No Objection to	Declassification in Part 20	11/03/30 : LOC-HAK-504-5	5-72-7 STIVE
Imm File	o Secret Sensi Lucyotha Exps	Only DAC 279	EYES (INIV PAGES
FROM: Colonel L To: Jan Howe for	legnedy,	TTY 13 19	CITE
TO: JON HOWE POI	Gen. Haig	13 19 DTG; 13	1937 EXCLUSIVE
	RELEASED BY:	TOR:	1955-2
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:	Scaled Euro	Only Envel	bpe.
		•	
		•	•

State Dept., OSD Reviews Completed

ON-FILE NSC RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS APPLY

TOP SECRET SENSITIVE

EYES ONLY EXCLUSIVE

No Objection to Declassification in Part 2011/03/30 : LOC-HAK-504-5-72-7 ZNY MMNSH 0 131812Z ZYH CAR WASSELF ! FM SALT HELSINKI TO THE WHITE HOUSE ZEM 25X1

TOPSECRET 131730Z FM AMB SMITH SALT HELSINKI Ø108 TO WHITE HOUSE (PLEASE PASS MESSAGE TO SAN CLEMENTE) EYES ONLY DR. HENRY A. KISSINGER

DEAR HENRY:

IN JULY 13TH POST PLENARY, PURSUANT TO WHITE HOUSE INSTRUCTIONS, I SAID TO SEMENOV THAT I UNDERSTOOD SOME SOVIET OFFICIALS FELT THE US HAD NOT BEEN SERIOUS IN PROPOSING AN ABM BAN. BUT HAD PUT IT FORWARD FOR PROPAGANDA. I WAS AUTHORIZED TO SAY THAT THE BAN IS A SERIOUS PROPOSAL. I WAS INSTRUCTED TO SOUND OUT SEMENOV AS TO WHETHER THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT WAS INTERESTED IN PURSUING IT. I HAD NOT FORMALLY TABLED A BAN BECAUSE I WAS FAMILIAN with the official soviet position.

SEMENOV ASKED WHETHER HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS CORRECT THAT THE US WOULD BE PREPARED TO SET FORTH ITS VIEWS ON THIS ALTERNATIVE IN DETAIL IF THE SOVIET SIDE SHOWED INTEREST IN THE MATTER. HE ALSO ASKED WHETHER HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS CORRECT THAT ELABORATION OF

US VIEWS COULD TAKE PLACE IN A LESS FORMAL MANNER THAN IN PLENARY. I SAID THAT, ON THE SUBSTANCE, I WOULD LIMIT MYSELF AT THE PRESENT TIME TO WHAT I HAD ALREADY SAID. AS FAR AS PROCEDURE WAS CONCERNED, IF THE TWO SIDES WERE TO PURSUE THIS QUESTION, I WOULD BE WILLING TO DO SO EITHER IN PRIVATE CONVERSATION WITH SEMENOV OR IN A MEETING MORE RESTRICTED THAN A PLENARY, FOR THE MOMENT, HOWEVER, MY INSTRUCTIONS WERE MERELY TO SOUND OUT SEMENOV AND TO LEARNIWHETHER THE SOVIET SIDE WOULD BE INTERESTED.

SEMENOV SAID "FRANKLY" HE HAD BROUGHT INSTRUCTIONS FOR THIS EVENTUALITY, TO THE FOLLOWING EFFECT -- HE WAS TO LISTEN CAREFULLY TO US CONSIDERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THIS QUESTION TO DETERMINE THEIR SUBSTANCE AND REAL SIGNIFICANCE. HE DID NOT HAVE TO ASK FOR ADD ITIONAL REACTIONS FROM MOSCOW BEFORE RESPONDING TO MY QUESTION. IT WAS FOR THIS REASON THAT HE HAD ASKED WHAT PROCEDURE I HAD IN HIND FOR SETTING FORTH THE US VIEWS WHICH I EVIDENTLY PLANNED TO EXPRESS.

SEMENOV SAID THAT THE TWO SIDES WERE NOW COMING CLOSE TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE QUESTION OF LIMITING ABMS. IT WOULD BE WISE TO CONSIDER WHAT THE TWO SIDES HAD TO SAY WITHOUT PREJUDICE. THE SOVIET SIDE WULD BE INTERESTED TO HEAR US VIEWS IN THIS CONNECTION. SEMENOV WOULD REPORT MY APPROACH TO HIS CAPITAL AND HE COULD TELL ME NOW THAT HE WOULD WISH TO HEAR US VIEWS IN AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE. I SAID I WOULD ALSO REPORT THIS CONVERSATION TO WASHINGTON AND WOULD LATER BE IN A BETTER POSITION TO DETERMINE HOW TO PROCEED.

I HAVE NOT YET REPORTED THIS IN NORMAL CHANNELS, BUT PROPOSE TO DO SO BY JULY 15TH UNLASS YOU ADVISE TO THE CONTRARY.

I PROPOSE TO PREPARE A TALKING PAPER OUTLINING THE CASE FOR A

BAN, WHICH I WILL FORWARD BEFORE USING.

MANY THANKS FOR YOUR HELP IN GETTING OUR POSITION SQUARED AWAY FOR TODAY'S SESSION, WHICH WENT WELL. WARM REGARDS.

GERRY SMITH

TOP SECRET SENSITIVE EYES ONLY