
CHARTANALIZA F RE	ERNAL ONLY	eliteramidemaniae min	Radional State and a second	AFIDENTIAL SECRET
	COUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
BJECT: (Optional)	eeting w	ith Mr.	Bill McAi	ee (State)
ROM: CILLER, CCS			EXTENSION	NO.
GA-SA, MA			9164	12 F 5 5
); (Officer designation, room number, and iding)	. D	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
Mr. Colby DCI 7D-5607	2/13	3/17		Bill,
c/ccs			,	Attached is my Memo for the Record of my discussion with Bill McAfee which I briefly
).				reported to you on 6 February 1974.
				I think you would be particularly interested in the
1 0				following paragraphs:
			L	10.15 CONTROL CONTROL VI
			1	10-15: THIRD COUNTRY EFFORT
			X	16: DEPARTMENT OF STATE COMPLAINTS
. I will			, L	19: RE-WRITE OF ROGER CHANNEL AIRGRAM 6693 which
. 20				was meant to be the substitute for the Presidential letter that you had proposed.
				la l
2.				EWI
3.				
				<i>:</i>
5.			<u> </u> 	

SECRET / <u>SPASITENT</u>
Approved for Release: 2020/08/14 C01474416



II February 1974

MEMOR	MIICIA	FOR	THE	RECORD
-------	--------	-----	-----	--------


SUBJECT:

Meeting with Mr. William McAfee, DDC/INR

Department of State on 6 February 1974

ROBERT R. MULLEN COMPANY

1. On 6 February 1974 I visited Mr. William McAfee, DDC/INR, to brief him of our use of the Robert R. Mullen Company for Initially this meeting was scheduled for the afternoon of 4 February 1974. Mr. McAfee had to cancel this date. I was not available the next day. By the time we met, CBS had broadcast our cover involvement with the Mullen Company via TV in the evening of 5 February and in an expanded version via	(b)(1) (b)(3)
radio on 6 February 1974.	ile maile
2. I told Mr. McAfee that we had one officer under Mullen	(b)(1) (b)(3)
from August 1969 to June 1973 in I said these officers had been engaged in operational activities which were compatible with our understanding with the respective host intelligence services, but that the latter had not been informed of their presence. I added that toward the end of the previous week, we had become aware of the possibility of the public revelation of our cover relationship with the Mullen Company. We, therefore, instructed our Chiefs of Stations in	(b)(1) (b)(3)
to brief their Ambassadors and with their	(b)(1)
concurrence, the chiefs of the liaison services. This was done on 2 February 1974, and on the same day, Mr. Shackley briefed Acting	(b)(3)
Assistant Secretary of State Hummel. Mr. Roosevelt then informed Assistant Secretary Hartman on 4 February. I said that as of the time of our meeting, we had no indications that the publicity would result in difficulties by the	(b)(1) (b)(3)
the reaction of was never predicted.	(b)(1)
	(b)(3)
E2 IMPDET	(b)(1) (b)(3)



3. Mr. McAfee expressed his particular disappointment of not having been briefed on 2 February 1974. He said that he had been in the office as Duty Officer. This would have put him in a position to handle the inquiry by Deputy Assistant Secretary Wells Stabler on 5 February 1974. Mr. Stabler is the Deputy Assistant Secretary in the Bureau of European Affairs responsible for the Low Countries. I let this observation pass without comment. Mr. McAfee then asked me for the names of the 2 NOC officers. I replied that as long as their names had not been made public, I preferred not to do so.

(b)(1) · (b)(3)

<u>-</u>2 -

(b)(1)
(b)(3)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY KUBISCH LETTER TO AMBASSADORS IN THE WH AREA

7. While arranging the meeting with Mr. McAfee the previous afternoon by telephone, he asked me whether I had seen the draft letter to ambassadors by Assistant Secretary Kubisch. I said I had, and that it presented problems. Mr. McAfee said that Mr. Kubisch was anxious to get it out. I suggested we discuss it during the meeting and informed that we would do so.

(b)(1) (b)(3)

8. Mr. McAfee asked Mr. James Gardner to join us. The latter opened the meeting by stressing the urgency to get an agreed draft. I said this could be achieved if Mr. Kubisch would accept that CIA will not participate in the CASP exercise, and that discussion of CIA personnel and activities would be on a bilateral basis between the Department and CIA. I added that we had no problem with doing this at the time of, but outside of the actual CASP review. Messrs. McAfee and Gardner agreed that CIA affairs should not be discussed in front of other participants in the CASP review.

Approved for Release: 2020/08/14 C01474416

(b)(1) (b)(3)

1.

_1...

\$1900年200日第1

PERUEIN STROLLINE

(k	b)(1) b)(3)

(b)(1) (b)(3)
; ; ;
\$: :
;

DEPARTMENT OF STATE COMPLAINTS

16. Mr. McAfee then took his turn of getting some complaints off his chest. It consisted of two items:

a. CIA's Use of the PFIAB

(b)(1 (b)(3

TAL SLIP When	joined us to discuss the Kubisch draft	(b)
ANSMITTAL SLIP When		(b)
DM NO.		(b)
MARKS:		at i-(b
Mr. Colby has ret		a (b
The attached me		ov Ov
explains what is of volumes. In a complete set is		ei ei
IG.	And the first section of the section	
FROM: OLC		
ROOM NO. 7 D 35 He		NG NG

\$\$1837 / \$20011\(\)E Approved for Release: 2020/08/14 C01474416

		(b)(1) (b)(3)
RE-WRITE OF ROGER CHAN	NEL CIRCULAR AIRGRAM 6693	
19. I asked about the Circular Airgram 6693 of 17 I Secretary of State. Mr. McA re-drafts, one of which finally again, but INR took exception	e status of the re-write of Roger Channel December 1969. He said it was with the fee had previously told me of a number of y went to Deputy Secretary Rush. He had it re- to the elimination of an unidentified paragraph. ssent were sent to Secretary Kissinger where	ione
COMMENT		
name of an in a very friendly	cather extensive exchange of views was atmosphere as an exposition of the respective ns " in the hope that it may assist in resolving	(b)(1) (b)(3)
Orig: C/CCS DCI - DD0		(b)(1) (b)(3)
ADDO	Chief, Cover & Commercial Staff	
C/AF, C/NE, C/SB (Paras. 4-6; 10-15) C/WH (Paras.4-6; 7-9; 10-15)	-8-	(b)(1) (b)(3)
C/EA, C/EUR (Paras. 1-6, 10-15) Chrono		(b)(1) (b)(3)