Central Intelligence Agency

Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation Act of 2002

Annual Report for the Fiscal Year 2010



Table of Contents

Tab A	Executive Summary
Tab B	Comparative Data FY2006 – FY2010
Tab C	FY2010 EEO Statistical Report of
	Discrimination Reports
Tab D	Agency Regulation: Discipline and
	Accountability
Tab E	Agency's Zero Tolerance Policy

Α

Insert Signed Executive Summary Here

Complaint Activity		omparati ious Fisca		ata	
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Number of Complaints Filed	12	24	22	21	33
Number of Complainants	11	23	22	21	32
Repeat Filers	1	2	0	0	1

Complaints by Basis		omparat	ive Data al Year D	ata	
Note: Complaints can be filed alleging multiple bases. The sum of the bases may not equal total complaints filed.	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Race	2	- 5	. 19	8	11
Color	0	1	1	3	0
Religion	1	3	1	0	3
Reprisal	3	7	8	28	18
Sex	6	11	15	6	12
National Origin	1	4	1	7	4
Equal Pay Act	0	0	0	0	0
Age	4	4	8	6	10
Disability	6	7	1	19	9
Non-EEO	0	0	0	. 0	0

Complaints by Issue		Comparat			
	Pr	<u>evious Fi</u>	scal Year		
Note: Complaints can be filed alleging multiple issues. The sum of the issues may not equal total complaints filed.	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Appointment/Hire	4	0	3	0	7
Assignment of Duties	1	9	9	10	9
Awards	1	2	3	7	1
Conversion to Full-time	ō	0	0	0	0
Disciplinary Action	<u> </u>				
Demotion	0	0	0	0	0
Reprimand	1	Ö	ō	1	1
Removal	0	1	0	8	1
Suspension	0	0	0	0	0
Other	O	1	0	Ö	3
Duty Hours	0	ol	0	1	
Evaluation Appraisal	0	3	1	2	9
Examination/Test	0	0	1	0	1
Harassment					
Non-Sexual	. 9	7	10	11	3
Sexual	1	2	3	1	1
Medical Examination	0	0	o	3	1
Pay (Including Overtime)	0	1	1	Ö	2
Promotion/Non-Selection	6	8	16	6	9
Reassignment					
Denied	1	3	1	8	3
Directed	0	1	1	1	2
Reasonable Accommodation	0	1	0	0	1
Reinstatement	0	. 0	0	o	0
Retirement	0	0	0	0	0
Termination	0	1	2	4	4
Terms/Conditions of Employment	0	1	0	9	1
Time and Attendance	0	1	0	0	1
Training	2	2	3	5	5
Other	2	0	0	0	6

	(Comparat	ive Data		
	Prev	ious Fisc	al Year D	ata	
Processing Time	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Complaints pending during fiscal year					
Average number of days in investigation stage	145	173	181	218	180.05
Average number of days in final action stage			240	277	61
Complaint pending during fiscal year where hearing was requested					
Average number of days in investigation stage	657	296	226	240	·o
Average number of days in final action stage			304	312	0
Complaint pending during fiscal year where hearing was not requested		,			
Average number of days in investigation stage	396	183	198	304	290.85
Average number of days in final action stage			49	49	61

Complaints Dismissed by Agency		omparati ious Fisca		ata	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Total Complaints Dismissed by Agency	4	3	3	5	4
Average days pending prior to dismissal	63	17	34	28	36
Complaints Withdrawn by Complainants					
Total Complaints Withdrawn by Complainants	2	3	2	2	. 2

Pending Complaints Filed in		omparat ious Fisca		ata	
Previous Fiscal Years by Status	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Total complaints from previous Fiscal Years	4	3	10	18	30
Total Complainants	4	. 3	10	18	29
Number complaints pending					
Investigation	0	0	Ö	0	0
Hearing	3	3	8	13	18
ROI Issued, Pending Complainants Action	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0
Final Action	1	0	1	3	2
Appeal with EEOC Office of Federal Operations	0	0	1	2	0

Complaint Investigations		omparati ous Fisca		nta	
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Pending Complaints Where					
Investigations Exceeds Required Time					
Frames	. 3	0	1	2	6

С

Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279_
ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS
(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency

REPORTING PERIOD: FY 2010

EO COUNSELOR	1	
.co coonsecon	COUNSELING	S INDIVIDUALS
. TOTAL COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS	55	54
1. COUNSELED WITHIN 30 DAYS	15	14
2. COUNSELED WITHIN 31 TO 90 DAYS	30	30
a. COUNSELED WITHIN WRITTEN EXTENSION	25	25
PERIOD NO LONGER THAN 60 DAYS b. COUNSELED WITHIN 90 DAYS WHERE	25	
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATED IN ADR	5	5
C. COUNSELED WITHIN 31-90 DAYS THAT WERE UNTIMELY	0	0
3. COUNSELED BEYOND 90 DAYS	10	10
4. COUNSELED DUE TO REMANDS	0	0
DR INTAKE OFFICER	1 .	
- The state of the	COUNSELING	NDIVIDUALS
. TOTAL COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS	0	0
		† - <u>-</u> -
1. COUNSELED WITHIN 30 DAYS	0	0
2. COUNSELED WITHIN 31 TO 90 DAYS	0	0
a. COUNSELED WITHIN WRITTEN EXTENSION	0	
PERIOD NO LONGER THAN 60 DAYS b. COUNSELED WITHIN 90 DAYS WHERE		0
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATED IN ADR	0	0
c. COUNSELED WITHIN 31-90 DAYS THAT WERE UNTIMELY	. 0	- 0
3. COUNSELED BEYOND 90 DAYS	0	0
4. COUNSELED DUE TO REMANDS	0	0
OMBINED TOTAL		
·	COLMEELING	LINDRADUMO
	COURSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS
TOTAL COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS	55	54
1. COUNSELED WITHIN 30 DAYS	15	14
2. COUNSELED WITHIN 31 TO 90 DAYS	30	30
a. COUNSELED WITHIN WRITTEN EXTENSION		
PERIOD NO LONGER THAN 60 DAYS	25	25
b. COUNSELED WITHIN 90 DAYS WHERE	_	
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATED IN ADR c. COUNSELED WITHIN 31-90 DAYS THAT WERE UNTIMELY	5	5
3. COUNSELED BEYOND 90 DAYS	10	0
4. COUNSELED DUE TO REMANDS	0	10 0
PRE-COMPLAINT ACTIVITIES	COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS
ON HAND AT THE BEGINNING OF THE		
REPORTING PERIOD	10	10
2. INITIATED DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	57	54
3. COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS	55	54
a. SETTLEMENTS (MONETARY AND	2	2
NON-MONETARY)		2
b. WTHDRAWALS/NO COMPLAINT FILED c. COUNSELINGS COMPLETED/ENDED IN	20	20
REPORTING PERIOD THAT RESULTED		• .
IN COMPLAINT FILINGS IN REPORTING		į
PERIOD	33	32
d. DECISION TO FILE COMPLAINT PENDING	$\overline{}$	
AT THE END OF THE REPORTING PERIOD	0	0
4. COUNSELINGS PENDING AT THE END OF THE REPORTING PERIOD	12	12
S. C.		
1		
i i		
		ľ
, [T.	

Printed on 2/3/2011

Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279

(b)(3)

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY	OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency	REPORTING F		FY 2010				
PART II -	FORMAL COMPLAINT ACTIVITIES	PART III - AGENCY RESOU	RCFS T	PAINING	REPOR'	TING I IN	E	
36	A. COMPLAINTS ON HAND AT THE BEGINNING	A. AGENCY & CONTRACT I			ILLI OIL	IIIO LIIV		
	OF THE REPORTING PERIOD	765	CEGOOK	-	ENCY	CON	TDACT	C0000
							TRACT	A 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
33	B. COMPLAINTS FILED				TPERCEN	I NOWREK	PERCENT	
	S. COM BARTOTILLED	WORK FORCE						
5	C. DEMANDO (cum of lines C1 (C2 (C2)	a. TOTAL WORK F		0			1	THE STATE OF
	C. REMANDS (sum of lines C1+C2+C3)	b. PERMANENT E	MPLOYEES	0				
2	C.1. REMANDS (NOT INCLUDED IN A OR B)	2. COUNSELOR		0		0		
3	C.2. REMANDS (INCLUDED IN A OR B)	a. FULL-TIME		0	0.00	0	0.00	
0	C.3. NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL REMANDS IN THIS REPORTING	b. PART-TIME		0	0.00	Ō	0.00	· X
	PERIOD THAT ARE NOT CAPTURED IN C.1 OR C.2 ABOVE	c. COLLATERAL (OUTY	Ö	0.00	0	0.00	
0	C.4. ADDITIONAL CLOSURES IN THIS REPORTING PERIOD NOT			KA		EMP 13		
	REFLECTED IN F OR H THAT RESULTED FROM REMANDS	3. INVESTIGATOR				2.107		
l	TE TESTED IN SKITT THE RESERVED THOM NEWANDS			2		2		
71	D. TOTAL COMPLAINTS (sum of lines A+B+C1)	a. FULL-TIME		2	100.00	0	0.00	76a - 1
<u>-</u>	5. TOTAL COMPLAINTS (Sum of lines A+B+CT)	b. PART-TIME		0	0.00	2	100.00	
66	F 001-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	c. COLLATERAL I		0	0.00	0	0.00	
	E. COMPLAINTS IN LINE D THAT WERE NOT CONSOLIDATED				100	1.45.48		
l		4. COUNSELOR/INVESTIGATOR		7	72.5	0		30 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
21	F. COMPLAINTS IN LINE E CLOSED DURING REPORT PERIOD	a. FULL-TIME		7	100.00	0	0.00	
l		b. PART-TIME		0	0.00	ŏ	0.00	
5	G. COMPLAINTS IN LINE D THAT WERE CONSOLIDATED	c. COLLATERAL D	HITY	0	0.00	ŏ	0.00	
		B. AGENCY & CONTRACT S			0.00		0.00	\$200 MIN. 70
0	H. COMPLAINTS IN LINE G CLOSED DURING REPORT PERIOD	S. AGENOT & CONTRACT S	INIT IN	AINING				
			201111					
53	1 COMPLAINTS ON HAND AT THE END OF THE		COUNS		INVEST	GATORS	COUNS/INVI	ESTIG
	I. COMPLAINTS ON HAND AT THE END OF THE		AGENCY	CONTRACT	AGENCY:	CONTRACT	AGENCY	CONTRACT
	REPORTING PERIOD (Line D - (F+H)) + [(C2 + C3) - C4]	1. NEW STAFF - TOTAL	0	0	0	0	2	0
		a. STAFF RECEIVING REQUIRED						
32	J. INDIVIDUALS FILING COMPLAINTS	32 OR MORE HOURS	0	0	. 0	0	2	0
		b. STAFF RECEIVING 8 OR MORE						
2	K. NUMBER OF JOINT PROCESSING UNITS FROM	HOURS, USUALLY GIVEN TO						
	CONSOLIDATION OF COMPLAINTS	EXPERIENCED STAFF	0	0	0		0	١ ,
	* · · - · · · · ·	c. STAFF RECEIVING NO			<u> </u>	0		0
	· ·		_	_	:			
		TRAINING AT ALL	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
		2. EXPERIENCED STAFF - TOTAL	0	0	- 2	2	. 5	0
		a. STAFF RECEIVING REQUIRED						
		8 OR MORE HOURS	0	0	2	2	5	. 0
		b. STAFF RECEIVING 32 OR						
		MORE HOURS, GENERALLY						
	(h)(3)	GIVEN TO NEW STAFF	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(b)(3)	c. STAFF RECEIVING NO						
	(b)(6)	TRAINING AT ALL	0	0	0	0	0	0 .
	(/(/	C. REPORTING LINE						0 .
		1 EEO DIRECTOR'S	NAME.					
		1a. DOES THE EEO DI	_					
		1		PORT		1	YES	NO
	(1-)(0)	TO THE AGENCY F	IEAD?					X
	(b)(3)							
	(b)(6)	2. IF NO, WHO DOES	THE EEO D	RECTOR	REPORT TO)?		
	(8)(6)	PERSON:						
		TITLE: Director of the Emp	loyee Re	source	Center			
	/L\/ O \			·				
	(b)(3)	WHO IS RESPONS	BLE FOR T	HE DAY-TO	DAY OPE	RATION OF	THE EEO	
	(b)(6)	PROGRAM IN YOU						
	(6)(0)	PERSON:						
	•	TITLE: Director of EEO						
	. (1.) (0)	TITLE: Director of EEO						
	(b)(3)	4 1410 0050 7147						
	(b)(6)	4 WHO DOES THAT I	PERSON RE	PORT TO?	•			
	(D)(O)	PERSON:						
		TITLE: Director of the Emp	loyee Re	source	Center			
	i de la companya de							
	·							
	•							
					•			
		•						
	•							
	••							
. .								

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

	K					(REPC	RTING P	ERIOD 6	EGINS 0	CTOBER	1ST AND	ENDS SEF	(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)	0ТН)								
AGENCT OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency		111	oua Guia	Agen C	,											REPO	STING	REPORTING PERIOD: FY	F	201	o	
				•		-	PART IV	- BASE	S AND IS	SUES ALI	LEGED IN	- BASES AND ISSUES ALLEGED IN COMPLAINTS FILED	VTS FILED									
										BASES	S OF ALLEGED	ED DISCRIN	DISCRIMINATION									
				RACE			COLOR	RELIGION	ON REPRISAL		SEX	L	NATIONAL ORIGIN	Ū,	EQUAL	AGE	DISABILITY	\vdash	GINA T			TOTAL
ISSUES OF ALLEGED	AMER INDIANA	N VSIVN	MATTER RAWADAN	<u> </u>	arorw ,	ΝL	386			YALE	LE PENALE	E HISPANICY	, oner	MALE	FEMULE		MENTAL	PHYSICAL	*	BASES CO	COMPLAINTS BY ISSIJE	CONTLAINANTS BY ISSUE
DISCRIMINATION	NATIVE		ISLANDER	AFRICAN	, ₅ 5	. KACES	_					LATING	-112									
A. APPOINTABLE ALL APPOINTABLE	٥	٥	٥	-	O	0	٥	0	5	Н	Н	Н	0			-	0	-	╀	6	_	«
R ASSENSAGINT OF DUTIES	٥	-	٥	4	٥	\dashv	-	_	2	-		_	1			8	0	4	H	27	2	2
C AWARDS	٥	٥	٥	- (9	0	0		٥	-	-	0	٥			0	0	0	0	2	-	-
D. CONVERSION TO FILL TING		ء د	5	>\		+	> (0	\dagger	_	-				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E. DISCHLINARY ACTION			ه (د	-		+) 	기	-	+	\dashv	1		· · · · · ·		-	0	0	0	2	4	4
DEMOTION	٥	9						ه اد	>\ [*]		+	+	0	·		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
None) c		╀	-		- -	+	+	+	- c			5 0	0		- -	7	-	-
4 RENOVAL	0	0	0	-		╀	· -	0		+	> -	- -	> c	1		•	>	0		- - - 	- - 	٥
\$					+	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	1	<u>'</u>	+	· -	<u>}</u>	,			+	+	 		~	m	m
						-	<u> </u>		-	1	\downarrow	+	1			1	\dagger	+	1	1	1	
,			Ŀ	L		-	+		_	1	\downarrow	\downarrow	_			\dagger	1	+	1	1	1	
F DUTY HOURS	٥	0	0	-	0	0	0	c	0	+	-	-	-	-,- -		7	,	┿	_	+	 	
3. EVALUATIONAPPRAISAL	0	-	0	4	0	\vdash	-	2	9	1	-	, -	 -		;	3 4	1	+	+	- 5	- ;	- ;
DE EXAMINATRINITEST	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	╁	, -	- -	- -			7	y -	٦/-		3 4	=	2 ,
, HARASSAENT	0	_	0	0	0	0	-	0	4	\vdash		c	c	,, ;		,	-	+	+	7 4	۱ ۵	4
I WOMSERUAL	0	-	0	0	0	Ļ	-	0	4	╀	╀		,			1,	-	10	+	5 4	0 4	٥
. SEXUAL					:				0	-	0			_	_		1	+	+	<u>}</u>	,	,
1 NEDICAL EXAMINATION	٥	-	٥	_	-	0	0	-	0	+	L	-	-		-	,	-	+	\downarrow	-	-	-
K. PAY RYCL/DRNG OVERTINGS	0	_	٥	-	0	_	0	-	0	╁	-	, -	-	c	c	1	- c) c	0 4	7 (7
L PROMPTTOWNOMSELECTION	0	-	0	-	F	-	c	-	4	+	+	, -	-	,	7	+	9	+	+		, ,	,
N REASSIGNAGNT	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0)	╀	-	- -	- c			1	- - -	+		8 4	5 4	6
I DENTED	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0			-	, c	, c	+	, ~	, «	7
2 DIRECTED	٥	٥	٥	٥	0	0	0	٥	-	0	0	٥	0	·		-	-	╁	┞	, ,	,	,
N REASON/BLE ACCOMMODATION					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			0	0	L				1			0	+		-	1	1-
O REINSTATEMENT	٥	٥	٥	•	•	4	0	0	0	0		0	•			0	0	╀	0			
P RETIFEXENT	٥	٥	٥		0	<u>-</u>	0	0	0	٥		0	0			0	0	0	_	0		0
О. ТЕКМЕМАТІОМ	0	-	٥	-	°	-	0	-	e	0	2	0	-			0	0	-		5	4	4
A TERMACONUMONS OF ENGLOTHENT	٥	٥	٥	4	0	0	-	٥	3	٥	3	0	0		•	2	0	2	0	15	8	60
S. TING AND ATTENDANCE	٥	٥	٥	-	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	0	0	0	0			0	0	┝	L	- -	-	-
r transmo	0	0	ا	ေ	0	0	٥	0	0	0	1	0	0		1	2	0	┝	0	_	2	2
U. UTHER (Please specify below)					-											-		-	L	-		
1.					_	_													-	-		
																\dagger	-	-	-	+	1	
3.											_	_				-		l	╀	-	1	
7															.			-	+	-		
,				_	4										·		-	 	┝			
TOTAL ISSUES BY BASES	0	7	٥	8	-	0	4	_	39		23	Н	9	0	0	- Se	5	12	0			
TOTAL COMPLAINTS FILED BY BASES	0	3	٥	∞	-	0	-	က	20	2	12	2	2	0	0	=	3	6	6	:		٠. '
TOTAL COMPLAINANTS BY BASES	٥	3	٥	8		0	-	က	19		12	Ц	2	0	0	=	3	├				
EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED MARCH 2010)																			l			

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH) STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS **ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY**

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency

REPORTING PERIOD: FY 2010

			PART IVA - BASES OF DISCRIMINATION IN FINDINGS AND ALLEGED IN SETTLEMENTS	BASES O	F DISCRIN	RIMINATION I	IN FINDI	NGS AND	ALLEGEI	IN SETT	FINDINGS AND ALLEGED IN SETTLEMENTS	3	¥					ě		
	Ц					BASES	F DISCRIM	NATION	FINDINGS	AND ALLE	BASES OF DISCRIMINATION IN FINDINGS AND ALLEGED BASES IN SETTLEMENTS	N SETTLEN	ENTS			İ			_	
			2	RACE			COLOR	RELIGION	KEPRISAL	, es	SEX	NATIONAL ORIGIN	ORIGIN	EQUAL PAY ACT	-	AGE D	DISABILITY	GINA		
	AMER. INDIAN	VJ15V	MATTER BANGES	BLATUARRICA	WHITE	TWOOR				MATE	SEVER	Michaelle	ļ	-	T]	-	1		
FINDINGS/ALLEGATIONS IN:	ALASKA		OTHER PACTOR			MORE						CATENO .				TYLING W.	Tr.			
													T	\dagger	+	+	-	\downarrow	-	
1. Counseling Settlement Allegations	ο	Ь	b	٥	-	6	P	P	P	-	6	F	þ	-	-	C	E	k	_	
la. Number of Counselings Settled	٥	٥	0	0	1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	 -			k	, c		
1b. Number of Counselves Settled With	٥	٥	0	0	ļ	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0) -	c	,-	
														-		,	<u>,</u>	,	_	
 Complaint Settlement Allegations 	0	5	>	-	0		0	0	þ	P	1	6	5	 -	0		þ	k		
2a. Number of Complaints Settled	٥	0	٥	-	D	1 0 1	0	Ь	Ь	0	-	6	6	6		╁	+			
2b. Number of Complainants Settled With		0	٥	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	_	
						•							ľ	-	-	Ļ	╀	1	_	
3. Final Agency Decision Findings	0	n	o	0	P	n	 -	 -	þ	-	6	6	 			C	k	L	_	
3a. Number FADs with Findings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	6	c	6		+	╀	,	_	
3b. Number Complainants Issued FAD Findings	5	5	5	0	O	a	-	6	6	5	P	5	5		+	╁	, -			
1																-				
4. AJ Decision Findings	5	0	0	o	0	_ 	0	0	0	0	6	P	5	6	0		c	c		
4a. Number AJ Decisions With Findings	5	9	5	٥	ρ	О	0	0	Ь	b	5		5	 -		ł	, -	, -		
	1													ŀ	-		_	L		
 Final Agency Order Findings Implemented 		-	2	>	>	l 0	n	0	-	6	-	5	5	 -	р Б	þ	þ	6		
in Number of Final Orders With Findings Implemented	ا د	-	5	5	5	9	n	0	0	o	-	-	þ	 -	6	0	6	6		
5b. # of Complainants issued FOs with Findings Implemented		9	5	9	0	0	0	0	D	0	٥	6	Ь	Ь		0	b	b		
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA		ļ	ļ			-	-	+						L		-				
TOTAL PINAL ACTION PRINAL	,	> 2	,	-	-	2	>	-	5	5	-	-	0	n	م ا م	b -	Ь	6		
TOTAL PHALACHON PINDINGS	,	0	5	0	٥	0	>	_ _	- -	0	0	0	0	_ o		0	c	٥		

- Lay										ISSUES	OF DISCRIM	MATION	FINDINGS	AND ALLE	GFD ISSUE	S IN SPITE	FMENTS				l	l				Ì
No. Market Mark					CONVERSION			l	H	-	r	F		-	-	-	CIMICIA IS	-		Ĺ						٠
No.	APPOINTMENT			ğ		DECTLOVE	vaction		i:	A. A.		1	Ť			\perp	$\left \right $	· T				TEPAS &				
Columbia	FINDINGS/ALLEGATIONS IN:	MIRE	OF DUTES	AWARDS	אונד דוווע	L	REPRINCAND	ᆫ	REMON'AL	_	APPRAISAL	1	VON-SEXUAL	Ī			2	\$ 	_	E REIN.			CONDITIONS	TEME AND		
Colored Colo				L					l		f	t	Ī	╀	╂	+	4	+	+	STATEMENT	RETIREMENT	TERNINATION	THENCHME	ATTEMBANCE	TRAINING	OTHER
0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1. Counseling Settlement Allegations	0	-	-	0	0	٥	0	6	c	-	c	c	-		+	+		ļ	,	,	ľ				
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	la. Number of Counselings Settled	0	-	-	c	c	c	c		, c	,	,	,	,	,	+	7	1	4	4	2	5	٥	٥	0	ᅵ
10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1b. Number of Counselees Settled With	c	-	-		,		,		,	,	,	,	,	- -	+	+	1	٥	0	0	٥	0	٥	0	0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					<u> </u>	}		+	+	+	+	1		+	+	+	+		9	٥	٥	0	٥	0	0	0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Complaint Settlement Allegations	0	6	0	-	c	٥	6			-	-	,	-				+	ļ	_						
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	2a. Number of Complaints Settled	e	c	· c		,	6	· c	,	- - -		1	,	7	ا د	0	2	4	٥	5	٥	0	-	0	0	0
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	2h Nimber of Complainants Settled With		,		,	,	, (,	 	+	3	5	9	3		5	°	4	0	。 二	0	0	1	-	0	0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	The course of th			1	1	4	1	+	+	4	9	4	9	9	4		٩	-	9	6	U	U	-	0	c	c
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Final Agency Decision Findings	-	c	G			ļ	-	,	1,	,	1	,	1	4	+	4									1
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	39 Number FADe noth Findings		,	9	,	,	3	,	- - 	- -	١,	5	0		-	+	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	3h Number Complainante Issued FAD Findings	,	,	,	,	,	3	\$	1	+	5	+	9	0	4	4	4	4	٥	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0
O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				4	4	4	4	+	+	4	4	4	9	9	4	4	-	4	٥	0	0	0	6	0	c	c
Titled O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	AJ Decision Findings	6	e	6	-	ļ	c	-	-	+	,	-	,	+	4	+	4									
Inted O	4a. Number A I Decisions With Findings	c	٥	ا	,	,	, (1	1	1	 		3	5	4	+	1	5	٥	0	0	0	0	D	6	0
Inted 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		,	,	1		1		+	+	+	+	+		+	4	+	+	0	٥	٥	٥	0	0	0	0	0
Machine O O O O O O O O O	Final Agency Order Findings Implemented	0	0	ŀ	-	6	c	c	-		,	-	,	1	4	+	+	-								
O 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sa. Number of Final Orders With Findings Implemented	0	L	-	-	0	,		1	+	+	+	1	7	4	+	1		4	٩	a	9	d	0	0	0
0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	th # of Complainants issued FOe with Eindians [mal-marted	L	,	,		,	1	,	1	 	7	3	-	3	4	4		9	٥	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	non-security minutes and security minutes and secur				}	\$	3	+	+	4	+	-	0	0	+	+	\dashv	٥	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0
	OTAL SETTLEMENT ALLEGATIONS	0	L	-	c	c	G						,		+		-	-	ļ							П
	OTAL PINAL ACTION FINDINGS	c	٥	c				,	,	1	1	1	1	1	+	+	7		5		٥	0	1	0	0	0
				,	1			,		1			9	-	_	-	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

page 3a

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency REPORTING PERIOD: FY 2010

PART V - SUMMARY OF CLOSURES BY STATUTE

- A. STATUTE (IF A SINGLE COMPLAINT HAS MULTIPLE STATUTES RECORD EACH ON THE APPROPRIATE LINE.)
 - 16 1. TITLE VII
 - 2. AGE DISRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT (ADEA)
 - 2 3. REHABILITATION ACT
 - ____4. EQUAL PAY ACT (EPA)
 - 5. GENETIC INFORMATION NONDISCRIMINATION ACT (GINA)

B. TOTAL BY STATUTES

21 THIS NUMBER MAY BE LARGER THAN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS CLOSED.

PART VI - SUMMARY OF CLOSURES	BY CATEGO	RY		
		TOTAL	TOTAL	AVERAGE
		NUMBER	DAYS	DAYS
A. TOTAL NUMBER OF CLOSURES	(1+2+3)	16	4056	253.50
1. WITHDRAWALS		2	734	367.00
a. NON-ADR WITHDRAWALS		2	734	367.00
b. ADR WITHDRAWALS		0 .	0	0.00
2. SETTLEMENTS		2	559	279.50
a. NON-ADR SETTLEMENTS		2	559	279.50
b. ADR SETTLEMENTS		0	0	0.00
3. FINAL AGENCY ACTIONS	(B+C)	12	2763	230.25
B. FINAL AGENCY DECISIONS WITHOUT AN ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE DECISION	(1+2+3)	12	2763	230.25
1. FINDING DISCRIMINATION		.0	0	0.00
2. FINDING NO DISCRIMINATION		5 .	2083	416,60
3. DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS		7	680	97.14
C. FINAL AGENCY ORDERS WITH AN ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE (AJ) DECISION	(1+2)	0	0	0.00
1. AJ DECISION FULLY IMPLEMENTED	(a+b+c)	0	0	0.00
(a) FINDING DISCRIMINATION		0.	0	0.00
(b) FINDING NO DISCRIMINATION		0	0	0.00
(c) DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS		0	0	0.00
2. AJ DECISIÓN NOT FULLY IMPLEMENTED	(a+b+c)	0	0	0.00
(a) FINDING DISCRIMINATION	(i+ii+iii)	0	0	0.00
i. AGENCY APPEALED FINDING BUT NOT REMEDY	- <u> </u>	0	0	0.00
ii. AGENCY APPEALED REMEDY BUT NOT FINDING		0	0	0.00
iii. AGENCY APPEALED BOTH FINDING AND REMEDY		0	0	0.00
(b) FINDING NO DISCRIMINATION		0	0	0.00
(c) DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS		0	0	0.00
EOC FORM 462 (REVISED SEPT 2010)			<u> </u>	0.00

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED SEPT 2010)

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS (REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH) AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency REPORTING PERIOD: FY 2010 PART VI - SUMMARY OF CLOSURES BY CATEGORY (Continued) TOTAL TOTAL AVERAGE NUMBER DAYS DAYS D. FINAL AGENCY MERIT DECISIONS (FAD) ISSUED (1+2+3+4)5 302 60.40 1. COMPLAINANT REQUESTED IMMEDIATE FAD (1a+1b) 3 181 60.33 a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 60 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF FAD REQUEST 2 119 59.50 b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 60 DAYS BEYOND RECEIPT OF FAD REQUEST 1 62 62.00 2. COMPLAINANT DID NOT ELECT HEARING OR FAD (2a+2b) 2 121 60.50 a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 60 DAYS OF END OF 30-DAY ELECTION PERIOD 1 60 60.00 b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 60 DAYS BEYOND END OF 30-DAY ELECTION PERIOD 1 61 61.00 3. HEARING REQUESTED; AJ RETURNED CASE TO AGENCY FOR FAD WITHOUT AJ DECISION (38+3b) 0 0 0.00 a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 60 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF AJ RETURNED CASE FOR FAD ISSUANCE 0 0 0.00 b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 60 DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF AJ RETURNED CASE FOR FAD ISSUANCE 0 0 0.00 4. FINAL AGENCY DECISION ISSUED ON A MIXED CASE (4a+4b) 0 0 0.00 a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER INVESTIGATION 0 0 0.00 b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 45 DAYS AFTER INVESTIGATION 0 0.00

PART VII - SUMMARY OF FORMAL COMPLAINTS CLOSED BY	TYPES OF BENEFITS
	NUMBER
A. TOTAL COMPLAINTS CLOSED WITH BENEFITS	2
B. CLOSURES WITH MONETARY BENEFITS TO COMPLAINANT	2
1. BACK PAY/FRONT PAY	1
2. LUMP SUM PAYMENT	1
3. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	0
4. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS	. 0
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK	A ST THE SECOND
E. CLOSURES WITH NON-MONETARY BENEFITS	1
F. TYPES OF BENEFITS	NUMBER OF CLOSURES WITH MONETARY BENEFITS
1. HIRES	. 0
a. RETROACTIVE	0
b. NON-RETROACTIVE	. 0
2. PROMOTIONS	1
a. RETROACTIVE	1
b. NON-RETROACTIVE	0
3. EXPUNGEMENTS	0
4. REASSIGNMENTS	0
5. REMOVALS RESCINDED	0
a. REINSTATEMENT .	. 0
b. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION	0
3. ACCOMMODATIONS	0
7. TRAINING	0
3. APOLOGY	0 .
DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS	0
a. RESCINDED	0
b. MODIFIED	0
0. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED	0
1. LEAVE RESTORED	0
2.0	0
3. 0	0
4.0 EOC FORM 462 (REVISED MARCH 2009)	0

(b)(3)

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

CV		REPORTING	PERIOD: EV	2010
DING COMP	LAINTS BY	CATEGORY	/	
NUMBER PENDING	NUMBER OF DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS	NUMBER OF	DAYS PENDING
53	22538			
1	41	41.00	4	1
27	4753	176.04	33	9
21	16034	763.52	120	67
4	1710	427.50	56	8
3.90	ONS COME	PLETED		
		TOTAL	TOTAL DAYS	AVERAGE DA
	(1+3)	13	3650	280.77
	(a+b+c)	11	3151	286.45
	`	3	535	178.33
		6	. 1774	295.67
		1	329	329.00
		5	1445	289.00
		0	0.40	421.00
			842	421.00
			842	421.00
,	(a+b+c)	2	499	249.50
	(a+b+c)	<u></u>		
	(a+b+c)	-2	499	249.50
	(a+b+c)	2 0	499 0	249.50 0.00
	(a+b+c)	2 0 2	499 0 499	249.50 0.00 249.50
	DING COMPONING STATES TO S	DING COMPLAINTS BY NUMBER NUMBER OF DAYS 53 22538 1 41 27 4753 21 16034 4 1710	NUMBER PENDING OF DAYS DAYS 1	DING COMPLAINTS BY CATEGORY

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED MARCH 2009)

page 6

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency REPORTING PERIOD: FY 2010

PART X - SUMMARY OF ADR PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

 -	PART X - SUMMARY OF ADR I		ries		
A INTEN	INFORMAL PHASE (PRE	-COMPLAINT)	·		
	TIONALLY LEFT BLANK CTIONS IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS		T	7	
		COUNSELINGS 20	INDIVIDUALS 18	-	
1.	ADR OFFERED BY AGENCY		 	4	
2.	REJECTED BY COUNSELEE	13	12		. *
3.	INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK		ļ		
4.	TOTAL ACCEPTED INTO ADR PROGRAM	7	7		
C. ADR RE	SOURCES USED IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS (TOTALS)	1	1		
1.	INHOUSE	1	1		
2.	ANOTHER FEDERAL AGENCY	. 0	0		
3.	PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS, (e.g., CONTRACTORS, BAR ASSOCIATIONS, INDIVIDUAL VOLUNTEERS				*
1	OR COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY PERSONNEL)	0	0		
4.	MULTIPLE RESOURCES USED (Please specify in a comment box)	0	0		
5.	FEDERAL EXECUTIVE BOARD	0	0		
6.	0	. 0	0		•••
7.	0	0	0		e e
		COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS	DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS
D. ADR TE	CHNIQUES USED IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS (TOTALS)	1	1	8	8.00
1.	MEDIATION	0	0	0	0.00
2.	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES	0	. 0	0	0.00
3.	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATIONS	0_	0	0	0.00
4	FACTFINDING	0	0	0	0.00
5.	FACILITATION	0	0	0	0.00
6	OMBUDSMAN	1 .	1	8	8.00
7.	PEER REVIEW	0	0	0	0.00
8.	MULTIPLE TECHNIQUES USED (Please specify in a comment box)	0	0	0	0.00
9.	0	0	0	0	0.00
10.	0	0	0	0	0.00
11.	0	. 0	0	0	0.00
E. STATU	S OF ADR CASES IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS	COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS	DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS
1.	TOTAL CLOSED	7	. 7	209	29.86
	a. SETTLEMENTS WITH BENEFITS (Monetary and Non-monetary)	0	0	0	0.00
	b. NO FORMAL COMPLAINT FILED	3	3	44	14.67
	c. COMPLAINT FILED	1			
•	i. NO RESOLUTION	0	0	0	0.00
	ii. NO ADR ATTEMPT (aka Part X.E.1.d)	4	4	165	41.25
	DECISION TO FILE COMPLAINT PENDING AT THE END OF THE C. REPORTING PERIOD	0	0	0	0.00
· 2.	INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK				
ECC FORM 463	(REVISED MARCH 2009)				

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED MARCH 2009)

page 7

UNCLASSIFED

	(b)(3)
of a finding of discrimination, we did settle some cases. In FY 2010, there were two settlements n FY 2009, CIA had five settlements These amounts do not include settlements paid at the hearing stage. Continuing a five-year trend, race, sex, reprisal, and disability were the leading bases for the CIA's EEO complaints in FY 2010.	
During the past two years, the CIA has implemented several changes to enhance its EEO/Diversity program. In July 2010, we created a new Employee Resource Center (ERC). The ERC combines the offices and/or components of EEO, Diversity, Alternative Dispute Resolution, Grievance, and Recruitment Outreach into one corporate center, in an effort to more effectively address key EEO and Diversity issues.	(b)(3)
CIA continues to focus on educating the workforce on diversity and fairness issues. We implemented several programs that promoted workforce inclusiveness and appreciation for cultural and individual differences. These programs include a mandatory multi-cultural course, an online No FEAR Act course, and workshops on a range of issues such as Women and Success and a Harassment Free Workplace. CIA continues its efforts with such initiatives as implementing the recommendations of the Workplace Dynamics Initiative, the Leadership Development Program, the CIA Mentoring Program, and training for managers who supervise disabled employees.	
CIA strengthened outreach efforts through our "Advice Only" program, encouraging managers and employees to seek advice on EEO-related issues without officially entering the EEO process. Under this program, in FY 2010, 402 staff members sought the advice of an EEO counselor, a dramatic increase over 209 in FY 2009.	•
CIA takes its responsibility seriously to provide a workplace that is free from discrimination and harassment, and we continue to seek new and innovative ways to improve diversity and fairness at the Central Intelligence Agency.	
	(b)(3) (b)(6)

2 UNCLASSIFED

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

:		NS OCTOBER 1ST AND E			
AGENCY OR D	EPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency		REPORTING PERIO	DD: FY 2010	
	PART XI - SUMMARY OF ADR PR	OGRAM ACTIVITIES			1
		FORMAL PHASE			77774-11-1-1
	ALLY LEFT BLANK			3	
	NS IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES ADR OFFERED BY AGENCY	COMPLAINTS	COMPLAINANTS		
	REJECTED BY COMPLAINANT	0 :	0	-	
	NTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
4. · T	OTAL ACCEPTED INTO ADR PROGRAM	0	0		
	JRCES USED IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES (TOTALS)	0	0		
	NHOUSE NOTHER FEDERAL AGENCY	0	0		
	RIVATE ORGANIZATIONS, (e.g., CONTRACTORS,				
8	AR ASSOCIATIONS, INDIVIDUAL VOLUNTEERS				
	PR COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY PERSONNEL)	0	0	Į.	
	IULTIPLE RESOURCES USED (Please specify in a comment box)	0	0		
6. O	EDERAL EXECUTIVE BOARD)	0	0		
7. C		0	0		
		COMPLAINTS	COMPLAINANTS	DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS
	IQUES USED IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES (TOTALS)	0	0	0	0.00
	MEDIATION	0	0	0	0.00
	ETTLEMENT CONFERENCES ARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATIONS	0	0	0	0.00
	ACTFINDING	0	0	0	0.00
5. F	ACILITATION	Ö	Ö	0	0.00
	MBUDSMAN	0	0	. 0	0.00
	IINI-TRIALS EER REVIEW	0	0	0	0.00
	EER REVIEW IULTIPLE TECHNIQUES USED (Please specify in a comment box)	0 0	0	0	0.00
10. 0		0	0	0	0.00
11. 0		ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0.00
12. 0		0	0	0	0.00
	CASES IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES OTAL CLOSED	COMPLAINTS	COMPLAINANTS	DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS
	a. SETTLEMENTS WITH BENEFITS (Monetary and Non-monetary)	0	0	0	0.00
	b. WITHDRAWAL FROM EEO PROCESS	0	ŏ	0	0.00
					0.00
	c. NO RESOLUTION	0	0	0	0.00
	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT	0	0	0 0	0.00 0.00
2. IN	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK	0	0		
2. IN BENEFITS RI	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED				
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. M	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK	0 COMPLAINTS	0 COMPLAINANTS		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY	COMPLAINTS 0 0 0	COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM	COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0	COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0	COMPLAINANTS. 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM	COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0	COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0	COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f. g.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS)	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. M/1 a. b. c. c. d. e. e. f. g. 2. N/2	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. M/1 a. b. c. c. d. e. e. f. g. 2. N/2	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. C. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a. b.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. 2. NK a. b. c. b. c.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE jii. NON-RETROACTIVE jii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00
2. IN MBENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. 2. N(c a. b. d.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2. IN MBENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. 2. N(c a. b. d.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00
2: IN MBENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. 2. N(a. b. c. d.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00
2. IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a. b.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE iii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. d. e. f. g. f. g. f. f. g. f. f. g. f. g. f. g. f. f. g.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE iii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00
2: IN MBENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. g. f. g. a. b. c. d. d. e. f. g. d. d. e. f. g. f. g. f. g. f. f. g. f. d. e. f. d. e. f. d. e. f. f. g. f. f. g. f. f. g. f. g. f. g. h.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING APOLOGY	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O	0.00
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. g. f. g. d. d. e. d. d. e. d. f. g. d. d. e. d. f. g. d. f. d. e. d. f. g. h.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING APOLOGY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. MM a. b. c. d. g. f. g. d. d. e. d. d. e. d. f. g. d. d. e. d. f. g. d. f. d. e. d. f. g. h.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING APOLOGY	0 COMPLAINTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O	0.00
2. IN BENEFITS RI 1. M a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 2. N(a. b.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE iii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT iii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING APOLOGY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS i. RESCINDED	O COMPLAINTS O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O	0.00
2: IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. k.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE iii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT iii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING APOLOGY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS i. RESCINDED ii. MODIFIED PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED LEAVE RESTORED	O COMPLAINTS O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O	0.00
2. IN BENEFITS RI 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 2. NC a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 1. Mi a. b. c. d. e. f. g. 1. Mi a. b. b. b.	d. NO ADR ATTEMPT ITENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK ECEIVED ONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES BACKPAY/FRONTPAY LUMP SUM ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS 0 0 0 ON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS) HIRES i. RETROACTIVE ii. NON-RETROACTIVE PROMOTIONS i. RETROACTIVE iii. NON-RETROACTIVE EXPUNGEMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED i. REINSTATEMENT ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION ACCOMMODATIONS TRAINING APOLOGY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS i. RESCINDED ii. RESCINDED ii. RESCINDED ii. RESCINDED ii. RESCINDED	O COMPLAINTS O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0 COMPLAINANTS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O	0.00

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EN	MPLOYMENT O	PPORTUNITY			7
STATISTICAL REPORT OF DIS	SCRIMINATION	COMPLAINTS			
(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBE	R 1ST AND ENDS SEPT	EMBER 30TH)			
AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: Central Intelligence Agency	REPORTING PERI	OD: FY 2010			
PART XII - SUMMARY OF EEC	ADR PROGRA	AM ACTIVITIES			
EEO ADR RE	SOURCES				1
A. NO LONGER COLLECTED					7
			1		
B. EMPLOYEES THAT CAN PARTICIPATE IN EEO ADR	0	(b)(3)			
C. RESOURCES THAT MANAGE ADR PROGRAM (DOES NOT INCLUDE NEUTRALS AS REPORTED IN PARTS X. & XI.) 1. IN-HOUSE FULL TIME (40 HOURS EEO ADR ONLY) 2. IN-HOUSE PART TIME (32 HOURS EEO ADR ONLY) 3. IN-HOUSE COLLATERAL DUTY (OTHERS/NON-CONTRACT) 4. CONTRACT (ANOTHER FEDERAL AGENCY/PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS)	1 0 0 0		(b) (b)	(3) (6)	
D. ADR FUNDING SPENT					
E. ADR CONTACT INFORMATION 1. NAME OF ADR PROGRAM DIRECTOR / MANAGER 2. TITLE Ombudsman 3. TELEPHONE NUMBER 4.EMA	IL N/A				(b)(3)
F. ADR PROGRAM INFORMATION]
Does the agency require the alleged responsible management official to	o participate in ADP2		YES	NO X	
1a. If yes, is there a written policy requiring the participation?			+	 ^-	
2. Does the alleged responsible management official have a role in deciding	ng if the case is approp	priate for ADR?		X	İ
CERTIFICATION AND CO	NTACT INFO	RMATION			(5)(2)
Certify that the EEO complaint data contained in this report, EEOC Form 46 Report of Discrimination Complaints, for the reporting period October 1, through	62 Annual Federal Fo	ual Employment Opportunity St	atistical		(b)(3) (b)(6)
SIGNATURE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: (Enter PIN here to serve as your electronic signature	2)	(b)(3)			
DATE: 11/4/2010 TELEPHONE NUMBER: (b)(3)	E-MAIL				
NAME AND TITLE OF PREPARER Paralegal	E-WAIL				
DATE: 11/4/2010 TELEPHONE NUMBER: (b)(3)	E-MAIL:				
he FY 2010 report (with the PIN entered) is due on or before November 1, 2010.					
EOC FORM 462 (REVISED MARCH 2010)				page 9	!
	(b)(3))(3))(6)

(b)(3) (b)(6)

Appendix A - Comments

AGENCY: Central Intelligence Agency - YEAR: 2010

Part 1

CIA - I.A - Counselings - We had several new counselors who did not understand the 90 day deadline for counseling. The new counselors have now been trained on this issue.

Part 2

CIA - II.A - Last year CIA had an ending balance of 29 and that should have been our starting balance. However, we had five class action complaints that were being held in abeyance as we waited for whether they would be satisfied and they were not included in this balance. These case were not certified and were placed back in the system for individual processing and so they needed to be included in our total. Our understanding was that these cases were to be excluded from the 462 while they were held in abeyance. We also had two cases on appeal that were not added to the inventory but should have been. Thus, this explains the difference of 7 between last years ending balance and this years beginning balance.

Part (

CIA - VI.B Ave Days - The days exceed 400 because we had two cases where their was an amendment and a mutual agreement by the parties to extend for an additional 90 days.

Part 8

CIA - VIII.A.3 Pending Oldest Case

(b)(3)

Part 12

CIA - XII.B Employees participate in ADR - The contract is with an Invidividual Contractor (IC) who provides indepenent consultatons and informal counsel as the Agency Ombudsman, performing casework on issues requiring independent assessment of concerns and issues raised by Agency employees. Consulting time will comprise time spent counseling employees and interviewing parties involved with employee concerns and general problems. In addition, the IC serves as the Program Manager for the Alternative Dispute Resolution Program, which includes: (1) reviewing cases -both EEO and non-EEO; (2) identifying mediators; (3) identifying appropriate parties for the mediation; (4) educating managers and employees on ADR; (5) establishing peformance measures; and (6) provide quarterly reports on the program.

ČIA - XII.F.1 Agency require mgmt participate in ADR - Select No - The Agency does not require a specific manager to attend the ADR session. Rather, it ensures that a manager who has the ability and authority to resolve the case is present.

 \Box

Approved for residues. 2022/00/01 0000002/0	(b)(2) (b)(3)	
		· <u>·</u>
Title: AR 13-3 (U) DISCIPLINE AND ACCOUNTABILITY		
·		
		(b)(2) (b)(3)

(b)(2) (b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279	
	(b)(2) (b)(3)
	(2)(3)
Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279	

Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279

(b)(2) (b)(3)

(b)(Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279	
(~)(

Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279	
	(b)(2) (b)(3)
	(5)(5)
Approved for Release: 2022/03/31 C06803279	

Е

THE DIRECTOR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

Statement from the Director: Zero Tolerance for Harassment and Discrimination

The Central Intelligence Agency can only be as strong and as good as its people. This organization, the servant of a democracy, must embody and reflect the highest values of that democracy. CIA has zero tolerance for harassment or discrimination on the basis of race, religion, color, sex, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, or status as a parent. That is both a legal obligation and a civic duty. For me, public service in America is inseparable from the promotion of equal opportunity.

I view the Agency's Office of Equal Employment Opportunity as a strong resource, a reservoir of advice and expertise, in fostering the best possible work environment. Make use of the knowledge and talent there. As always, if any employee encounters or witnesses behavior that may cross the line into harassment or discrimination, they, too, should contact OEEO. They can do so secure in the knowledge that the Agency—and the law—shields them from reprisal.

I will hold managers at every level accountable for the working environment in the units they lead. You have a right to expect that. But every Agency employee—supervisor and non-supervisor alike—has a shared responsibility to ensure that CIA is an equitable, harassment-free place in which to serve, grow, and excel.

We must all strive to build and sustain a workplace worthy of our mission. Our awareness of that duty must guide—among other actions—the complete range of management decisions, from hiring and training to assignments, promotion, and career development. If CIA is to be at its best in safeguarding America, a difficult job in a dangerous world, we need to get the most from all our officers. We need them to reach their full potential.

By being true to these policies, we demonstrate the values that shape our nation and inspire our service. They are central to our identity as an Agency and to our effectiveness in protecting the American people.

Leon E. Panetta

UNCLASSIFED

No FEAR Act Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2010 Executive Summary

The No FEAR Act, signed by President George W. Bush on 15 May 2002, is intended to reduce the incidence of workplace discrimination within the Federal Government by making agencies and departments more accountable for their actions. Section 203 of the No FEAR Act specifically requires, not later than 180 days after the end of each fiscal year, each Federal agency to submit to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives, each committee of Congress with jurisdiction relating to the agency, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Attorney General an annual report with specific information relating to each agency's Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) complaints activity. The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) fully endorses, and intends to comply with, the intent of the No FEAR Act, and in accordance submits its annual report covering the fiscal year (FY) 2010.

CIA adheres to the provisions of Federal EEO laws and regulations to provide equal opportunity in employment for all persons; to prohibit discrimination on the basis of federally-protected categories—that is, age (40 and over), color, disability, national origin, race, religion, sex, and reprisal for opposing employment discrimination and/or for participating in the EEO process—and on the basis of other categories, such as sexual orientation, marital status, status as a parent, and political affiliation as protected by Executive Order or written CIA policy; and to promote the full realization of EEO.¹

CIA processed 33 formal complaints in FY 2010 and 21 in FY 2009. The number of formal complaints in FY 2010 showed an increase from the five-year trend of approximately 20 complaints per year. We will monitor to see if this is a new trend requiring adjustment of our current practices or a one-year anomaly.

There was not a single finding of discrimination in the past two years; therefore, no employees were disciplined as a result of an EEO formal complaint. CIA has a Zero Tolerance Policy for discrimination and harassment, which is intended to hold employees to a higher standard than required by Federal law. We have disciplined employees for violating this Zero Tolerance Policy. Thirteen employees were disciplined under this policy in FY 2010 and 26 employees in FY 2009. The discipline ranged from oral warnings to removal from position. Although CIA did not pay any judgments as a result

¹ The No FEAR Act is codified in the Merit Systems Protection Act (MSPA). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 2302(a) (2) (C), the Central Intelligence Agency is exempted from the MSPA. Nevertheless, CIA is subject to certain provisions of law specified in section 202 of the No FEAR Act found under § 2302(d) of the MSPA. These include the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination Act of 1967, the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and all other applicable laws, rules, or regulations prohibiting discrimination on the bases of marital status or political affiliation. The CIA, however, is not subject to §2302(b) of the whistleblower statutes based on its exemption.

UNCLASSIFED

of a finding of discrimination, we did settle some cases. In FY 2010, there were two	
settlements in FY 2009, CIA had five settlements	
These amounts do not include settlements paid at the hearing stage.	(b)(3)
Continuing a five-year trend, race, sex, reprisal, and disability	
were the leading bases for the CIA's EEO complaints in FY 2010.	
During the past two years, the CIA has implemented several changes to enhance	
its EEO/Diversity program. In July 2010, we created a new Employee Resource Center	
(ERC). The ERC combines the offices and/or components of EEO, Diversity, Alternative	•
Dispute Resolution, Grievance, and Recruitment Outreach into one corporate center, in	•
an effort to more effectively address key EEO and Diversity issues.	
CIA continues to focus on educating the workforce on diversity and fairness	•
ssues. We implemented several programs that promoted workforce inclusiveness and	
appreciation for cultural and individual differences. These programs include a mandatory	
multi-cultural course, an online No FEAR Act course, and workshops on a range of	
issues such as Women and Success and a Harassment Free Workplace. CIA continues its	
efforts with such initiatives as implementing the recommendations of the Workplace	
Dynamics Initiative, the Leadership Development Program, the CIA Mentoring Program,	
and training for managers who supervise disabled employees.	
CIA strengthened outreach efforts through our "Advice Only" program,	
encouraging managers and employees to seek advice on EEO-related issues without	•
officially entering the EEO process. Under this program, in FY 2010, 402 staff members	
sought the advice of an EEO counselor, a dramatic increase over 209 in FY 2009.	
CIA takes its responsibility seriously to provide a workplace that is free from	•
discrimination and harassment, and we continue to seek new and innovative ways to	
improve diversity and fairness at the Central Intelligence Agency.	
	(b
	(b
	(()

2 UNCLASSIFED