

10 October 1952

(1) *Glenn*
Shouldn't we show
(2) *To file*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

[] ^{*was*} telephoned ^{*by the writer during*} A.M. of 10 October asking if we (I.R.) could take a look at "LCPROWELL"? He was told that our reason was principally that we wanted to learn from errors made in PROWELL and to use the study of this operation in our study of PSONNET and others of a similar nature.

[] said he would notify [] and, also, [] (case officer) and he welcomed our study of PROWELL.

At about 1500 hours on 10 October [] and I sent to [] to arrange for the review of PROWELL and [] thereafter reported that he would begin the review on Saturday 11 October. [] was told ^{*in his files*} the files might not be releaseable at the moment because EE Division might need them because of the "flap" presently on in this case - but [] should arrange to see these files soonest.

At about 1810 hours I returned a call from [] who said one of my "hatchet men" had been to [] demanding the PROWELL files and said they couldn't let these files out of their offices now because of the "flap". I told [] that I was sure [] did not act as a "hatchet man" and did not "demand the files" and advised [] of my instructions to []

I then called [] and told him:

(a) I had heard he had said one of my "hatchet men" had "demanded" the PROWELL files; told him this I could not believe;

(b) That I had the right to see the files, in fact, to take them if I so desired and wanted him to appreciate the fact that we were being cooperative and did not intend to take his files away while he needed them;

(c) Explained to him our reason for needing to study his case because of its similarity to the French one.

[] said he had not said a "hatchet man" had "demanded" the files, said he was most anxious to cooperate, make the files available and to discuss the case with me in addition.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3020
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

SECRET
Security Information

- 2 -

Immediately afterwards [] telephoned to say he had been in error:

(a) In not advising [] of my intended approach, and

(b) In saying [] which was just an unfortunate turn of phraseology he had used.

He expressed his desire to have us look at the case, promised his fullest cooperation with us on it.

I told him [] and I had reached a completely satisfactory arrangement already.

Handwritten mark resembling the letter 'B' with a checkmark to its right.

Note: [] participated in the briefing of [] and overheard (my end of) the conversations over telephone with Messrs. [] and [] cited above.

SECRET
Security Information

100-6-96 2-202