

CONFIDENTIAL

DOCUMENT ID: 53326058
INQNO: GSCAN1D 00601110
DOCNO:
PRODUCER:
SOURCE: STATE
DOCTYPE:
DOR: 19840404
TOR: 03480000
DOCPREC: R
ORIGDATE: 198404040348
DOCCLASS: C

HEADER

OO RUEAIIB
ZNY CCCCC ZOC STATE ZZH
UTS4786
OO RUEHC
DE RUESNA #2233 0942110
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
O 032058Z APR 84
FM AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8188
BT
EZ1:

STATE DEPT. DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW
 Retain class'n
 Declassify
Change/classify to _____
with concurrence of _____
after _____
EO 12958, 25X
IPS/CR/IR by ~~SECRET~~ Date: 8/29/01

CONTROLS

SR2

\C O N F I D E N T I A L SANTIAGO 2233\
EXDIS
EZ2:

TEXT

SR3

EO 12356: DECL: OADR
TAGS: SHUM OPRC PREL CI FRG
SUBJECT: CONGRESSIONAL INQUIRY ON RAUFF CASE
REF: STATE 096095

1. C-ENTIRE TEXT.
2. POST HAS RECEIVED INQUIRY DATED MARCH 27 FROM SENATOR RUDY BOSCHWITZ OF MINNESOTA ENCLOSING A LETTER FROM FRED WILDAUER OF MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA ASKING THAT THE SENATOR DO WHATEVER HE CAN TO BRING ABOUT THE EXTRADITION OF WALTER RAUFF. THE INQUIRY FROM THE SENATOR IS A ROUTINE CONGRESSIONAL LETTER ASKING FOR THE EMBASSY'S CONSIDERATION OF THE CONSTITUENT'S LETTER. WE UNDERSTAND FROM REFTTEL AND STRONGLY AGREE THAT THE DEPARTMENT INTENDS TO PRESERVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE UGS ROLE IN THIS SENSITIVE MATTER, AND BELIEVE THEREFORE THAT A RESPONSE TO SENATOR BOSCHWITZ SHOULD BE DELIBERATELY NON-SPECIFIC. OUR INTENTION IS TO ANSWER ALONG THE FOLLOWING LINES:

"IT HAS BEEN LONGSTANDING U.S.POLICY TO SEEK TO HAVE ACCUSED NAZI WAR CRIMINALS BROUGHT TO JUSTICE. THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE HAS FOLLOWED THE RAUFF CASE

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2001 2007

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
2000
CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO
DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR
RELEASE OF CIA INFORMATION
IN THIS DOCUMENT

CONFIDENTIAL

CLOSELY AND HAS CONSULTED WITH OTHER INTERESTED GOVERNMENTS TO DETERMINE WHAT MIGHT BE DONE TO RETURN RAUFF TO GERMAN JURISDICTION. THE GOVERNMENT OF **CHILE** IS AWARE OF U.S. VIEWS ON THIS MATTER, BUT IT WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATE OR USEFUL TO ENTER INTO THE DETAILS OF CONFIDENTIAL DIPLOMATIC COMMUNICATIONS".

3. REQUEST DEPARTMENT'S CONCURRENCE WITH THE PROPOSED RESPONSE.

THEBERGE

NNNN

NNDD