

SECRET

ABSTRACT OF DOCUMENT BEING CROSS FILED			CROSS FILED BY	
FILE NO.	SOURCE	DATE OF DOCUMENT	ANALYST	
32-6-7-1486	MGLA-7499	25 July 51	E J	
SUBJECT			DATE	
Rumanian Emigres in Austria and Vicinity			16 Mar. 54	
PERTINENT INFORMATION				
<p>2. The contact <u>UJDRIFTER</u> - UJDRUID is based upon their Macedorumanian origin and similar political leanings. UJDRIFTER met UJDRUID in 1929 in Rumania, at which time UJDRUID was already recognized as a leading Macedorumanian nationalist. From 1934 until 1941 UJDRIFTER maintained close liaison with UJDRUID. After the contact was broken by the departure of UJDRUID from Rumania, the two were again reunited in 1945 by Gogu PUIU, a Macedorumanian and mutual friend. It is believed that UJDRIFTER is in permanent correspondence with UJDRUID at present, and he visited UJDRUID in company with USAGE in Nov. 1950 in Rome.</p> <p>6. UJDRIFTER -(Bishop) Victor LEU. This strange connection began in the spring of 1950, when UJDRIFTER was introduced to LEU by a Rumanian refugee in Strobl, Land Upper Austria. LEU later visited UJDRIFTER several times, lived at UJDRIFTER's house, and in the summer of 1950 nominated UJDRIFTER as "Orthodox Church Representative for the Salzkammergut". During this period LEU admitted he was in contact with the BIS and stated BIS regarded the Macedorumanians very highly. LEU intimated that he had been advised to contact UJDRIFTER and was given directions for locating him by the BIS.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(cont)</p>				
CROSS REFERENCE FORM			FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.	
			E J	

FORM NO. 59-34
DEC 1952

SECRET

(35)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 502 B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

It would therefore seem logical to assume that LEU's mission in this particular case was to recruit UJDRIFTER's services for the British.

Events following the UJDRIFTER/LEU conversation make it very difficult to say with any certainty whether LEU did in fact succeed in reaching some sort of agreement with UJDRIFTER. This is denied, of course, by UJDRIFTER who has given us certain background data on LEU, some of which is not complimentary. (MOLA-7266). He further attributes certain statements to LEU which portend to indicate LEU's dissatisfaction with BIS. On the other hand, as mentioned above, LEU did nominate UJDRIFTER as Orthodox representative (in fact nothing more than a title), and UJDRIFTER provided LEU with the address of UJDRUID. Inasmuch as UJDRIFTER had always heretofore been very reluctant to disclose UJDRUID's address to us, this could have some significance.

While there has been no recent evidence (for a six-month period) of a continuing connection between UJDRIFTER/LEU, it is not unreasonable to suppose that such still exists. The position of UJDRIFTER with the AIS has been increasingly precarious since the collapse of the UJDRUID proposals, and for financial security alone, it is logical that UJDRIFTER will attempt to maintain as many alternate connections as possible.

THIS IS ALL THE INFORMATION PERTINENT
TO THE SUBJECT IN THIS DOCUMENT.

SECRET

□

□