Security Information

Frankfurt (Info: Fran - Attn: Muni)

- 1. On the basis of available information, Headquarters feels that ASTRONSKI is, at best, an opportunist; at the very worst, it is possible that he might be probably unwittingly - an instrument of the RIS. Because of this it is improbable that clearance to cooperate with him would be granted. Therefore, it is recommended that any relations with ASTRONSKI be conducted with utmost caution. This, however, does not preclude exploratory talks in an attempt to discover as nearly as possible, the strength of the BCR.
- Headquarters discussions also reflect unfavorably on the principle of EUBARKA attempting to femor two rival political factions to cooperate. Therefore, should future exploration of the ASTRONSKI group reveal that the above mentioned doubts are unfounded and should it also be discovered that actually in posing agent-candidate reservoir, it is then suggested that the BCR be treated as a completely separate operation functioning with separate support, training facilities, etc.

analysis of the reports submitted by ASTROWSKI. 3. Attached is C

astrouski Muchut (left) W2B &.

SECRET Security Information

DECLASSIFIED AND REL NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2005

# SECURITY Information

by the field, they are expressed here as indicating this Case Officer's mx reflections. To following is, therefore, submitted for your grammat perusal and for, sugestions and or comments you may wish to relate.

NOT SUPPORTING ASSTRACES KY

In para 2 of eference dispatch.) ASTRONSKI claims that "the British were interested rely in the procurement of military intelligence", but at the same time was that "the British did not seem interested in operations into the same time as that "the British did not seem interested in operations into the same time as as a seed assets (and BIS must also have been so informed) present extensive time if ince gathering potentialities, it does not appear plausible that the BIS shold have neglected to exploit the BCR unless:

a. After inestigating ASTROWSKI, had decided that these claims were largely on completely unfounded,

- b. Had deided that ASTROMSKI has been mininformed or deliberately misled to believe that such contacts exist,
- c. Had neovered that the assets are RIS monitored or are RIS fabrications or provocations,
- d. Had discovered that although ASTROMSKI's assets may be existent, his organization, the BCR, is unable to undertake such missions into the BSS or that his organization is insecure for such penetration missions,
- e. The (and this is rather unlikely) it (BIS) is actually unable or unwill! to meet ASTROWSKI's demands. Regardless of what ASTROWSKI's demands of the BIS may have been, political financial, it appears more that the BIS would have attempted, meet anxiously, to exploit SECILL Security Information

ر کی

BW

au-

### SECHET SEBURITY Information

- 3 -

desperate need for agent candidates, decided to present potentialities which he believed KUBARK could not overlook. Fire g. ASTROWSKI, finding himself in desperate need for funds or political prestige, decided upon this course of action as a last resort to ameliorate his position.

#### 4. RIS vis-a-vis ASTROWSKI's Alleged Assets

sympathetic to any partisan forces 1.)

It is noticed that ASTROWSKI's claims concerning his contacts (regardless) of whether these are in Poland or Byelorussia) offer the following as doubtful:

a. That an important personality such man as Gen. R. would have remained uncovered in his role as a defector, or that Gen. R. would have survived the many investigations conducted by Security Forces prior to his obtaining a ministerial appointment. (If this Gen. R. is RADKEVICH RADKEVICH, then it becomes almost impossible to assign any credence to ASTRONSKI's claims It is impossible to conceive that RADKEVICH, NKVD-trained, appointed by Moscow as Chief of the Polish IIB. a position he has held since 1944, alleged to be in direct contact with BERIA, and

reportedly being more highly trusted than Marshall ROKOSOVSKI/-could be

b. If Gen. R. is someone else than RADKRVICH, it is nevertheless unlikely that he is allowed to conduct or support partisans unless the RIS is monitoring Gen. R. in his clandestine role on the assumption that considerably more can be gained by monitoring tactics than by completely annihilating this resistance movement. It is also possible that the RIS is sponsoring Gen. R. in his clandestine activity which then results.

in effect, that the resistance movement is an unwitting ergan of the RIS.

Security rmation

## SECITE I Security Information

- 4 -

c. That Soviet Security organs, possibly unaware of Gen. R's. role in

partisan affairs, are probably mann cognizant, by this time, of his

appointing individuals of known unreliability to responsible positions, and

in particular full manny his security with known in later To:

Analysis of ASTRONSKI's Alleged Assets

In screening the reports submitted to AIS by ASTROWSKI, the undersigned observes the following:

- a. ASTROWSKI's description of the communication system, by which he obtained knowledge of partisan activities, appears to be rather poorly administered. That premise being true, then little doubt remains that the RIS is completely aware of the partisans' identities, the groups' locations, their activities, and their plans.
- b. In that ASTROWSKI is claiming an unusually large amount of assets behind the Iron Curtain; this tends to indicate fabrication and/or provocation.
- c. In listing his assets, ASTROWSKI contradicts basic assumptions as rewards internal Soviet policies. By stating that numerous former Byelorussian partisans are presently employed within State Security Services, ASTROWSKI is attempting to have AIS believe that no RIS or UB security checks and clearances are conducted on the applicants. As the security EX forces are largely communist party members, ASTROWSKI, the security EX forces are largely communist party members, ASTROWSKI, by inference, is further claiming that the have succeeded in joining the CP. In addition, ASTROWSKI claims that the second, though less powerful, underground group is composed mainly of people deported from Byelorussia between 1944 and 1946, but no explanation is forwarded as to how these deported people returned to the BSSR. It appears that ASTROWSKI infers

SECRET Security Information

BM

### SEGNET Security Information

- 5 <del>-</del>

known to the undersigned indicates strong measures are exerted in restraining people from returning to their former place of measures.

In conclusion, ASTROWSKI's claims indicate that these assets are known to his secret operations section, but he admits that there has been no contact, other than written, since the spring of 1948. In the last analysis, therefore, there is no definite proof that these assets still exist, or, if existent, remain free from RIS control.

- d. ASTROWSKI's eagerness to combine the BCR "in all operational phases" with the GAMBISTA 1 organization presents the following as possible: Being denied by the BIS the definite prestige of possessing a functioning clandestine network, ASTROWSKI, attempting to organize his own political unit, has approached the AIS for purely political expediency. It would be more likely, should ASTROWSKI possess such contacts in the BSSR, to insist that AIS cease operational contacts with CAMBISTA 1, thereby denying CAMBISTA 1 the benefits of such penetrations. Furthermore, by agreeing to cooperate with CAMBISTA 1 in clandestine operations, ASTROWSKI would be denying himself the inherent propaganda value in having his organization being the sole emigre group successfully operating for the liberation of the Byelorussian people.
- e. Yet another aspect worth considering is that according to CAMBISTA 10,
  ASTRONSKI did not reorganize the BCR until 1948; this, then, tends to
  indicate that any information known concerning resistance within the BSSR
  would be known to the Headquarters personnel in the BNR. ASTROWSKI reports,
  however, that no information was received by him, except by mail, since the
  spring of 1948. This, therefore, indicates that "Captain Wasily S"
  returned main, to the spring of 1948, and simultaneously, prior to ASTROWSKI's

Security Information

# SECRET Security Information - 6 -

forming the BCR. It, therefore, becomes doubtful that the information brought back by Captain Vasily S. would not have become known among the BMR leadership, nor does it seem likely that the BMR would not have been knowledgeable of Captain Vasily EM S's mission. Had the BMR been aware of this Captain, his name would certainly have figured more prominently than it has to date. It is difficult to reconcile that the BCR and Captain / Vasily S's information arose concurrently and without the BMR ever strongly / considering ASTROWSKI as being anything but an opportunist.

#### 6. CAMBISTA 1's Possible Reaction to Merger Proposal

The undersigned also questions that CAMBISTA 1 cannot act in any other manner than by cooperating with KUEARK. To date, all correspondence indicates that CAMBISTA 1 is strongly opposed to the BCR and that it has considerable doubte as to ASTROWSKI's genuiness. It is quite possible that CAMBISTA 1 would prefer severing any operational contact with KUBARK rather than endangering themselves, or their agents, to what CAMBISTA 1 believes "may be a Soviet controlled organization". CAMBISTA 1, furthermore, appears to have definite and powerful motivation in its aims and ambitions. Therefore, it does not seem likely that CAMBISTA 1 would compromise to willingly collaborate with the BCR whom they consider an opportunist organization. There also seems to be some doubts that the financial backing that is supplied by KUBARK is so great that CAMBISTA 1 could not possibly refuse KUBARK merger proposals. The possibility always remains that CAMBISTA 1 would attempt to find support for its activities elsewhere. In any case, whether it agreed to collaborate with the BCR or not, KUBARK-CAMBISTA relations would certainly become more strained. Should KUBARK insist and realize success in establishing this proposed

SEGNET

Security Information

ant

SECLET
Security Information
- 7 -

collaboration, any leakage of such KURARK pressure among the emigration-atlarge might result in serious complications with other emigre groups.

> SECRET Security Information