

(b)(3)(b)(6)

6 April 1979

SUBJECT II CIA

I met subject at his home and I believe he made every effort to be forthcoming and helpful. He indicated he had been to San Francisco two times on Morgan Hall related business. One time was merely to be introduced to White. He only visited a "pad" once and that was to assist in the planning for the aerosol delivery of LSD at a party. He examined the Marin County safehouse and made recommendations on where and how much LSD to release.


He related the failure of the LSD spray and explained the reason for the failure.

Subject indicated that he had seen reports on the use of LSD on unwitting subjects. As far as he could recall, they all dealt with tests on people involved in the performance of some task - they did not involve the safehouses. He believes that only LSD and possibly THC were used. He could not remember the exact dosage of LSD used but did remember that it was very small, a fraction of that used in Army and other testing with which he was familiar.

Subject reported that the effects from the unwitting use of LSD were quite different than for the witting use. He reports that in most instances there was a follow-up visit with test subject who typically attributed their malaise to a touch of the flu, food poisoning, or some other such cause. Whereas the subjects of the witting tests would succumb to the drug and manifest typical anticipated LSD symptoms, the unwitting subjects typically managed to overpower the drug and to continue or complete the job in which they were engaged. The researchers concluded that LSD did not provide a reliable method to subtly sabotage someone's behavior.

Subject could not recall a Morgan Hall report in which LSD was used as an aid to interrogation nor did he know any test subject being lured to the safehouses by prostitutes.

Subject's possible knowledge of the safehouses was revealed through White's diary.



RELEASED

*July 2000*