Approved for Release: 2018/10/02 C06627946

CONTINUE OF

19

605

6 June 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: President's Briefing to Congress on Wednesday, 8 June, on Human Rights

Dr. Tuchman called at 10:25 this morning to say that the President was planning a Congressional briefing on Wednesday, 8 June. She stated that what was needed was an updating and declassification of our memorandum of 11 May 1977 entitled Impact of the US Stand on Human Rights. She stated that Brzezinski had prepared a briefing for Congressman Fraser on this subject based on a State/INR study which only reported favorable developments. Congressman Fraser's reaction was extremely negative and therefore she is requesting a more balanced briefing from us. The deadline is COB today, 6 June.

Cord Meyer, Jr. SA/DDCI

3.5(c)

COMPLETE

とし

6 June 1977

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

MEMORANDUM

IMPACT OF THE US STAND ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Initial international skepticism about the seriousness of the Carter administration's commitment to the fostering of human rights was dispelled early this year by presidential statements and US initiatives in bilateral relations and international forums. Suspicion over US motives has persisted, however, and despite recent policy statements by senior US officials there still is some confusion over the lengths to which the US may be prepared to go in fusion over the lengths objectives. This memorandum surveys reaction to the US stand. A regional listing of significant developments is provided at annex.

Introduction

The US stand on human rights has prompted a number of governments to move toward bettering their human rights performance. This has occurred principally where the regime has been anxious to preserve cooperative relations with the US, has not felt publicly challenged or specifically prodded by Washington, and is relatively confident about its internal security situation.

Even in these cases, however, there has been a notable reluctance to accept the US stand at face value. Public expressions of understanding about US concerns have been matched by private assess-

	This memorandum was prepared by the Office of Regiona and Political Analysis. Inquiries may be directed to of the International Issues Division,	:Z
3.5(c)		

RP-M-77-10142

Approved for Release: 2018/10/02 C06627946

Approved for Release: 2018/10/02 C06627946

ments of Washington's emphasis on human rights as a ploy designed to pressure other countries into comporting themselves in accordance with US policies generally.

Attribution of such ulterior motivation, the connection of human rights to other issues, and a marked propensity to interpret US pronouncements and actions in egocentric terms have been characteristic reactions of countries with the most cause for unease over the US stand. Repressive practices have intensified in some cases, and bilateral relations have suffered in a number of instances.

There is strong public endorsement of the principles that underlie the US stand in some countries, but in many cases it is coupled with considerable worry over the potential for adverse international political consequences. Applause for Washington's espousal of human rights principles, therefore, is not always accompanied by approval of specific US initiatives. The advent of what many foreign observers view as a new element of uncertainty in international affairs has thus affected a broad range of international relationships.

or miceriationa	it retactoniships.		







NR

Latin America

US human rights initiatives have aroused considerable resentment in several Central and South American countries ruled by military regimes that have felt directly challenged. They have denounced US statements and actions as unwarranted and unacceptable interference in strictly internal affairs.

Argentina and Uruguay rejected all US military assistance after Washington linked aid cuts to human rights violations in those countries. Brazil, already angered by US pressure to modify its nuclear deal with West Germany, condemned the State Department's preparation of a report on its human rights practices as an affront to its sovereignty and renounced the 1952 military assistance agreement.

Guatemala and El Salvador also rejected military assistance conditioned on US judgment of their human rights situations.

The Latins are angered by what they regard as US failure to understand and make allowances for their political and internal security problems. The Southern Cone military regimes, especially, are convinced that their countries' experiences with political disintegration, insurgency, and terrorism fully warrant tough internal security measures. The Argentines, for example, insist that they will not deviate from the practices they deem indispensable in their continuing war with leftist terrorists no matter what outside criticism they incur.

The Latins are also resentful over the fact that they were not considered important enough to US interests to be treated specially (like South Korea). They have questioned US qualifications for making international moral judgments and have voiced suspicion that the US has ulterior motives for its human rights stand. The latter view is particularly strong in Brazil, where the human rights issue is viewed as an adjunct to US pressure on nuclear matters.

The Southern Cone regimes have been commiserating with each other, and they may be considering joint moves to convince the US that it has seriously underestimated the costs of alienating them. The Latins undoubtedly would prefer to forgo polemics and halt any deterioration in their relations with Washington. But the military regimes are determined not to take any actions that could be construed as caving in to US pressure.

Latin reaction to the US stand has not, of course, been entirely negative. Venezuela and Costa Rica, two of Latin America's few remaining democracies, have strongly endorsed US initiatives, and expressions of support for the US stand have also been forthcoming from Mexico and Bolivia. Prisoner releases in Paraguay and Peru were directly responsive to US concerns.

NR





Prospects			
chooses to of US conce on the issu	press the issue. Proteserns are unlikely to diss	ts initiatives will have over d in large part on how the US stations as to the universali suade most governments vulner terpret even general US action l particularly at them.	ity
		<u> </u>	

Some of the other countries that have reacted negatively to US human rights initiatives seem to be rethinking their positions. They are also hoping for a "cooling off" period that would permit a resumption of less antagonistic bilateral relations and allow the development of strategies for coping with the new situation. This is especially the case in Latin America, where there is a feeling that the US is in the process of moderating its tactics for pursuing human rights objectives. Disappointment of such

NR

NR

Approved for Release: 2018/10/02 C06627946

expectations would give added impetus to discussions among the Southern Cone countries about convincing the US that they are vitally important to its interest.

Criticism of alleged US disinterest in the world wide advancement of social and economic justice is likely to increase if the less developed countries conclude that the US plans to link human rights to international economic issues by seeking to further its human rights objectives in international financial institutions whose charters call for loan decisions to be made strictly on the basis of economic considerations. The "North-South" dialogue, moreover, could become considerably more contentious generally if controversy over human rights were to severely damage US relations with nations (like Brazil) that have played significant moderating roles in the articulation of LDC demands.























SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS RELATED TO THE US STAND ON HUMAN RIGHTS LATIN AMERICA

NR

NR

NR

NR

		<u> </u>	
FEBRUARY			
28	Argentina and tied to their	Uruguay reject US military assistance human rights performance.	

Approved for Release: 2018/10/02 C06627946



		NF
16	The Argentine Catholic Church issues its first formal criticism of the government's antisubversive campaign. The Church warns that government repression ultimately will prove counterproductive.	
		NR









