expenditure as dellars on for later conversion into foreign surrency. These dollar advertige would be made by any of three agent-cashiers in this country be disbursing officers of missions or to individuals everyons. Each agent-cashier is 0000, and can requisition funds bonded in the amount of \$200,000, and can requisition funds from the Treasury only to the extent of his bend. When the agent-cashier sends dollars overseas, in strict theory he is making edvances to be seconsted for later. If they are treated morely as advances, he may not request reinbursement from the Treesury until he has completed the accountings, unless the Treasury were willing to allow much agout-sathier to carry huge outstanding advances for in excess of his bend. It was stated to Mr. Fisher and Mr. Johnson that the desirable procedure would be to treat everyness dellar advances, for the purpose of replenishment to agent-cashiers only, as actual expenditures. Thus, if one agent-eachier had forwarded his full \$200,000, he would sammit a voucher for the Director's signature that the funds involved had been properly expended for confidential purposes. This voucher would be acceptable to Mr. Brennen of the Treasury as an accounting, and he would thereupen advance further funds to the agent-cashier to the full extent of his \$200,000 bond. It was made quite elear that, in spite of this practice for replenishment purposes, complete accountability records are maintained at all times on these dollar advances, so that the agency records eventually produce the same information as if the dollar transfers were in all respects treated as advances.

6. It was further pointed out that records were maintained which would indicate at the end of the fiscal year



TOP SFERET

The Director

#### -**5**-

19 November 1946

the amount of outstanding dellar advances overseas, and this amount would be credited back to the old appropriation and charged to the new, so that there would in no way be a supplementation of any year's appropriation. It was felt that this year-ond adjustment obviated any claim of bad faith. Mr. Fisher asked how this reimbursement of agent-cashiers had been handled in the past. It was stated that the procedure outlined above had, in fast, been used. In view of this, Mr. Fisher said he would edvice that GIG continue to use this practice until such time as GAO, for one reason or another, might request that the practice cease; he turned to Mr. Johnson, who said that that would be his advice also. He did not state that GAO would, of its own volition, request CIG to change its practice in this matter, but said it was always possible that investigation or some special incident might compel them to reguire different handling. It was pointed out by the CIG representatives that the preposed prastice created a technical inaccuracy, as the Director would be certifying to the expenditure of funds which, in strict theory, were only advanced. Hewever, these advances were made not as general advances, but were for expenditure on specific projects approved in advance, and if not so expended, were brought back in the appropriation. Mr. Fisher said that if such veuchers were acceptable to Mr. Brennan, his advice was to continue the practice as one necessary to carrying on operations.

7. It is felt that the discussion of the two problens, as outlined above, served the desired purpose. GIG obtained the benefit of the advice from those officials best qualified to pass on such questions. No official ruling or opinion was obtained, and the attitude of Mr. Johnson and Mr. Pisher, while extremely friendly, showed that official rulings might well be adverse to the needs of GIG. Although the discussion was unofficial and off the record, it is felt that a frank discussion of such fundamental problems with the General Accounting Office indicated the good faith of those in charge of confidential funds, and thus not only forestalled possible future criticism but also aided the already friendly relationships with the General Accounting Office. In this sonnection, Mr. Fisher said he was sure further efforts would be made to investigate past intelligence confidential expendi-

THP Sitte

The Director

### -6-

## 19 November 1946

tures, but implied that the pressure would come from Gengress and not his office. He stated, as was well known, that Mr. Warren, the Comptroller General, was opposed to the use of confidential funds and they would be increasingly hard to obtain. The CIG representatives stated that in case GAO had any specific inquiry it was felt that satisfaction could be given without impairing the security of the confidential expenditures, and that if Mr. Warren or his designee wished, CIO would be glad to discuss its system of regulations and controls over the expenditure and accounting of unvouchered funds.

> LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel

CONCURRED IN BY:

Chief, Special Funds

Assignat General Counsel

