

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

November 19, 1973

~~ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL~~

MEMORANDUM FOR: BRUCE KEHRLI
FROM: BRENT SCOWCROFT
SUBJECT: Funding for PFIAB

Under the circumstances you describe in your October 15 memorandum, we agree that future funding of PFIAB should be undertaken by CIA. I understand a new or amended Executive Order may be necessary and suggest you consult OMB on this aspect of the transfer.

NSS review completed.

~~ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL~~

5834

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

November 17, 1973

TO GENERAL SCOWCROFT

FROM: Jeanne Dav 

SUBJ: CIA Funding of PFIAB

As you asked, I have checked to see whether CIA has been made aware of, and agrees to, funding of PFIAB. CIA and OMB both confirm that, as a part of a general OMB review of the funding of the Executive Office last year, it was agreed that CIA should pick up PFIAB. CIA agreed. However, since the Executive Order establishing PFIAB specifically states that it will be funded from the Executive Office appropriation (to keep it completely independent of CIA, I assume), a new EO would be required.

When OMB so informed the White House and submitted a draft EO, the White House backed off the idea since they did not wish to ask the President to sign a new EO or to publicize in this manner the shifting of the funding to CIA.

I have added a mention of the EO issue in your proposed reply to Kehrli in case he was not aware of last year's action or has forgotten it.

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ACTION

ADMINISTRATIVELY
CONFIDENTIAL

October 18, 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: GENERAL SCOWCROFT
FROM: Jeanne W. Davi *JWD*
SUBJECT: Funding for PFIAB

Bruce Kehrli has written you (Tab B) saying that, having lost the Special Projects Appropriation, the White House can no longer fund PFIAB. He suggests that, in these circumstances, there is no alternative to CIA funding and seeks your views.

From time to time the White House has hinted that the NSC should pick up the tab for some of PFIAB's activities. We have ignored the hints and, when they became suggestions, have turned them down flat. In order to nip in the bud any further such, I suggest you concur in CIA funding.

Concurrence: Andy Marshall *AM*

RECOMMENDATION:

That you sign the concurring memorandum to Bruce Kehrli at Tab A.

ADMINISTRATIVELY
CONFIDENTIAL

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 15, 1973

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: BRENT SCOWCROFT
FROM: BRUCE A. KEHRLI *BAK*
SUBJECT: President's Foreign Intelligence
Advisory Board Funding

Several months ago we discussed moving the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board from the Special Projects Appropriation of the White House to the CIA. We dropped the matter because (a) the group should be closely identified with the President and White House and (b) funding from one of the agencies the board is called upon to coordinate might be considered inappropriate.

As you may be aware, the White House Special Projects Appropriation of approximately \$1.5 million was eliminated by the conference committee last week, and when one of the two houses on the Hill acts on the conference report -- which could come today or tomorrow -- we are required by law to discontinue obligating funds from the Special Projects Appropriation. While we have operated during the first quarter of FY74 from a continuing resolution, within a day or two, all funding for the PFIAB must either cease or be transferred to another department or agency. White House funds are unavailable from our S & E Appropriation.

Considering these circumstances, I think we have no alternative but to have CIA pick them up. Do you have any other ideas?

Thank you.