

SECRET NOFORN

DRAFT

AEDOGMA

250057

C-36678

KORZAN, Michael Matuljewytsch (1); aka. KORZHAN, Michael (2); KORZAN, Mikhailo (3); KORZAN, Mikhail (5); @ TORHO (1 and 4), LORENS (1 and 4); FORKHEIM, Ing. Lorens (2 and 4); VOLKHEIM, Ing. Lorens (2); VORHEIM; V-13, 611 and V-2460.9 are Subject's present and former UPHILL "V" numbers.

DOB - 11 November 1912 (1)

POB - Zakonarie, Poland. Zakonarie is located in the Western Ukraine. (1)

1. AEDOGMA has been a professional intelligence agent for most of his adult life. He has operated throughout Europe for a number of different services. His association with KUBARK dates from early 1946 in Salzburg when he contacted Esolt ARADI (Dr. NOVAK) and thereafter worked as one of ARADI's informants. It is possible that from this starting point a relationship identical to KUBARK and FBFRDS was begun. (See paragraph 6 below.) Subject is now assigned to [] J; however, during the year since his transfer from Munich he has only been in Paris about one-half of the time because of sickness and because he returned to Munich to assist in the investigation of Stefan BANDERA's death.

2. This review has been undertaken because consideration is being given whether to ^{new} review Subject's OA and whether to approve for the coming year the project under which he operates. The points discussed below need clarification before decisions are reached on these two questions.

3. During his first KUFUTTER test (6 June 1952) he stated that his whole success in dealing with the Ukrainian emigres depended on his being able to conceal from them his connection with KUBARK, and yet ABCASSOWARY-2 in November 1959 said that it was "common knowledge among Ukrainian emigres in Munich and Paris that AEDOGMA is engaged in intelligence work for ODYORE." Can effective use still be made of Subject? Reports from [] indicate that for the brief period Subject has been there and able to work the results have been good. One of his recent Munich c/os feels that, although blown, Subject was effective, and

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

NOFORN

201
SCHMALSCHLAUER,

Heinz

SECRET NOFORN

that his information was good. Assuming that Subject still can be used, to what use should he be put and where? [] has been using Subject for REDSKIN spotting purposes, rather than as a source of CE information, which was his primary function in Munich. [] has been pleased w/ his work during the short period he has been there, but does not feel qualified to assess him yet. []

[] requested, and was granted, an extension on Subject's POA until 18 August 1960. He is presently in Munich renewing his passport and his French visa, but there are indications that he might not return to Paris. It was reported in the middle of June 1960, on the basis of information supplied by AETRAPEZE, that AEDOGMA had no intention of remaining in Paris after his residence permit expired in early August. The Munich base has the impression that his heart is not in his work in Paris, because he keeps popping back to Munich, all his friends live there, he has a great love for Germany and Munich and he maintains an expensive apartment there. Subject has been asked why he maintains the apartment and his reply is that he can afford it and find it a great convenience when he is in Munich. Should AEDOGMA want to return to Munich and take up where he left off, the base feels that termination would be the only course open to it.

4. AEDOGMA's association with German intelligence began in November 1939, when at the behest of the OUN he joined the Abwehr. His connection with UPHILL apparently stems from at least the latter part of 1949 but it could have been earlier. Prior to that he maintained informal contact with his former Abwehr associates. Does KUBARK control Subject in his relationship with UPHILL, or does UPHILL direct his contact with KUBARK for its benefit? Or is another service, e.g., RIS, actually directing everything? KUBARK would like to think that it controls the operation, but there are a number of items to indicate otherwise. In the report of his first IGFLUTTER it stated that, "If Subject is subsequently found to be lying in an important way . . . this would indicate important deception on all questions where reactions were recorded." AEDOGMA was at least withholding information at that time about his true wife. Areas where Subject showed a marked reaction included the following: Doing secret work for the Soviets,

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

the Polish UB, etc.; one of his early UPHILL c/o, von BRAHL; being blackmailed or terrorized by anyone; and intentions or orders to work against American interests. On his second LOFLUTTER, in March 1956, AEDOGMA displayed a medium reaction, which remained medium when the question was repeated, when asked if he was intentionally withholding from KUBARK any information concerning his WW II intelligence activities. Subject answered negatively but responded significantly on his third LOFLUTTER, on 25 October 1957, to the question, "Do you now have any assignments for the UPHILL organization that you have not told us about?" The operator concluded that AEDOGMA may be withholding information in this area and practicing deception.

5. Subject states his meetings with one of his old Abwehr superiors, Colonel Heinz SCHMALSCHLAEGER, occur when the latter comes through Munich on business and are purely social in nature; however, from the number of times such have occurred and the nature of the topics discussed, it is difficult to believe they do not have definite intelligence pertinence. It is thought significant that SCHMALSCHLAEGER's UPHILL superior is a GE specialist named Oscar REIHE & RISCHKE, who worked against French, British and American intelligence in France in WW II. (See the chronological listing below of the meetings between SCHMALSCHLAEGER and AEDOGMA since WW II known to KUBARK.) Upon one occasion in November 1955 Subject discussed certain information with SCHMALSCHLAEGER, and when asked by KUBARK if anyone knew of it he answered in the negative. Subject later said this was an oversight. A phone tap on Subject showed that in the Spring of 1957 he withheld from KUBARK at least two REIs given him by his UPHILL c/o. AEDOGMA was instructed to make a clean break with UPHILL before going to Paris. He was to inform his UPHILL c/o at their last meeting in March 1959 that he was leaving for a period of study in Paris. It was not anticipated that there would be any difficulty and if UPHILL suggested that AEDOGMA work for them in Paris he was to politely refuse. This he did not do, offering the excuse that his UPHILL c/o wished to maintain at least a bare contact with him. An accommodation address was supplied Subject so that he could send written reports on the emigre

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

seems every week or so to @ JUPP, his UPHILL c/o. AEDOGMA felt certain the effort would not harm his activity in Paris for KUBARK.

6. It also is possible that Subject's Abwehr background is the basis for an RIS controlled operation. KUBARK has been aware since early 1958 of RIS knowledge that AEDOGMA worked for the AIS. Pavlo DIMITRENKO, @ Paul DEIBACH, Otto KRUEGER and Alfred LOEWEN, were associated with Subject in the Abwehr, and all later became RIS agents. All contacted Subject in what he stated in the case of KRUEGER was an RIS attempt to recruit him, and he strongly intimated as much in the case of the other two. DEIBACH obtained a report on the Ukrainian emigration from AEDOGMA on the pretext that it was desired by Col. SCHMALSCHLAGER. Col. Franz von TARBOK, Subject's former Abwehr chief, and SCHMALSCHLAGER, met AEDOGMA in Munich in October 1958 on their way back from a trip to Meran, Italy, where they had met a number of former WW II Italian Fascist officers who "... work for (SCHMALSCHLAGER) and periodically provide (him) with interesting information." AEDOGMA has gone to Meran several times, ostensibly for a vacation. SCHMALSCHLAGER had been particularly anxious for von TARBOK to meet the "... Italian contacts whom he may be able to use later." Von TARBOK was a Soviet war prisoner for a time but he claims that he was not forced to divulge any information about his former Abwehr associates. Kurt HARTMANN, a captain in Subject's Abwehr group, was a German staybehind agent doubled by the Soviets, who has been in touch with SCHMALSCHLAGER (as early as 1955) and von TARBOK, and sent greetings to Subject. Are all of these people working primarily for the Soviets? Do the Germans reap the major benefits? In any event, the indications are strong that KUBARK is not the principal beneficiary.

7. AEDOGMA had not seen his former wife, Marianna-Elizabeth nee MOSKVA, in ten years when she appeared in Munich from East Germany in July 1954. She claimed to have had a fairly easy time during her ten years behind the Iron Curtain, and to have had no trouble at all obtaining an inter-sonal pass or getting across the border. At one point, while Subject was questioning her, she demanded to see his ausweis and kamkarte stating that she was no longer afraid of him and that she had "completed a good school, perhaps better than you

4
SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

realize." Boris LEVITSKY and Volodymir SLIMAKOVSKI were present during this questioning. AEDOGMA concluded that she was lying to him, and that she was an enemy agent. Marianna emigrated to the U.S. in October 1956. It is uncertain whether she received KUBARK assistance. There is no record of KUBARK ever having questioned her, nor does it appear that ODENVY has ever been advised of her background. It is not known what checks were run in the processing of her visa application. Just prior to her departure for the U.S. Marianna received three letters from an Erwin HEGENBART, who had been in Amberg in the DP camp with Marianna but was then residing in Blooming Prairie, Minnesota, addressed to, "My dearest wife," and one letter from an Anni KRAL of Rohstedt, East Germany, apparently a friend from Marianna's days behind the Curtain. What is the connection between these people; how did HEGENBART get into the U.S.; is he really married to Marianna; did Marianna name him on her immigration papers as someone she knew in the U.S.?

8. Subject's former wife-mistress Irena BIHUS emigrated to Canada in April of 1956. In March 1954, AEDOGMA asked that \$500.00 from his escrow account be sent to Irena's father Peter BIHUS in Canada to assist in bringing Irena's sister and her family from Argentina to Canada. Subject supplied Irena \$1500 from his escrow account in 1956 to enable her to start a beauty parlor in Canada. He has given Irena lesser amounts on other occasions. As in the case of Marianna, it is uncertain what, if anything, KUBARK did to assist Irena to emigrate to Canada. The files do not contain any record of KUBARK having questioned her or having advised Canadian officials of her background. Subject has received about five letters from BIHUS since she emigrated. One of his former KUBARK c/o's feels there is a strong possibility that BIHUS is blackmailing AEDOGMA. Subject did react significantly in giving a negative answer on his first LOFLUTTER to the question: "Are you now being blackmailed or terrorized by anyone?"

9. AEDOGMA was granted the right of entry into the U.S. under P. L. 110, on 29 July 1952. He has never tried to avail himself of this opportunity nor indicated that he wished to in the future, and there seems little likelihood that he will. Subject is almost 48 years old, and any security, stability or

5
SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

continuity that exists in his life does so in Germany, and particularly Munich. This arises from his friends, his apartment, his church position, and his life generally for the past twelve to fourteen years. His escrow account amounts to about \$11,000, which would not last a very long time in the U.S. compared to Germany. There is nothing that he could do in the U.S. for which he is trained.

10. In July 1956, a short time after his second LOFLUTTER, Subject wrote a lengthy critique of the manner in which he had been handled by his KUBARK c/os. He stated that he had been far happier and had a far better relationship with his Abwehr associates. This complaint was voiced on several other occasions by Subject. He felt that his association with KUBARK never left the level of a purely business relationship. In November 1957, after his third LOFLUTTER he again brought this up, discussing the complete mutual trust he had felt in his relations in the Abwehr, but in December 1957 he stated that he thought he had been treated very humanly during the last year by KUBARK and that the business atmosphere had finally become a more personal one. But, Subject never has really confided in any of his c/os throughout his many years of association w/ KUBARK. Instead he has discussed his problems w/ his friends, including Col. SCHWALSCHLAEGGER; Walter ZIEBECKE; Ivan KASHUBA; Boris LEVITSKI; Volodymir SLIMAKOVSKI; Myron MATVIYEVKO and his wife Gena; Yaroslav FILIPOVICH and his wife Olga; Evhen TSEHELSKI or TSEHELKA and his wife Olena; and a whole group of others.

11. By talking to Marianna and Irena and making the indicated checks a great many of the questions posed above should be resolved. Regardless, information will be gained which can be used to advantage in questioning AEDOGMA. This should be done as soon as it is possible to complete the other checks, for without clearing up some of the hazy areas it will not be possible to know for whose benefit AEDOGMA operates and who controls him.

12. Subject's chronological history:

- 1928 - He became a member of the Ukrainian Military Organisation (UVO).
Recruited by Ivan TESLIA, who is presently living in the U.S. (3)
- 1929 - He joined the OUN (Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists),
which resulted from the reorganization of the UVO. (3)

SECRET

NOFORN

- Nov. 1934 - Lev REBET assigned Subject to the post of Organizational Referent of Homeland Executive Committee of the OUN in the Western Ukraine. (3)
- 1935 - He was publisher of a nationalistic, anti-Bolshevik OUN newspaper. Imprisoned in September 1935 for three months for articles sharply critical of the Polish government. (1 and 3)
- Nov. 1936 - Married Marianna Elizabeth MOSKVA, DOB 1 April 1913, POB Lvov, Ukraine, in Lvov, Ukraine
- July 1937 - He was arrested in Lvov by Polish police. (1 and 3)
- Fall 1937 - His wife took a lover. (1)
- Spring 1938 - His wife refused an offer of divorce. (1)
- May 1939 - Subject sentenced to twelve years imprisonment for his OUN membership.
- Sept. 1939 - At the outbreak of the German-Polish war, Subject and all other Ukrainian political prisoners were released. (1 and 3)
- Nov. 1939 - Subject agreed to the OUN proposal that he join the German CI Service (Abwehrstelle III in Cracow). His immediate superiors were Lt. Col. Robert Franz von TARBUK and Major Frants KORAB. Subject worked in the border area around the Yaroslav, Radimno-Perekyshl area, engaged in CI work against the RIS. (3)
- Early 1940 - Subject had contact w/ his wife for the first time since late 1937 when OUN member Leonid MOSTOVICH brought her to Radimno. (2)
- Dec. 1940 - Subject moved to Sianok w/ his wife under false documentation as Engineer Lorenz VOLKHEIM. (2) Other possible spellings of this name are VORKHEIM and FORKHEIM. (2 and 4)
- June 1941 - He was sent to the Ukrainian front where he stayed for a year. His wife again betrayed Subject. (2)

SECRET

NOFORN

SECRET

NOFORN

May 1942-Mid 1944 - Subject was transferred to Abwehrstelle III in Cracow, where he was concerned w/ enemy communications. He gave his wife his earnings. At the end of this time, when the Red Army approached Sianok, his wife fled to Vienna w/ a German officer. While on business in Vienna Subject met her, and at her request obtained false documentation for her from his superior Major KORAB. Subject also gave her money and a number of valuables. (1, 2, and 3)

Mid 1944-1954 - Subject had no contact w/ his wife. (1 and 2)

June 1942 - He began studying theology at the University of Warsaw. (3)

Sept. 1944 - He obtained his release as an active Abwehr employee, because after the abortive attempt on Hitler's life of 20 July 1944 Gestapo members were attached to Abwehr ranks. He did, however, agree to work as a voluntary informer. (3)

Late 1944 - He completed his theological studies and he was ordained by Archbishop PALADII, who later resided in Boston. The Archbishop sent him to Prague to specialize in church law. (3)

Jan-Apr 1945 - He worked w/ the Abwehr in Prague under his former Cracow chief, Lt. Col. von TARBUK. (3)

Apr 1945 - When the Czechoslovakian revolt threatened, the Abwehr group decided to flee to Austria. For purposes of protection in Austria one of Subject's co-workers (Irena Yaroslava, alias, DOB 26 June 1913, POB Pldhordie, Poland (12)) was given military documentation under the name Irena Yaroslava KORZHAN. Irena registered w/ the authorities as Subject's wife upon their arrival in Austria. (2)

Oct 1945-Apr 1947 - Subject was a teacher in Salzburg. (1)

Early 1946 - Subject got in touch w/ Zeolt ARADI whose pseudonyms included Dr. NOVAK, OSS Salzburg, and began working for him as an informant. (15)

1946 - Subject was ordered by the CUN to join the Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Nations (ABN). (15)

SECRET

NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

Early Dec 1946 - ARADI requested a frontier pass between Austria and Bavaria for Subject and his "wife" (Irena BIRUS) so that they might attend an AEW Congress. in late December and ^{early} January. (16)

Apr 1946-Oct 1948 - He was a member of the ZCh/OUN and head of the CI section of the SB. He has probably maintained informal contact w/ these groups since that time.

Apr 1947-late Summer 1959 - Subject was the Chancellor of the Holy Autocephalic Church of Poland in Munich. (1 and 3)

1948 - Marianna's father and two sisters emigrated to the U.S. from Munich.

1946-Present - Subject has been in operational contact with this Agency. (1) AEDOGMA was laid off by KUBARK during the period April 1949 - February 1950, because he was producing very little. In early July 1950, it was accidentally disclosed that he had been working for UPHILL since October 1949. (21) Since at least 1950 he has worked simultaneously for both KUBARK and UPHILL, which fact has been known by both agencies from that time to date. The UPHILL desk has indicated that it considers two of Subject's UPHILL contacts, Col. SOHMALSCHLANGER and Oscar REILE, individuals about whom there is some reason for suspecting hostile connections. However, there is no definite basis for this without the presence of actual evidence.

6 June 1952 - An IQFIUTER test was given to Subject. The results were described as "barely fairly reliable."

7 July 1954 - Subject saw his wife Marianna for the first time since 1944 in Munich, where she had just arrived from East Germany, and talked w/ her in the presence of Boris LEVITSKY and Volodimir SLIMAKOVSKY. (2)

9

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

27 July 1954 - Irena used the name KIRZHAN until this date, when a German court clarified her relationship w/ Subject and his marital status was changed in the Munich Registration Office. (1)

31 Dec 1954 - Subject and his wife Marianna were divorced by a German court. (1)

Late Fall 1954 - LEVITSKY told Subject about revelations made to LEVITSKY by [] re: project AEGOR. Subsequently in 1955 four agents involved in this project dropped into the USSR, were arrested by the Soviets and at least two of them were executed. (6)

1955 - Discussions were begun re: the transfer of Subject to Paris. Questioning occurred back and forth among Hqs., Munich and Paris re: the advisability of such a move.

6-7 Mar 1956 - Second LOFLUTTER administered to Subject. "... all of the reactions to the questions asked were not fully resolved." (19)

3 Apr 1956 - Irena BIRUS emigrated to Canada and established herself possibly with the aid of KUBARK. (1 and 5)

Oct 1956 - Subject's divorced wife Marianna emigrated to the U.S. It is possible she received KUBARK assistance. (1 and 5)

25 Oct 1957 - Third LOFLUTTER administered to Subject. To one important question re: his relation w/ UPHILL the operator determined that Subject "may be practicing deception." (20)

Aug 1959 - Subject moved to Paris.

22 Sept 1959 - The following information was contained in EGM-8305 of this date:

(a) Kurt V. HARTMANN, DOB 25 May 1901, POB Riga, Latvia, was an Abwehr official on the Eastern Front who married a Soviet agent. He was in the USSR after

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

WW II. Circa 1953 he was sent to penetrate URSWING for the RIS.

- (b) In 1950 Otto KRUEGER, a Soviet agent, recruited Pavlo DIMITRENKO & DEIBACH, who obtained a report from Subject on Ukrainian emigration allegedly under the pretext that it was desired by Col. SCHMALSCHLAGER, the UPHILL CE officer. DIMITRENKO died in November 1950. Alfred LOBENWEIN, a Soviet agent, introduced himself to Subject "to report DIMITRENKO's death." Subject claimed he contacted SCHMALSCHLAGER, whom he met three times during WW II, at DIMITRENKO's funeral. The exact dates of these occurrences are not known.
- (c) [] (Boris LEVITSKI) confessed his Soviet agent status to Subject and kept him informed of his activities.

Early Oct 1959 - Subject learned from a letter he received from Ivan KASHUBA on 2 October 1959, that the RIS was thinking of assassinating Stefan BANDERA and KASHUBA (ARCAVATINAS 1 and 12). The CAVATINA group checked on this and verified it from other sources. (18) AEDOGMA did not know how the information had been rechecked, nor did he know how KASHUBA had originally gotten hold of the information.

Nov 1959 - When Subject was recalled to Munich to help in the investigation of BANDERA's death he reported it as a suicide.

MID-Jan 1960 - Subject returned to Paris.

Mar 1960 - Subject's sickness began in Paris after he had lunched there w/ three emigres, two of whom (Ivan POPOVICH, the assistant chief of the CAVATINAS in France, and Boris VITOSHINSKIY) were the only Ukrainian emigres in Paris - other than Subject -

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET

NOFORN

not to be shipped to Corsica during KHRUSHCHEV's visit. The former two did not have to report to the police on a daily basis, which would seem to indicate some dispensation from the French authorities, for AEDOGMA was served with a notice to go to Corsica, which was changed when his illness was discovered to one requiring him to report twice daily to the local police headquarters.

9 Apr 1960 - He arrived in Munich for treatment because of ^{the} difficulty of getting proper treatment in Paris. Travel assistance was rendered by a German Embassy doctor. (9 and 10)

1 June 1960 - Subject returned to Paris.

1 Aug 1960 - Subject was back in Munich to see about renewing his passport and his French visa. (17)

13. Source Notes:

- (a) Request for Approval of Investigative Action, dated 24 March 1959, w/FRQ I and Curriculum Vitae attached.
- (b) EGMA-11836, dated 15 July 1954, w/ Attachment A, KAPOK, old branch file 03416, vol. 2, in SR/B W/3.
- (c) Memorandum, dated 14 April 1952, w/ FRQ I and Curriculum Vitae, dated 20 January 1952, attached, C-36678.
- (d) Request for Green List check #2978, dated 18 April 1952.
- (e) Memorandum for the Record, Subject: AEDOGMA-1, by O/OI/OPS/SovSet, dated 30 November 1959.
- (f) Cable MUMI 8683 (IN-27518), dated 29 November 1954, C-44829.
- (g) Memorandum recording results of Carriage Test of AEDOGMA-1 on 6 June 1952, dated 7 June 1952.
- (h) Cable DIR 03646 (OUL-67360), dated 20 November 1959.
- (i) EGMA 48603, w/ attachments A and D, dated 19 April 1960, 32-0-25, destroyed.

SECRET

NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

- (p) Cable L 1 (IN-23029), dated 6 April 1960.
- (R) Cable L 1 (IN-11884), dated 24 November 1959.
- (E) Memorandum from the Director of Security w/ Report of Investigation attached, dated 17 July 1959.
- (W) FRQ II for file C-36678, w/ excerpts from file on Subject's dealings w/ GIS attached, received Headquarters 1 July 1960.
- (W) Attachment H to EGSA-20222, dated 23 July 1956, WASH-CIA-FRQ 3092, Folder #8.
- (P) MHA 391, dated 27 December 1946, OSS Project Belladonna file, 32-7-10-42.
- (P) Memo, dated 14 December 1946, from Aradi to his superior, OSS Project Belladonna file.
- (P) Cable [] (IN-31884), dated 1 August 1960.
- (P) [] dated 12 October 1959, 201-48231, vol. I.
- (P) EGSA 20086, dated 4 April 1956, 29-6-106/3.
- (P) EGSA 29660, dated 31 October 1957, KAPOK, old branch file, 03416, vol. II.
- (W) MHA 3556, dated 1 August 1950, 74-6-13-217.

14. Checks: The dates of Subject's three LOFLUTTER tests, and the latest checks made on him are listed. (Only the names Michael KORZAN and Michael KORZHAN were checked. None of Subject's other names or variants were included.)

6 June 1952 - Subject given first LOFLUTTER test, rated "barely fairly reliable."

6-7 Mar 1956 - Subject given another LOFLUTTER test.

25 Oct 1957 - Subject given a third LOFLUTTER test.

24 Mar 1959 - FRQ I.

6 Apr 1959 - Green List check - "No additional information from previous G. L. of 1952." The G. L. of 1952 showed NDI.

9 July 1959 - Office of Security Report of Investigation indicated:

ACSI - had info.

FBI
CWI
State
CSC
HCUA
OSI
LAW
- no info.

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

3 Aug 1959 - Visa check by ODOPAL showed only derogatory information as Abwehr tie.

5 Aug 1959 - Field traces to Berlin and Frankfurt revealed nothing more current than 1954, which already known to Headquarters and MOB.

Negative replies from MID, OSI, and A-2.

1 July 1960 - PRQ II by Headquarters.

15. Postwar contacts with Heinz SCHMALSCHLAEGER, as evidenced by the files:

Pre-4 Dec 1947 - Indirect contact through SCHMALSCHLAEGER telling [] of the high opinion in which AEDQMA held by his Abwehr associates.

Sometime in 1950 - Subject was asked to prepare a report on the Ukrainian emigration by Pavlo DIMITRENKO, @ Paul DEIBACH, under the pretext that the report was for SCHMALSCHLAEGER. This was after DIMITRENKO had been recruited by the RIS.

Dec 1950 - Subject and SCHMALSCHLAEGER met at DIMITRENKO's funeral.

Rpt. dated 10 Apr 1952 - UPHILL staffer Emerich OFCZAREK (V-7500), formerly of Abwehrstelle III in Krakow, who has been with UPHILL since 1947, was maintaining contact with SCHMALSCHLAEGER in Vienna for operational leads and CI purposes. The UPHILL desk has some reason for suspecting hostile connections on the part of this man, but, as with SCHMALSCHLAEGER and REILE, there is no definite basis for this without the presence of actual evidence.

Mid 1954 - SCHMALSCHLAEGER offered to assist AEDQMA in acquiring German citizenship. He also offered assistance in straightening

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

out Subject's muddled marital life, and testified to the fact that AEDOGMA served with the Abwehr and that, as a result, Irena RYBIS had been documented as his wife.

Rpt. dated 18 Feb 1955 - AEDOGMA was told by SCHMALSCHLAEGER that he believed Kurt HARTMANN was recruited by the Soviets, and returned to Kassel several months ago from a Soviet prison.

3 Nov 1955 - SCHMALSCHLAEGER stated that he was with the Bonn BfV, and after extracting a secrecy promise told Subject that the BfV placed a tap on his telephone on 1 November. (NOTE: At that time to the best of FOB's knowledge, SCHMALSCHLAEGER was still a very active Zipper GK officer.)

15-16 Nov 1955 - Subject talked with SCHMALSCHLAEGER about SMOTH representative []'s statements about the [] RIS courier affair. The AIS had asked AEDOGMA ^{subsequently} ~~whether~~ who was aware of []'s information and Subject ^{at that time} said he overlooked mentioning this ~~matter~~.

6 Mar 1956 - SCHMALSCHLAEGER visited AEDOGMA in Munich and mentioned that the Sicherungs Gruppe (SG) was encountering difficulty from the SPD because of SPD efforts ^{to} effect the prompt release of AEGANDIOT.

14 Nov 1956 - Subject and SCHMALSCHLAEGER met in Munich at the Cafe Kustermann for about an hour. Politics was the major item for discussion. AEDOGMA described the history of the [] SCHLAEGER indicated he had been in Vienna since the beginning of the Hungarian revolution to observe things firsthand. He stated that the Hungarian emigration did not support the revolution actively, although the possibility for doing so had existed.

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

- Rpt. dated 20 May 1957 - Elise DEIBACH wrote a letter to AEDOGMA about her daughter's confirmation. SCHWALSCHLAEGER, ABCAPLIN-2, Joachim OSTER and Amy HAASER are mentioned in the letter.
- Rpt. dated 25 Nov 1957 - SCHWALSCHLAEGER revealed to Subject a so-called approach made to him by CIC officials to obtain his cooperation in the recruitment of Germans for the failing CIC effort in Germany.
- Rpt. dated 11 Apr 1958 - [redacted] stated that SCHWALSCHLAEGER was traveling through the Austrian provinces of Styria and Carinthia recontacting his former intelligence colleagues in an effort to recruit them for the CIC.
- Rpt. dated 3 Jun 1958 - AEDOGMA reported that he had several contacts with SCHWALSCHLAEGER during April and May. At one of these SCHWALSCHLAEGER handed a critique of the Joachim JOESTEN book on the CIA, which UPHILL had requested he prepare, to Subject and asked for his criticisms. Subject was also given a copy of Press Release #19, 1-15 February 1958, and asked to state his impressions. SCHWALSCHLAEGER stated he operated the press service for the benefit of various overt Bonn offices.
- 6 Aug 1958 - SCHWALSCHLAEGER called the morning of 6 August 1958 to advise AEDOGMA that Robert Frans von TARBUK had come to Munich from Lins. Von TARBUK is a former Abwehr superior of Subjects who fell into Soviet hands at the end of WW II. Von TARBUK stated he had been in contact with, among others, the HIAKIJ brothers. He gave his word of honor that while held by the Soviets he was not questioned about Krakov nor the Abwehr personnel there.

SECRET NOFORN
16

SECRET NOFORN

2 Oct 1958 - SCHMAISCHLAGER telephoned AEDOGMA and they met in a Munich restaurant. SCHMAISCHLAGER "again visited Munich for unknown reasons." He told Subject he was just back from a three-week vacation in Meran, Italy, but had actually been there for operational reasons. While there he met with former Italian Fascist officers he had known during WW II. ("They work for me, and periodically provide me with interesting information.") Von TARBUK was supposed to have gone; he will accompany SCHMAISCHLAGER to Meran on 10 October. The latter did not indicate the reason for the October trip, but said it was too bad von TARBUK could not make the earlier trip since he would have met some Italian contacts he may be able to use later. AEDOGMA was permitted to keep on loan a copy of Press Release #35, 16-31 July 1958. (Pavlo DIMITRENKO & DEKBACH served with the Abwehr in Italy, as well as Krakow.)

28 Oct 1958 - SCHMAISCHLAGER and AEDOGMA met in Munich. The former had been in Meran within the last few days and learned from von TARBUK that a certain Captain is now police president in Salzburg. SCHMAISCHLAGER had been asked by von TARBUK to transmit the Captain's greetings to Subject.

16. UPHILL association:

- a. What is the significance of SCHMAISCHLAGER and Oscar REILE to AEDOGMA's UPHILL association?
- b. A phone tap (report dated 9 May 1957) showed that Subject had withheld at least two EELs from his o/c that had been passed by his UPHILL o/c.
- c. On several occasions AEDOGMA has indicated far greater satisfaction working for German intelligence than the AIS, and complained about the business rather than personal relation with the Americans. He did so

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

after his October 1957 LGFLUTTER, and yet barely a month later he stated his treatment by the AIS had been very human throughout the past year, and that the "former complete business status had been changed into a personal one."

- d. What does Subject really do for UPHILL?
- e. What does he reveal to UPHILL about his AIS connection?
- f. Does he reveal to the AIS everything about his UPHILL connection?
- g. Why did UPHILL take a stronger interest in AEDOGMA all of a sudden, as evidenced by a surge of EEIs in the latter part of 1957?

17. Abwehr Associates:

- a. Joseph and Walter HLADKY
- b. Pietr and Valentyna DACKO ~~W~~ZICH
- c. Heins SCHMAISCHLAEGER
- d. Robert Frans von TARBUK
- e. Kurt HARTMANN
- f. General

- a a and b. Known to the FBI. In the process of immigrating no mention was made of their prior intelligence status. Also, the DACKOs used the name ZICH instead of their true name when immigrating to the U.S.
- c and d. The most pressing questions appear in the list of postwar contacts between SCHMAISCHLAEGER and AEDOGMA.
- e. (I) Did HARTMANN establish contact w/ a component of the AIS in Kassel in late 1954 as SCHMAISCHLAEGER believed?
(II) What activities has HARTMANN been engaged in since his return to the West?
(III) How did DOGMA know that the report written for ES re: MIB methods of interrogation and signed w/ only an "H" was by HARTMANN?
(IV) Is the "certain Captain" SCHMAISCHLAEGER asked DOGMA if he knew on 28 October 1958 in Munich and stated by

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET

NOFORN

von TARBUX to ES to be police president of Salzburg at that time, BARTMANN? (DOOMA stated that the captain was Kommandant of Abwehr IVth or Vith GI group on the Eastern Front, and that he served w/ the captain.)

(V) Has DOOMA been questioned as to the identity of the captain?

f. It is possible that Subject's Abwehr background is the basis for an RIS controlled operation or that Subject, rather than being controlled by KUBARK in his UPHILL contacts, is actually controlled by UPHILL for its benefit in his contact w/ KUBARK.

18. Marianna Elisabeth KORZAN nee. MOSKVA:

- a. Why was Marianna treated so well by the Soviets and the Polish UB while in prison in Lodz?
- b. Why was she given German documentation and taken to Rohstedt in East Germany, and why given separate housing there?
- c. Why did she suddenly become interested in finding her relatives in the U.S. in 1954?
- d. What was her point in contacting Olga FILLIPOVICH in Munich?
- e. Why did she wait until April of 1954 to locate her relatives in East Germany?
- f. Why was she able with such ease to get and use her inter-zonal pass coming from East to West Germany?
- g. How does one explain the implausibility of her answers to AEDOGMA's questions during July of 1954? (See EGMA-11836, 15 July 1954, and attachments thereto.)
- h. For what purpose did Marianna contact Myron MATVILEYKO, a known RIS agent, in Munich in July 1954?
- i. Was Marianna in Amberg for the whole period between July 1954 and October 1956?
- j. Where was she living, what was she doing and with whom was she in contact during this period?

SECRET

19

NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

- k. What assistance, if any, did KUBARK render in Marianna's immigration into the U.S.?
 - l. Has the FBI been advised of her background?
 - m. Where has she been living, and what has she been doing since her arrival in the U.S.?
 - n. Is Erwin HEGENBART of Bloomington Prairie, Minnesota, the same person who was with Marianna in the Amberg DP camp? What is his relation to Marianna? When and how did he enter the U.S.? Where is his mother? Is he married to Marianna? Have traces been run on the people mentioned in HEGENBART's letters?
 - o. Who is Armi KRAL? Have traces been run on her and the other names mentioned in her letter to Marianna?
 - p. Marianna claims to have known an Alvin HEEBER for one year (1947-1948), and yet since 1947 he has lived in Mettmann in Kreis Dusseldorf in West Germany. What is the explanation?
19. Irena BIRUS:
- a. Did AEDOGMA marry Irena in January 1944 as he stated he had in Attachment A to MEMA 10458, 14 July 1952? Possibly this was purely for purposes of the record, or perhaps this is the hold that Irena may have over Subject.
 - b. Did Irena go to Canada "a year and a half" prior to 30 June 1954, as AEDOGMA stated in EGMA 11836, 15 July 1954?
 - c. Where was Irena from 27 July 1954 until 3 April 1956, when she emigrated to Canada? Did KUBARK render any assistance in this emigration? What checks, with what results, were run at that time? Do the Canadian authorities know about her background?
 - d. How long have BIRUS's father and brothers been in Canada, and under whose sponsorship did they enter? Are BIRUS's sister and family, residing in Argentina prior to 1954, now in Canada?
 - e. About what was the AEDOGMA-Miroslav BIRUS correspondence, reported in Subject's FRQ I, 24 February 1952?

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

20. AEDOGNA's LGFLITERS

a. 6 June 1952 - It was clearly apparent to the operator that Subject was suffering from the effects of a long-continued clandestine life. He was adjudged "barely fairly reliable" and was unhappy with the question: "Are you trying to conceal something officially important about your past from me?", which he answered negatively. The operator concluded that if it were later determined that Subject had lied on any question it would indicate important deception on all questions where reactions were recorded. The major reaction areas were:

1. Did you ever commit yourself to, or knowingly do, secret work for the Soviets, the Polish UB or the Communists?;
2. Have you told the entire truth about your life history?;
3. Are you being blackmailed or terrorized by anyone?;
4. Have you told the whole truth about your association with von FRAHEL?;
- and 5. Have you ever intended to, or received orders to, work against American interests?

b. 6-7 Mar 1956 - The conclusion was reached that Subject was not attempting deception, although "all of the reactions to the questions asked were not fully resolved." The questions were asked Subject in three different tests. On a scale of weak, medium, and strong, Subject reacted as follows:

- MEDIUM -
1. Have you withheld anything about your WW II intelligence activities?
 2. Have you told us the truth about Marianna's appearance in the West?
 3. Has UPHILL supplied you with instructions to pass to the AIS?

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

WEAK, becoming subsequently MEDIUM -

1. Have you revealed to UPHILL your connection with the AIS?
2. Do you know Ivan KASHUBA?

MEDIUM, becoming subsequently WEAK -

1. Have you told the truth about SCHMALSCHLAGERY?
2. Have you told the truth about revealing your association with the AIS?
3. Have you intentionally withheld from the AIS any information about your intelligence activities?
4. Have you reported the full truth about your association with Boris LEVITSKIY?
5. Have you disclosed any EEI received from the AIS to UPHILL?
6. Have you ever attended a Soviet intelligence school?
7. Have you told the truth about your educational history?

NO REACTION to MEDIUM to WEAK - Other than disclosed, have you ever been approached by a Soviet intelligence outfit?

WEAK to MEDIUM to ALMOST NO REACTION - Have you ever worked for a Soviet intelligence unit?

- c. 25 Oct 1957 - The operator considered that the test was substantially reliable. Subject's response was significant and consistent to the question: "Do you now have any assignments for UPHILL that you have not told us about?" On this question the operator felt "... Subject may be practicing deception." AEDQMA's comments later again indicated his greater satisfaction working with the Abwehr, where he felt an absolute trust.

SECRET NOFORN