

**SECRET**  
SECURITY INFORMATION

In re Intelligence Investigation

15 Oct 52  
See [ ]  
Interrogation  
file.

1. (DIR 23337, 11 Oct 52) A cable stated that nine staff members contacted Peters or other Apparat personnel - [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] and [ ] [ ] AND

2. Where did the figure of 7,000 originate? —

3. At what point was it decided to liquidate the Apparat and who made the decision?

4. Was a public announcement made to the effect that Peters was to head up a resistance movement?

5. Did you understand that the overt and covert organizations were to be completely separated?

6. Was there political rivalry between Peters and Csihara over leadership of the Apparat?

7. Were certain individuals from another project physically threatened by Apparat people? (Salla incident)

8. Of what value was the cover corporation?

9. Are there other similar corporations providing cover for other projects?

10. Eight months before the field assessment of the project, Peters was reported in German newspapers as being the leader of a resistance organization. When did you know of this?

11. At least one leader of the Apparat was uncleared and this was uncovered in a CIC-CE check. In view of this successful CE operation, why would you "emphatically reject" a later proposal for a CE check?

12. In view of your statement yesterday that the Frankfurt police force was filled with SPD members, do you think the local police checks and inquiries of potential Apparat members was wise or secure?

13. What attempts were made to keep the organizations and activities of various projects separated?

14. Do you think that the interlinking of certain projects unnecessarily jeopardized the security of all of them?

15. Why was Hueth, a leader in the Apparat, chosen as Principal Agent for another project (MUDCAP)?

16. Did Hueth's activities not also compromise JBTHRONG and TPRIMIND?

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3020  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

**SECRET**  
SECURITY INFORMATION

100-686-2-202

~~SECRET~~  
SECURITY INFORMATION

17. What other projects cooperated with PROWL in public meetings, distribution of propaganda, etc.? Was this a secure practice? How were arrangements made for these cooperative ventures?

18. Did the Executive Committee of the BDJ accept your directions without question? Were they witting of American sponsorship?

19. Is it accurate to say that there had been no cover agreed upon for the training site?

20. Was there good compartmentation within the Apparat? Did you question [redacted] with respect to the details of the organization?

21. Was it a mistake not to separate the BDJ and the resistance activities?

22. Police Agent Koebel states that he had made frequent investigations of the BDJ since its activation and knew that Peters was given the resistance assignment. Did you know of these investigations?

23. A report in the file states that the chief courier of the East Zone who was arrested in June 1951 knew Lueth, Peters and plans for the Apparat. Do you know the extent of his knowledge of these plans?

24. The weapons and other material were removed from [redacted]'s house openly and in broad daylight. Who was responsible for this operation?

25. Was one Doerk taken from the Apparat and assigned to the BDJ as a pamphlet courier to the East Zone? Was this not another tie between BDJ and the Apparat and did it not increase security risk to the Apparat?

26. In a dispatch dated 18 June 1952 you said that CIC agents were several times discovered inside the BDJ and that their blowing always caused some minor embarrassment. On what occasions did this occur?

27. To what extent did you control the relationship of [redacted] with Peters?

28. To what extent did you supervise and control recruiting procedures?

29. To what extent did you supervise and control the cover corporation?

30. Did you request guidance from headquarters?

31. Can you reconcile the statements on the strength of the Apparat which were reported to headquarters (e.g. 1 Oct 51 - 2,000 questionnaires have been collected vs. 30 Nov 51 - organization continued to expand - records contain 634 questionnaires)?

~~SECRET~~  
SECURITY INFORMATION

100-686-2-202

**SECRET**  
**SECURITY INFORMATION**

32. Do you think some dispatches were vague or misleading (e.g. - Four Kreis leaders have been selected with approximately 30 fighting men each)?

33. Did you attempt to reconcile or tie down the reported strength of the Apparat?

34. What were your changing requests for equipment and supplies based on (i.e. - 7,000 rifles to 8,000; 250 machine guns to 500)?

35. Was L [ ]'s purchase of house and car done with your knowledge and approval? Would that draw attention to [ ] [ ]

36. Did [ ] account satisfactorily for operational funds?

37. Why was the project reassessed in the Spring of 1952? Did headquarters so direct?

**SECRET**  
**SECURITY INFORMATION**

100-6-86-2-202