

INCOMING CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

SECRET

PAGE No. 2

FROM: FRANKFURT
 To: POLICY COORDINATION
 ACTION: OPC (1-2-3-4-5)
 INFORMATION: S/C (6-7-8)

ROUTINE

14 MAR 51

IN 28939

Paraphrase Not Required. Handle as SECRET Correspondence per Pars. 51 (I) 60A AR-380-5

FRAN 2426

TO: WASHG INFO: FRANG (C J) CITE: FRANG

EGRESS

KMPRUDE

FOR C J, C J

This document is part of an integrated file. If separated from the file it must be subjected to individual systematic review.

SUBJECT IS MEMO DATED 10 MARCH 1951 FROM C J TO C J,
 C J'S COMMENTS FOLLOW:

1. MY STATEMENT THAT KMPRUDE MIGHT "REMOVE ITSELF AS OBJECT OF CONTROVERSY WITHIN ANTI-GYPSY CAMP" WAS PERHAPS UNCLEARLY EXPRESSED BUT WAS CERTAINLY NOT INTENDED TO RECOMMEND THAT "PRUDE SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN FROM AREA OF DAY-TO-DAY POLITICAL CONTROVERSY" AS MEMO INTERPRETS IT. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN CLEAR TO US THAT PRUDE'S VALUE IS AS TACTICAL INSTRUMENT.
2. IT HAS ALSO BEEN CLEAR THAT IN OUR TACTICAL USE OF IT PRUDE WILL TAKE OPPOSITE SIDES FROM FJNEEDLE ON MANY IMPORTANT ISSUES. THIS DOES NOT WORRY US.
3. WHAT WE FEEL IS HIGHLY IMPORTANT IS THAT PRUDE SHOULD NOT BE DEFLECTED FROM ITS WORK ON THESE ISSUES BY BECOMING SIDETRACKED INTO A FIGHT WITH FJNEEDLE AS SUCH. PRUDE IS NOT BIG ENOUGH TO PICK FIGHT WITH FJNEEDLE ORGANIZATION AND HAS SUFFERED IN LCLAVAR EYES BY APPEARING TO BE ENGAGED IN SUCH FIGHT, THEREBY SPLITTING ANTI-GYPSY FRONT.
4. WHAT WE NEED SPELLED OUT IS YOUR APPROVAL TO FOLLOWING:

TOR:

DATE 2007

SECRET

Copy No.

IT IS FORBIDDEN TO MAKE A COPY OF THIS MESSAGE

SECRET

PAGE 2

FRAN 2426

IN 28939

A. NO TRIMMING ON ANY ISSUES FJCAPE WISHES PRUDE TO PURSUE AS TACTICAL INSTRUMENT. ON THIS AM SURE WE AGREE.

B. AVOIDANCE OF COMBAT WITH FJNEEDLE ITSELF, IF NECESSARY BY TURNING OTHER CHEEK OR IGNORING FJNEEDLE ON BASIS THAT IN TIME OF CONFLICT EVEN IF NOT NOW FJNEEDLE AND KMPRUDE WILL BE SHOULDER TO SHOULDER.

5. AM NOT CLEAR WHETHER ABOVE IS MEANING TO BE READ INTO MEMO'S STATEMENT THAT PRUDE "SHOULD CONTINUE TO ADOPT DEFENSIVE LINE IN ANY FUTURE CONTROVERSIES WHICH MAY ARISE WITH ANY POLITICAL PARTIES". PRUDE WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY MAINTAIN THAT ITS LINE WAS NOW DEFENSIVE; BUT IT THREATENS TO BECOME DEFENSE WITH PURSUIT AND IN SUCH MANEUVER PRUDE NOT ONLY FORGETS ITS OBJECTIVES BUT IS GETTING BADLY BURNED.

6. PLEASE CONFIRM THAT PARA 4 IS LINE YOU MEAN PRUDE SHOULD TAKE, OR AMEND PARA 4 AS YOU DEEM SUITABLE. CONDUR IN REMAINDER OF MEMO.

7. THIS CABLE IS NOT INTENDED TO TOUCH ON QUESTION OF PRINCIPLE WHETHER NOW OR LATER FJCAPE SHOULD ATTACK FJNEEDLE. IT IS \square \square 'S CONTENTION HWOEVER THAT PRUDE IS NOT PROPER INSTRUMENT AT LEAST IN PRESENT STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT FOR SUCH FIGHT WHICH SHOULD BE CARRIED ON BY MORE SUBTLE MEANS.

This document is part of an integrated file. If separated from the file it must be subjected to individual systematic review.

TOR: 1859Z 15 MAR 51

SECRET