WHIApproved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

1 3 MAR 1989

MEMORANDUM FOR:	See Distribution			
SUBJECT:	The Copacabana Incident: The Interdiction of Arms Destined for Colombian Insurgents			(b)(3)
Jamaica of over Revolutionary And largest insurger	cached memorandum analy 10 tons of military of ms Forces of Colombia at group. We expect to diction, FARC will in	ordnance en rou a (FARC)Colom that, despite t	te to the bia's his	
more powerful an	senal it has sought	for some time.	quire the	(b)(3)
2. This pa Transfers Branch	per was prepared by , Office of Global Is	ssues.	Arms	(b)(3) (b)(6) (b)(3)
3. Your co	mments are welcome and ief, International Se	nd may be directed accurity Issues 1	ted to Division,	(b)(3) (b)(6) (b)(3)
				(b)(3) (b)(6)
	International Sec	curity Issues D	ivision	
Attachment: GI M 89-20020	V		- '	,
01 M 03-20020		DATE 2000C	File 89	
		DATE <u>20 Mar</u> DOC NO <u>GT M8</u>	9-20020	(b)(3)
		oir 2		
		P &PD (

SECRET
Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 2050S DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

13 March 1989	
The Copacabana Incident: The Interdiction of Arms Destined for Colombian Insurgents	(b)(3)
Summary	
On 5 January 1989, Jamaican authorities seized ten tons of modern infantry weapons and ammunition that had been delivered to Jamaica on the freighter "Copacabana". the shipment was destined for Colombia's largest insurgent group, the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC), which had purchased the weapons via private arms dealers. This incident illustrates the ease with which insurgent, terrorist, and criminal groups can purchase large quantities of lethal military ordnance on	(b)(1) (b)(3)
the international gray arms market and underscores the potential importance of regional efforts to interdict illicit weapons shipments.	(b)(3)
The Copacabana incident has strengthened the hand of those Colombian officials who believe that FARC is exploiting the current cease-fire, and Bogota will almost certainly use this incident to renew its call for greater international cooperation in controlling the sale of infantry weapons. Nevertheless, we expect that FARCwhich earns millions of dollars annually by taxing or controlling illicit drug production in parts of Colombiawill eventually succeed in acquiring large quantities of powerful military ordnance.	(b)(3)
This memorandum was prepared by Arms Transfers Branch. Comments and queries are welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, International Security Issues Division, Office of Global Issues	(b)(3) (b)(6) (b)(3)
GI M 89-20020	(b)(3)

SECRET

3)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659

The Copacabana Incident: The Interdiction of Arms Destined for Colombian Insurgents	(b)(3)
The seizure by Jamaican authorities of ten tons of ordnance on 5 January 1989 foiled an attempt by the largest insurgent organization in Colombia to acquire thousands of modern infantry weapons ideal for combating military and counternarcotics forces. The methods used by individuals and firms involved with procuring these weapons on behalf of the Colombian insurgents illustrate the ease with which private arms dealers can purchase large quantities of lethal military weapons for resale to insurgent, terrorist, and criminal groups.	(b)(3
The Seizure	
On 22 December 1988, the Panamanian-registered freighter "Copacabana" delivered a shipping container with ten tons of modern infantry weapons to Kingston, Jamaica. The armsostensibly destined for the Colombian armed forceshad been loaded onto the Copacabana earlier that month in Setubal, Portugal. In accordance with Jamaican regulations, the weapons were temporarily stored in a Jamaican Defense Force warehouse pending the arrival of a privately-owned,	
aircraft	(b)(1)
to fly the shipment to Colombia. Eveleigh, a dual UK/Panamanian citizen and director of the Panamanian firms "Bluewater Shipmanagement Company" and "Copacabana Shipping, SA," had arrived in Kingston before the Copacabana in order to	(b)(3)
facilitate the onward transhipment of the arms.	(b)(3
Jamaican authorities requested Bogota to authenticate the end-user certificate (EUC) presented by Eveleigh and seized the shipment after being informed by Colombian	
officials that the certificate had been forged.	(b)(1) (b)(3)
GI M 89-20020	(b)(3

2

SApproved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659

explained the discrepancy between the amount
of weapons ordered and the quantities actually recovered in
Jamaica by claiming that the Copacabana shipment was only a
"test run" which, if successful, would have led to a "gold
mine." This claim is supported by the fact that the types
and quantities of arms ordered by Eveleigh match
those listed in the forged Colombian EUC. State Department
reporting also indicates that INDEP recently informed the
Colombian government that it had been paid in full for all
of the weapons listed on the EUC and that it was holding the
remainder of the arms pending Colombian government shipping
instructions.
•

Implications

For the FARC. The discovery of the Copacabana arms shipment underscores earlier reporting from a variety of sources that FARC is seeking modern infantry weapons on the international gray arms market. FARC procurement officers apparently realize that they can acquire larger quantities of well-made military ordnance from private arms dealers than they can from local sources within Colombia.

Had the Copacabana and subsequent shipments planned by Eveleigh actually reached the FARC, they would have not only substantially enhanced the group's firepower but also provided it with a homogeneous and easily serviceable arsenal. The rifles and machineguns, for example, are nearly identical to those used by the Colombian armed forces. This would have ensured FARC a ready source of spare parts and ammunition as well as providing them with weapons at least as effective as those used by the best armed government forces opposing them.

- o The G3A2 rifles can deliver accurate fire against man-sized targets out to at least 400 meters when fired in the semi-automatic mode and are capable of fully automatic fire for use against multiple adversaries at closer ranges.
- o The belt or magazine-fed HK21 light machineguns can deliver sustained, suppressive automatic fire out to 1000 meters and, in addition to firing the same ammunition as the G3 rifles, also share many interchangeable components.

The successful delivery of the mortars and other explosive ordnance would have provided the FARC with its first indirect fire capability. The mortars would have allowed FARC units to attack Colombian military patrols without exposing themselves to direct rifle fire or to shell airports and landing zones used in the transport of counternarcotics forces.

(b)(3)

(b)(1)

(b)(1) (b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(1)

- o The INDEP "Commando" mortar can be carried and operated by a single man and fires a 60mm antipersonnel round to a maximum range of 1000 meters.
- o The crew-served INDEP 81mm HP mortars listed on the forged EUC have even greater ranges and fire explosive rounds with a lethal radius of over 13 meters.

Similarly, although FARC has manufactured its own improvised shape charges in the past, the acquisition of the antitank mines listed on the EUC would have allowed the group to mine roads in order to attack tanks and armored personnel carriers or interrupt the flow of military and civilian traffic.

We cannot corroborate statements made by Jamaican and Colombian officials that the FARC paid for the Copacabana arms with cocaine shipments to Europe. It seems likely, however, that the FARC--which earns millions of dollars annually by taxing or controlling illicit drug production in parts of Colombia--earned a large part of the \$2.7 million it paid for the arms from its direct or indirect involvement with narcotics trafficking. Given the large sums of money at its disposal, we expect FARC, at some future time, will again seek large quantities of highly sophisticated weapons from other gray market dealers. Barring the fortuitous circumstances surrounding the Copacabana seizure, it is highly probable that such efforts will eventually succeed.

For Bogota. The Copacabana arms seizure strengthened the hand of the Colombian military in several ways. transfer by Jamaica of all of the captured ordnance to Colombia not only denied ten tons of weapons to the FARC but provided the Colombian military with sorely needed arms for use against the group. More importantly, the incident provided Colombian military officers and other opponents of Bogota's current, oft-violated, cease-fire agreement with FARC an opportunity to expose FARC duplicity in talking peace but preparing for war. At a press conference on 10 January, the Colombian defense minister displayed the entire arms cache and noted that FARC had attempted to use the period of its so-called unilateral Christmas cease-fire to smuggle new arms into Colombia. Although the question of FARC culpability continues to be debated in the Colombian press, it appears that FARC credibility has suffered a major setback.

Bogota will almost certainly use the Copacabana incident to renew its call for greater international cooperation in controlling the sale of conventional military

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

weapons. Similar efforts by Colombia last year in both the United Nations and the Organization of American States met with little success. In a recent conversation with the US ambassador, the Colombian foreign minister indicated that, in addition to recalling its ambassador to Portugal and issuing a formal protest to Lisbon, Bogota may also convene a meeting of the EC ambassadors in Colombia in an effort to get their countries to review their policies on arms sales.

(b)(3)

For the Gray Arms Market. The Copacabana seizure illustrates the dangers inherent in an international arms market in which violent subnational groups can obtain large quantities of modern infantry weapons by exploiting lax arms export laws and the willingness of arms manufacturers and dealers to turn a blind eye towards lucrative transactions. Although the Portuguese government and INDEP are portraying the issue as a purely Colombian internal problem by claiming that the sale was approved on the basis of a Colombian EUC, this incident has clearly embarrassed Lisbon by focusing renewed attention on Portuguese arms export procedures. This is not the first time that the Portuguese armaments industry --an ailing, low-tech operation in need of export markets-has been embroiled in controversy. During the Iran-Iraq war, INDEP and other Portuguese arms companies sold to both sides, claiming economic necessity and arguing that the sale of "defensive" weapons would have little impact on the outcome of the war. We believe that the end of the Iran-Iraq war, the resulting soft market for infantry weapons and ammunition, and the growing number of developing nations acquiring their own small arms manufacturing capabilities will further contribute to the ready availability of automatic rifles, machineguns, and other light infantry weapons ideal for terrorist, criminal, and insurgent use.

	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	l
	l
	l
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
The state of the s	1

Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659

7

SECRET Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659

(b)(3)

(b)(1) (b)(3)

(b)(1) (b)(3)
(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659 (b)(1) (b)(3) Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659 (b)(1) (b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2019/06/03 C06271659 (b)(3)SECRET (b)(3)

SECRET