

SECRET

~~FEORFA~~ FEAR FEDERAL TAKEOVER DANGEROUS IN VIEW SPD CHARGES THAT KEMPRUDE
IS ~~SPD~~ SPONSORED ANTI-SPD ORGANIZATION AS WELL AS U.S.-BACKED. MORE
DANGEROUS IF HESSIAN PRE-TRIAL CONCLUDES PROBABLE CAUSE TO TRY. GOVT
COULD NOT THEN AFFORD POLITICALLY TO NOL PROS BUT WOULD HAVE TO TRY. IF
THERE IS EVIDENCE OF ORGANIZATIONAL PURPOSE TO STOCKPILE WEAPONS, CONVICTION
MUST FOLLOW UNLESS LAW 62 SUCCESSFULLY PLEADED. THIS MEANS DISCLOSURE OF
US CONNECTION. WOULD NOT GOVT PREFER OUR INTERVENTION UNDER LAW 13?

FREL WITHDRAWAL UNDER ARTICLE 7, LAW 13, ONLY SURE WAY TO PREVENT
DISCLOSURE US CONNECTION. ANTICIPATE KEMRITZ CRIES BY SPD ELEMENTS MIGHT
BE MET EFFECTIVELY BY PUBLIC STATEMENT THAT WESTERN SECURITY THREATENED
BY CONTINUATION OF PROPAGANDA AGAINST ANTI-COMMUNIST GROUP ALREADY SMEARED
BY EX PARTE DISCREDITING STATEMENTS. HICOG MUST BE PREPARED TO TAKE TOUGH
STAND IN EVENT SELF-MARTYRIZING RESISTANCE BY ZINN.

This document is part of an integrated file. If separated from the file it must be subjected to individual systematic review.

IF HICOG UNWILLING USE ARTICLE 7, WHY NOT ARTICLE 1, (b), (iii) of
LAW 13? US CONNECTION MOST LIKELY TO APPEAR ANYWAY THROUGH DEFENSE TESTIMONY,
THE INVOCATION OF LAW 62, OR OUR ULTIMATE FORCED ADMISSION. REGARD IT PREFER-
ABLE TO PROCLAIM IN ADVANCE US SPONSORSHIP IN BOLD PUBLIC STATEMENT, ASSERTING
LEADERS WERE IN US SERVICE, LEAVING GERMAN COURTS AND OTHER AUTHORITIES WITH-
OUT JURISDICTION, TO LET US CONNECTION APPEAR W/O APPLYING EXCLUSIONARY
PROVISIONS LAW 13 IS SIGN OF WEAKNESS JUSTIFYING SPD VIEW THAT CONTRACTUALS
NO IMPROVEMENT ON BENEFITS GERMANS ENJOY W/O THEM.

IF HICOG UNWILLING USE LAW 13, REQUEST ASSURANCE FED GOVT CAN AND WILL
REMOVE CASE. WHY NOT IMMEDIATELY? WHAT IS CASE PROGRAM AFTER REMOVAL?
WHAT IS EXPECT TIME-TABLE? WOULD FED PROSECUTOR NOL PROS IF HE FELT SUCH

ACTION WARRANTED BY LACK OF EVIDENCE? WOULD THERE BE A NEW JUDICIAL PRE-TRIAL
DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

SF E
SECURITY INFORMATION

draft + for
Telcom
31 JAN 53

SECRET

-2-

EXAMINATION ON FEDERAL LEVEL? IN EVENT OF TRIAL BY GERMAN COURT, WILL SECTION 172 OF GERMAN JUDICATURE ACT BE APPLIED AS FORESEEN EVEN AFTER CONTRACTS IN ARTICLE 14 OF FORCES CONVENTION? WILL COURT CONSIDER CASE DISREGARDING LAW 62 UNLESS AND UNTIL THE APPLICATION OF THAT LAW APPEARS NECESSARY TO EXONERATE?

This document is part of an integrated file. If separated from the file it must be subjected to individual systematic review.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION