

89-1

INVOICE CHECK LIST

MCULTA Subproject 89


Date of Original Authorization	Period Covered	Time Extended To	Allotment Number	Amount of Obligation
16 Sept 58	1 Year		2452-1592	10,620.00

Additional Authorizations	Period Covered	Time Extended To	Allotment Number	Amount of Obligation

Invoice Number	Date	Amount	Balance
#1	22 Sept 58	10,620.00	

REMARKS:

3811.53
3721.78
2975
6088.47
189222



89-3

INVOICE

For services

B

\$18,500.00



CERTIFICATIONS

(1) It is hereby certified that this is invoice No. 7 applying to MKULTRA, Subproject 60, that performance is satisfactory; that the services are being accomplished in accordance with mutual agreements, that a detailed agenda of the payments and receipts is on file in TSD/RB, that the bill is just and correct and that payment thereof has not yet been made.

Chief, TSD/Research Branch

Date: _____

(2) It is hereby certified that this invoice applies to MKULTRA, Subproject 60, which was duly approved, and that the project is being carried out in accordance with the memorandum dated 13 April 1953, from the DCI to the DD/A, and the extension of this authority in subsequent memoranda.

Research Director

Date: _____



89-3

CERTIFICATION

(3) It is hereby certified that the program under Subproject 89 has been satisfactorily completed and returned unused funds. In addition, Subproject 60, which is still continuing has also returned unexpanded funds from its 1959-60 annual budget. Therefore, it is requested that the amounts as shown below on Invoice No. 7 of Subproject 60 be credited to the subprojects as shown below.

<u>Subproject</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>MOR</u>	<u>Allotment</u>
# 89	\$3721.78	637	9-2502-15-902
# 60	\$1860.34	73	0525-1009-4902

Chief, TSD/Research Branch

Date: _____

Research Director

Date: _____

89-4

September 15, 1960

Memorandum to [redacted]

Subject: [redacted] Hungarian Returnee Project

The status on this project is that we are awaiting approval of Mrs. [redacted] final report. We are holding the balance of this account until we are certain that no further expenses will arise.

The following is the status on this project:

Received: \$ 10,620.00

Expenditures:

[redacted] fees	\$6,390.00	
[redacted] expenses	236.72	
Translator fees and expenses	<u>271.50</u>	<u>6,898.22</u>
Balance remaining in Project Account		<u>\$3,721.78</u>

The above is a true accounting on this project.

[redacted signature area]

I have examined and approved the submitted expenditures.

A [redacted signature] Chief

TSS/Chemical Division

Date: 21 September 1960

89-5
October 22, 1959

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF MRS. [REDACTED] ON FEES AND EXPENSES PAID

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Translator</u>	<u>Total</u>
Sept. 1958	390.00			390.00
October	750.00		21.00	771.00
Nov.	600.00		51.00	651.00
Dec.	750.00	27.52	36.60	814.12
Jan. 1959	600.00			600.00
Feb.	600.00	62.50	79.45	741.95
Mar.	600.00	3.00	29.00	632.00
April	750.00			750.00
May	450.00			450.00
Aug.	900.00	37.00	71.40	<u>1,008.40</u>
	<u>6,390.00</u>	<u>130.02</u>	<u>288.45</u>	<u>6,808.47</u>
Total Received for [REDACTED]		10,620.00		
Paid		<u>6,808.47</u>		
Balance 8-31-59		3,811.53		

I have examined and approved the submitted expenditures.

[REDACTED]
Chief

TSS/Chemical Division

Date: 2 Nov 59

89-6

Ret Hungarian Repat-
riation Project
Expenses

Dec. 29, 1958

EXPENSES

Mrs. [redacted] C

\$10.00	payment to respondent
8.72	telephone and telegram
.80	postage
8.00	transportation
	<hr/>
	\$27.52

[redacted] C Interpreter:

\$7.00	6 hours
7.00	payment to respondent
2.00	telephone
2.50	transportation
1.10	postage
	<hr/>
	\$36.60

[redacted] C

Payment rec'd

I have examined and approved the admitted
expenditures.

[redacted] A

TSS/Chemical Division

Date: 8 Dec 59

89-7

Feb. 6, 1959

Re: Expenses Jan. 1959
Hungarian Repatriation Research

Mrs. [redacted] Interpreter

Interpreting: 18 1/2 hrs @ \$3.00/hr
Transportation:
Telephone:

\$ 55.50 ✓
3.70
3.30
\$ 62.50

Mrs. [redacted]

Telephone and telegram:
Transportation:
Postage & stationery:
Respondent, [redacted]

\$ 6.13
5.27
1.00
67.05 **
\$ 79.45

* \$48.60 of this amount spent in relation to [redacted]

** This amount excludes \$30 reimbursed to [redacted] for expenses related to [redacted] - \$20.00 from [redacted] \$10.00 from a New York Post reader.

OK 525 a 524
2-11-59

I have examined and approved the submitted expenditures.

Chief
TSS/Chemical Division

Date: 8/9/59

89-8

B

[REDACTED]

B

[REDACTED]

Expenses for Mrs. [REDACTED], Feb., 1959

\$2.15 telephone
\$7.00 9 hours interpreting
\$28.00 total

C

Expenses for [REDACTED], Feb., 1959

\$8.00 telephone, postage, etc.
(Am very willing, since this amount is so small,
that payment of this expense be held and added
to next bill.)

[REDACTED]

C

PD
Chas
605
602
3-17-59

I have examined and approved the submitted
expenditures.

A

[REDACTED]

Chief
Psychological Division

Date:

[Signature]

89-9

Aug. 16, 1959

Re: Expenses Hungarian
Repatriation Research*

Mrs. [redacted] Interpreter

Interpreting: 21 1/2 hours @ \$3/hr
Transportation
Telephone

\$ 64.50
4.30
2.60
71.40

776
8-20-59

Mrs. [redacted]
Interviews of Rs 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21
Expenses

\$900.00
37.00
\$937.00

cc 775
8-20-59

* Final expenses on phase of research covering interviewing
of repatriation applicants.

APPROVED BY
[redacted signature]

I have examined and approved the submitted
expenditures.

[redacted signature]
Chief
ISS/Chemical Division

Date: 8-20-59

89-10

NO. 637

9-2503-15-202
ALLOTMENT

89

DATE	REMARKS AND REFERENCES	OBLIGATIONS INCURRED	OBLIGATIONS LIQUIDATED	UNLIQUIDATED BALANCE
19 SEP 1958	<i>Authorization</i>	10,620.00		10,620.00
24 SEP 1958	<i>Invoice #1</i>		10,620.00	-
		24 SEP 1958	119. 0	

89-11

16 September 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: COMPTROLLER

ATTENTION : Finance Division

SUBJECT : MKULTRA, Subproject No. 89

Under the authority granted in the memorandum dated 13 April 1953 from the DCI to the DD/A, and the extension of this authority in subsequent memoranda, Subproject 89 has been approved and \$10,620.00 of the over-all MKULTRA project funds has been obligated to cover the subproject's expenses. This obligation of funds should be charged to Allotment 9-2502-15-902.

~~████████████████████~~
████████████████████
TSS/Chemical Division

A

APPROVED FOR OBLIGATION OF FUNDS:

~~Original signed by~~
~~████████████████████~~

Research Director

Date: _____

Distribution:
Orig & 2 - Addressee

I CERTIFY THAT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE, 19 SEP 1958
OBLIGATION REFERENCE No. 637
CHARGE TO ALLOTMENT No. 9-2502-15-902

AUTHORIZING OFFICER

1 - TSS/QCSD 1 30 6W.28
1 - TSS/FASB
COMBIBOTTEB

~~████████████████████~~

89-12

22 September 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: CHIEF, FINANCE DIVISION

VIA : TSS/Budget Officer

SUBJECT : MKULTRA, Subproject #9, Invoice #1
Allotment 9-2502-15-902

1. Invoice No. 1 for the above subproject is attached.
Payment should be made as follows:

Cashier's check in the amount of \$10,620.00, drawn
on a [redacted] bank and made payable to [redacted]

2. Please forward the check to Chief, TSS/Chemical
Division through TSS/Budget Officer by Monday, 6 October 1958.

3. This is a final invoice. A total of \$10,620.00 was
obligated under this subproject during FY 59. However, since
it is anticipated that additional funds will be obligated for this
project, the files should not be closed.

[redacted]
Chief
TSS/Chemical Division

Attachments:
Invoice & Certifications

CHECK # 13146 THE AMOUNT OF \$10,620.00
RECEIVED 2 OCT 1958

Distribution:
Orig & 2 - Addressee

Dr. 989 000637 600.1 2502-15-902. 17.1 10,620.00

FINANCE DIVISION

10,620.00

07588

Q

89-13

RECEIPT

I hereby acknowledge receipt of the following:

E Treasurer's Check No. FE 13146, in the amount of \$10,620.00 drawn on
the [redacted] dated September 30,
1958, payable to [redacted] B

[redacted] C

Date: 10-9-58

89-14

22 September 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: CHIEF, FINANCE DIVISION

VIA : TSS/Budget Officer

SUBJECT : MKULTRA, Subproject 81, Invoice #1
Allotment 9-2502-15-902

1. Invoice No. 1 for the above subproject is attached.
Payment should be made as follows:

Cashier's check in the amount of \$10,620.00, drawn
on [redacted] bank and made payable to [redacted]

TS

2. Please forward the check to Chief, TSS/Chemical
Division through TSS/Budget Officer by Monday, 6 October 1958.

3. This is a final invoice. A total of \$10,620.00 was
obligated under this subproject during FY 59. However, since
it is anticipated that additional funds will be obligated for this
project, the files should not be closed.

A

[redacted]
Chief
TSS/Chemical Division

Attachments:
Invoice & Certifications

Distribution:
Orig & 2 - Addressee

- 1 - TSS/FASB
- 2 - TSS/CD

A

TSS/CD/ [redacted] (22 Sept. 1958)

[redacted]

89-15

INVOICE

For services

\$10,620.00

B

CERTIFICATIONS

(1) It is hereby certified that this is Invoice #1 applying to Subproject 89 of MKULTRA, that performance is satisfactory, that services are being accomplished in accordance with mutual agreements, that a detailed agenda of the payments and receipts is on file in TSS/CD, that this bill is just and correct and that payment thereof has not yet been made.

Chief, TSS/Chemical Division

Date: _____

(2) It is hereby certified that this invoice applies to Subproject No. 89 of MKULTRA which was duly approved, and that the project is being carried out in accordance with the memorandum of 13 April 1953 from the DCI to the DD/A, and the extension of this authority in subsequent memoranda.

Research Director

Date: _____

[Redacted]

89-16

[REDACTED] 16 September 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: COMPTROLLER

ATTENTION : Finance Division

SUBJECT : MKULTRA, Subproject No. 89

Under the authority granted in the memorandum dated 13 April 1958 from the DCI to the DD/A, and the extension of this authority in subsequent memoranda, Subproject 89 has been approved and \$10,620.00 of the over-all MKULTRA project funds has been obligated to cover the subproject's expenses. This obligation of funds should be charged to Allotment 9-2502-15-902.

[REDACTED]

Chief
TSS/Chemical Division

A

APPROVED FOR OBLIGATION OF FUNDS:

Research Director

Date: _____

- Distribution:**
 Orig & 2 - Addressee
 1 - TSS/OC
 1 - TSS/FASB
 ✓ 1 - TSS/CD
 TSS/CE **[REDACTED]** (16 Sept 58)

A

[REDACTED]

A 89-17

DRAFT
15 September 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Project MKULTRA, Subproject No. 89

1. The purpose of this project is to investigate the sources of disaffection of Hungarian refugees and to determine the cause for voluntary redefection (return) to Hungary. This investigation is undertaken in response to a continuing requirement (a) to elucidate the sources of disaffection of the defectors and (b) to authenticate recommendations on the handling of defectors. The attached proposal summarizes the procedure which will be followed.

2. Mrs. ^C [REDACTED] a consultant of [REDACTED] B
[REDACTED] as agreed to act as principal investigator. The cover has been the general interest of the Society in coordinating research in all aspects of the Hungarian revolt and refugee problems.

3. The funding and nominal supervision of this project will be handled by [REDACTED] B in the regular manner. Accounting for the funds expended will be according to the procedures previously established for the Society relative to grants to unwitting agents. Any unused funds remaining at the conclusion of the project will be returned to the Agency. It is not anticipated that

[REDACTED]

any permanent equipment will be acquired during the project. Travel funds will be accounted for in a manner consistent with the established practice of [redacted] B

4. The total cost of this project for a period of one year beginning 2 September 1958 will be \$10,620.00. Charges should be made against Allotment 9-2502-15-902.

[redacted]

Chief
TSS/Chemical Division

Approved for Obligation of Funds:

[redacted]

Research Director

A

Date: Sept. 18, 1958.

Attachment:
Research proposal

Distribution:
Original only

[redacted]

SUMMARY OF PROSPECTUS - STUDY OF RETURNING HUNGARIAN REFUGEES

Purpose

To determine the factors leading to the critical decision of certain Hungarian refugees in the U.S. to return to Hungary.

Procedure

1. Interviews with returnees: Employing data supplied by [REDACTED]

The interviews will follow the format of [REDACTED] case work contacts, oriented primarily to a large schedule of potential causal factors and secondarily to possible remedial action.

2. Control group interviews: A control group matched to the returnee sample but which has not applied for return will also be interviewed.

3. Examination of relevant data on other refugee groups from which there has been a significant sample of returnees.

4. Comparison of returnee sample characteristics with characteristics of refugees studied in the [REDACTED] study.

Personnel

The principal investigator will be Mr. [REDACTED] an experienced psychiatric case worker who has already participated in the pilot interviews in the follow-up of the Cornell-Rutgers case study.

The principal investigator will be assisted by a suitable part-time interpreter-translator. Clerical assistance will be supplied by [REDACTED] but statistical and other data processing assistance as required will be performed by part-time help.

Duration

The project is planned for a duration of one year. It is estimated that the interviews will be completed within a period of nine months. The subsequent 3 months will be devoted to final data processing and preparation of reports.

89-18

Estimated Cost for one year:

Principal investigator	\$8,000.00
Interpreter-translator (part-time)	2,000.00
Travel	350.00
Telephone and other contact costs	<u>270.00</u>
Total	\$10,620.00

C [redacted] Proposal

DRAFT
89-19

1. Background:-

A major focus in the investigation of human ecology is the study of personality and situational determinants of extremely critical decisions made by individuals and groups. The emigres from the 1956 Hungarian revolt have proved to be a unique source of case material for such a study because:

- a. they represent a relatively homogeneous group (national culture, departed at same time under somewhat similar circumstances, etc.)
- b. they made two extremely critical decisions--
 - to leave Hungary
 - to come to the United States-- effort in obtaining a visa

Considerable research has been conducted with the groups of emigres who came to America, for example:

B [redacted] Medical, psychological, sociological factors in motivations follow-up of selected cases

B [redacted] (Brief Summary)

B [redacted] (Brief Summary)

B [redacted] (Brief Summary)

B [redacted] (Brief Summary)

A small percentage of this group have made an even more critical decision--to return to Hungary. This group, therefore, would appear to represent a particularly valuable object of study in terms of the personality and situational determinants of each of the three decisions, with special emphasis on the third and most final, but with attention to consistencies and regularities in the process of all three.

It has been reported *that approximately 500 of the 38,000 refugees admitted to United States have already returned. Of these 500, current information indicates that about half are returning as a result of their own decisions; that is, were not forcibly repatriated or were not dependents of a family of returnees. It was also reported that not one of the returnees came from the group of 1300 professionals assisted by the NAS in vocational placement. A breakdown of 111 "unable to adjust" and "unwilling" to adjust to the United States was reported. No indication was given of who made this categorization, nor on what data.

2. Research Problem:

It is proposed to study a sample of Hungarian returnees in order to learn answers to the following questions:

- a. What are the determinants of the decision to return?
- b. How well do these determinants discriminate returnees from those who stay?
- c. How is the decision to return related to earlier decisions to:
 - (1) leave Hungary
 - (2) go to United States?
- d. What actions prevent (or would have prevented) the decision to return?

3. Determinants to be Investigated and Examples of Hypotheses to be Tested:

- a. Demographic - Is it a specific age, sex, occupational, educational, or family status which is returning?

* By Mr. [REDACTED]

to a conference on the Hungarian problem sponsored by [REDACTED]

13

b. Social -

- (1) Is the kind and amount of assistance in integrating into the American community an important determinant?
- (2) Is family separation important?
- (3)

c. Economic - Has lack of job opportunity contributed to desire to return?

d. Political - Is political ideology and/or disillusionment with Democracy responsible for a desire to return? Have propaganda or more direct means of influence affected their decision?

e. Psychological - Have specific personality traits or psychopathology influenced the actions of the returnees to an important degree?

f. Cultural - Is identification with the Hungarian culture or institutions of major importance in preventing integration in the American community?

4. Procedure:-

a. Three sets of data to be collected:

- (1) Available information on all the Hungarian returnees who have already departed. Information in terms of whatever identifying data is available in the records of [REDACTED] H
various refugee organizations such as [REDACTED] B
and a cross-check of name files of the research groups who have interviewed or questioned refugees. --This data would have to be compared with whatever is available on the refugee group as a whole.
- (2) Interviews with as many as possible of those who have currently

requested exit permits, or who so request in the course of the next nine months. Where interviews cannot be arranged, use of a written questionnaire to be administered by whatever cooperating authority that can be arranged. (This will probably require assistance of ~~the~~ which is still to be obtained.)

- (3) Interviews with a matched control group, preferably of those who initiate a request and then withdraw it (size of such a sample and availability of data is still unknown), but possibly substituting individuals of similar age, education, family status, religion, occupational background, etc.

In addition, there will be studies of relevant data and literature on post-World War II returnees of other parolee groups. (e.g. Chinese, Russians.)

b. Analysis of data

- (1) The data will be coded into categories relevant to hypotheses and compared, using contingency table analysis--comparing returnees with total refugee group where data is available and with control group where data is unavailable on larger sample.
- (2) A clinical analysis of intensity and implications of significant differences.
- (3) Cross validation through "blind" prediction

c. Reporting

- (1) A brief technical report will be prepared
- (2) A non-technical summary oriented toward welfare groups and government

89-19

agencies concerned with refugee problems in general

5. Research Personnel:-

a. Principal Investigator ^c [REDACTED] (See attached vita)

A social worker, ^c [REDACTED] brings to this study:

- (1) experience in field interviewing on sensitive topics
- (2) cross-disciplinary orientation
- (3) familiarity with social rehabilitation specifically refugee groups
- (4) familiarity with Hungarian Refugee group

b. Clerical and Non-Professional

- (1) qualified interpreter when and as required
- (2) clerk-typist on part time

6. Budget:-

Salaries	\$10,000.00
Travel	350.00
Telephone and Messages	270.00
	<hr/>
	\$10,620.00