

PART I (ROMAN NUMERAL) ABLÉ:
ANSWER TO PARA 2, REF A. OSTENSIBLY BECAUSE
ZINN ON VACATION AND AGREEMENT MADE BY HIM AND
KANTOR - JUSTICE MINISTRY - CASE WOULD BE
DISCUSSED ON ZINN'S RETURN. ALSO NO
QUESTION, HOWEVER, THAT WITHDRAWAL BY
FEDREP DURING PRELIMINARY HEARING STAGE
POLITICALLY INADVISABLE. THIS POINT DIS-
CUSSED WITH BOTH MOSHEIM AND RIHTER VON LEX.

B. LAW 13 IS CERTAINLY APPLICABLE. REBER,
HOWEVER, IS UNWILLING TO INVOKE IT ON BASIS
ANTICIPATED UNFAVORABLE POLITICAL REPERCUSSIONS,
WITH MATTER BECOMING LARGE ISSUE IN PRESS.
VON LEX SHARES THIS OPINION.

C. THERE IS NO QUESTION OF OUR INVOKING
OR NOT INVOKING LAW 62. GERMANS HAVE TO
CONSIDER IT AS PART OF THE GERMAN PENAL
CODE. IT MIGHT COME IN TO PLAY AT ANY
TIME, DEPENDING ON DEVELOPMENT IN HEARING
HOWEVER, SINCE ASCERTAINING LAW 62 IS NOT A
BAR TO EVIDENCE, BUT ONLY TO CONVICTION, WE
FEEL ADVISE TO DEFENDENTS TO USE IT WOULD
BE UNWISE, CONSIDERING ITS LEX KEMRITZ
IMPLICATIONS.

This document is part of an integrated
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic review.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

TELECONFERENCE 311400Z JANUARY 1953 TT-072

CONFEREES PRESENT FRANKFURT:

U 3

L 3

L 3

SUBJECT: BDJ

CLASSIFICATION: SECRET

IN
SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

*This document is part of an integrative
file. If removed from the file it must be
subjected to continuous systematic review.*

7101
PART II (ROMAN NUMERAL) SUMMARY OF CONVERSATION

BETWEEN HOWADE AND DR. KANTOR - FED JUSTICE

MINISTRY, 30. 1-,

1. MET WITH KANTOR AT NOON TODAY
AT HIS REQAEST. KRAUSE, FEDERAL INTERIOR
MINISTRY, ALSO PRESENT.

2. KANTOR MADE FOLLOWING POINTS:

A. HE CONCERNED ABOUT LIMITATIONS
IN PREVIOUS AMERICAN STATEMENT REGARDING
TECHNICAL SERVICE OF BDJ, IN WHICH
"AMERICANS STATED THEY KNEW ONLY ABOUT
MILITARY ACTIVITIES OF TECHNICAL SERVICE".

B. HE AND FEDERAL PROSECUTOR
POSSESSED PAPERS SHOWING [] DIRECTED
TECHNICAL SERVICE TO ENGAGE ALSO IN
POLITICAL ACTIVITIES. ASKED FOR DETAILS,
TURNED OUT HE REFERRING TO INTELLIGENCE
ACTIVITIES OF SERVICE AND PARTICULARLY
TO LISTS OF NAMES IN POSSESSION OF
SERVICE.

IN
②
SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

This document is part of an integrated
file. If separated from the file it must be
reclassified in accordance with the
classification markings.

C. KANTOR SAID IF CASE WERE
WITHDRAWN FROM HESEE TO KARLSRUHE, IT
SHOULD BE DONE ON PREMISE IT WOULD BE
BROUGHT TO SUCCESSFUL CONGGUSION--WHICH,
AFTER CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION
CAME OUT AS FEDERAL PROSECKTOR FINDING
HIMSELF IN POSITION WHERE HE COULD
NOT RECOMMEND PROSECUTION. THIS
HAPPY RESULT WOULD BE
REACHED ONLY IF AMERICANS CONIEDED
HAD DIRECTED "POLITICAL"--I.E. INTELL-
IGENCE ACTIVITIES, AS WELL AS "MIL
TARY"--
I.E. PARTISAN.

This document is an integrated
file. If it is to be filed it must be
subjected to a systematic review.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

3. I SAID THERE WAS LITTLE QUESTION
[] HAD ENGAGED IN OR DIRECTED SOME
UNAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES, AND WHOLE
UNSATISFACTORY NATURE OF OPERATION HAD
LED TO AMERICAN DECISION TO TERMINATE
IT LONG BEFORE IT CAME TO PUBLIC LIGHT.
I ADDED KANTOR PROBABLY BETTER INFORMED
ON [] ACTIVITIES THAN I--IF HE
HAD DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE AS HE SAID
(I'M SURE HE DOES).

4. KANTOR THEN ASKED WHAT AMERICANS
WERE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH IN MATTER.
I SAID TO BRING WHOLE AFFAIR TO QUIET
AND SATISFACTORY SOLUTION AT EARLIEST
POSSIBLE DATE. HE SAID THAT ALSO EXACTLY
AIM OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. TO ACCOMPLISH
IT COULD (A) AMERICANS PERMIT SOME OF PRINCIPAL
U.S. PERSONNEL CONCERNED (HE USED TERM
"PRINCIPAL WITNESSES") GIVE EVIDENCE ON
MATTER TO FEDERAL PROSECUTOR, OR FAILING
THAT COULD WE (B) GIVE THEM A BROADER
STATEMENT CONCERNING []'S DIRECTION
TO HIS AGENTS. I REPLIED TO (A)
BY SAYING PROBABLY NO OBJECTION IN PRIN-
CIPLE, BUT QUESTION WAS ACADEMIC SINCE
ONLY PRINCIPAL WITNESS WAS []
WHO WAS IN UNITED STATES, THAT HE COULD

FT 01 IN
PART (3)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

When this document is placed in an integrated
file, if it is not already in the file it must be
classified for systematic review.

ONLY BE BROUGHT BACK VOLUNTARILY AND

I DOUBTED SERIOUSLY HE WOULD COME.

ONLY OTHER PERSON RATHER FULLY INFORMED

WAS A, ALSO IN UNITED STATES,

WHO MIGHT RETURN IF ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY.

(B) HOWEVER, SEEMED A BETTER SOLUTION,

AND I THOUGHT MY SUPERIORS WOULD APPROVE

IT UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS. I THEN

ASKED FOLLOWING QUESTIONS,

ANSWERED AS INDICATED:

Q. WOULD THE STATEMENT BE CONCERNED

ONLY WITH THE TECHNICAL SERVICE.

A. YES

Q. WITH REGARD TO THE ACTIVITIES IN

QUESTION, SHOULD IT STATE THAT I

HAD DIRECTED THESE ACTIVITIES, BUT IN SO

DOING HAD EXCEEDED HIS AUTHORITY.

A. YES

Q. TO WHOM SHOULD THE STATEMENT BE SENT.

A. OBERBUNDESANWALT, BUNDESGERICHTHOF,

KARLSRUHE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/19/01 BY 60322 UCBAW/STP
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE
IN THE MARGINS OF THIS DOCUMENT
IT IS THE POLICY OF THE FBI TO
REMOVE ALL INFORMATION THAT IS
NOT NECESSARY TO UNDERSTAND
THE FILE IT MUST BE
SUBJECT TO PERIODIC SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Q. WOULD THE STATEMENT BE CONCERNED
ONLY WITH THE TECHNICAL SERVICE?

A. YES

Q. WITH REGARD TO THE ACTIVITIES IN
QUESTION, SHOULD IT STATE THAT []
HAD DIRECTED THESE ACTIVITIES, BUT IN SO
DOING HAD EXCEEDED HIS AUTHORITY.

A. YES

Q. TO WHOM SHOULD THE STATEMENT BE SENT.

A. OBERBUNDESANWALT, BUNDESGERICHTHOF,
KARLSRUHE.

PT 01

(4)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

When integrated
into the file it must be
subject to automatic review.

PART FOUR)

Q. WOULD STATEMENT BE MADE PUBLIC.

A. NO. BUT IN REMOVING CASE FROM

HESSE, ZINN PROBABLY WOULD BE TOLD AMERICANS

WERE ISSUING A STATEMENT IN CONNECTION

WITH CASE.

SOME GENERAL REFERENCE MIGHT ALSO BE

MADE TO STATEMENT IN THE FINAL REPORT

ON MATTER WHICH GOVERNMENT HAS TO MAKE

TO BUNDESTAG. KANTOR AND EGIDI WOULD

PREPARE THIS REPORT, AND WE COULD BE

SURE OUR INTERESTS WOULD BE PROTECTED.

Q. WERE THERE ANY ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN

INTELLIGENCE, TO BE COVERED IN THE STATEMENT.

A. HE DIDN'T THINK SO, BUT WOULD HAVE

TO CONSULT AGAIN WITH FEDERAL PROSECUTOR

BEFORE GIVING FINAL ANSWER.

Q. BY WHOM SHOULD THE STATEMENT BE

SIGNED.

A. A RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY, PREFERABLY

GENERAL TRUSCOTT.

Information of an Integrated
Security Information file it must be
subject to automatic review.

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

5. WE THEN AGREED KANTOR WOULD
DISCUSS MATTER AGAIN WITH FEDERAL PROSECUTOR,
PREPARE A PRO FORMA TO GIVE ME ABOUT
NEXT WEDNESDAY. I WOULD CLEAR PROPOSED
STATEMENT WITH SUPERIORS, IF
AGREEMENT FORTHCOMING HE WOULD TALK TO
ZINN, WITHDRAW CASE AND PRESENT OUR

STATEMENT--ALONG WITH THE PAPERS HESSE
HAS ON MATTER--TO FEDERAL PROSECUTOR.

ON BASIS OF HESSE PAPERS THERE MIGHT BE
ONE OR TWO OTHER QUESTIONS ARISING--HE
DIDN'T KNOW SINCE HE HASN'T SEEN ALL
HESSE'S PAPERS.

FT 01
5
SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

When an integrated
file is created
the file it must be
automatic review

(PART FIVE,)

SAID SHOULD BE IN A
BETTER POSITION TO JUDGE. IT WAS HIS
OPINION THAT BY THIS PROCEDURE CASE WOULD
BE REDUCED TO ZERO--NO BAN, NO TRIAL,
NO NOTHING.

6. ASKED ABOUT PUBLICITY, HE SAID
ZINN HAD PROMISED THERE WOULD BE NO PUBLICITY
DURING THIS PRELIMINARY PART OF PROCEEDINGS--
BUT THAT WAS ZINN'S WORD AND IT WAS A
PROMISE MADE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT,
NOT AMERICANS. HE DOABTED, HOWEVER,
THERE WOULD BE ANY.

7. AT 1400 [] AND I DISCUSSED
MATTER WITH REBER, WHO SAID HE PERFECTLY
WILLING TO THROW [] TO WOLVES,
BUT STATEMENT MUST NOT IMPLICATE US--
RATHER THAN [] --IN ILLEGAL ACTS.
FIRST STEP WOULD BE TO LOOK AT
GERMAN DRAFT, THEN WRITE STATEMENT VERY
CAREFULLY.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

8. E I COMMENT - NOW ABSOLUTELY
CLEAR WHY GERMANS WERE SO INTERESTED
IN HAVING LAW 62 INVOKED. OUR QUERIES
TO THEM ON LAW 62 BARRING EVIDENCE,
RATHER THAN CONVICTION, OBVIOUSLY SENT
THEM AGAIN INTO HURRIED CONSULTATION.
END RESULT, I THINK, GOOD. ONEROUS
QUESTION OF 62 HAS BEEN SUSPENDED,
GERMANS OBVIOUSLY ARE AS ANXIOUS TO CLEAR
THIS THING UP AS WE ARE, BUT CAN'T DO
IT IF THEY HAVE TO TRY TECHNICAL SERVICE
PEOPLE AND POSSIBLY BDJ AFTER REMOVING
CASE FROM HESSE. HOWEVER, IF THEY CAN
WRITE OFF ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES OF TECHNICAL
SERVICE BY VIRTUE OF E I'S
DIRECTION -- EVEN THOUGH UNAUTHORIZED

FT Q1
⑥
SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

This document is an integrated
part of the file it must be
kept in the file and systematic review

HESSE'S CASE AGAINST BDJ COMES APART

AT SEAMS SINCE IT IS BASED ON ILLEGAL

ACTIVITIES OF THE TECHNICAL SERVICE.

I BELIEVE KANTOR--WHOM I GOT TO KNOW FAIRLY

WELL DURING OUR NEGOTIATIONS ON THE CONTRACTS--

IS HONEST, BLUNT AND CAPABLE INDIVIDUAL.

IN SHORT, I WOULD ADVISE ACCEPTANCE OF

PLAN HE HAS OFFERED. MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT

GENERAL TRUSTCOTT HIMSELF MAY BE SUBJECTED

TO SOME SPD ATTACK IF HE SIGNS THE

STATEMENT--BUT THIS I CONSIDER UNLIKELY.

(END PRE TELCON MATERIAL)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

FT-02

SECRET

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

RE WN-01

IN ORDER CLARIFY SITUATION YOU MAY FIND
FOLLOWING TRANSLATION OF CHARGES
AGAINST DEFENDANTS USEFUL:

"IN THE CASE AGAINST

1. EDITOR PAUL EGHN HEINRICH LUTH,
FRANKFURT AM MAIN, BINDINGSTRASSE 16, BORN
20 JUNE 1921 IN PERLEBERG.

2. MERCHANT ERKARD PETERS, FRANKFURT AM
MAIN, HANSA ALLEE BORN 7 AUGUST 1920
IN KARSTAEDT.

3. TEACHER NORBERT HAMMACHER, FRANKFURT
AM MAIN, WIELANDSTR.

4. MERCHANT HEINZ WILHELM GEORG
SCHIPPLACK, FRANKFURT AM MAIN, BINDINGSTR.
16, BORN 11 OCTOBER 1910 IN STETTIN.

5. JOURNALIST HERMANN ERNST GERHARD
BISCHOFF, FRANKFURT AM MAIN, MEINZER
LANDSTR. 376, BORN 30 JANUARY 1928 IN
BERNBERG.

*File copy of this report in the appropriate
file. If not possible, file in the appropriate
file. If not possible, file in the appropriate
file.*

LIMINARY INVESTIGATION IS STARTED AGAINST

THE ABOVE MENTIONED ACCORDING TO PARAS

178F, 184 STPO.

THEY ARE ACCUSED AS FOLLOWS:

BY SEVERAL INDEPENDENT, CONTINUED ACTS
BETWEEN 1951 AND DECDMBER 1952

A. AS GANGLERS AND BACKERS TO HAVE
FURTHERED THE WORK OF AN
ORGANIZATION WHOSE PURPOSE AND ACTIVITY
ARE DIRECTED AGAINST THE CONSTITUTIONAL
ORDER OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC,

B. TO HAVE FOUNEED AN ORGANIZATION
OR TO HAVE BEEN A MEMBER OF AN ORGANIZATION
WHOSE AIM AND ACTIVITY ARE TO COMMIT
CRIMINAL ACTS,

C. TO HAVE B
C. TO HAVE BELONGED TO A GROUP WHICH
PLANS CRIMES AGAINST LIFE OR CONSIDERS SUCH
CRIMES AS MEANS FOR ATTAINING OTHER AIMS.

FRANKFURT AM MAIN, 13 JANUARY 1953

LANDGERICHT, INVESTIGATION JUDGE (ROMAN
NUMBER) ONE

SIGNED SCHNEIDER, LGRET.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

FT-03

SECRET

1. SINCE SENDING FRAM 0909 OUR POSITION
HAS CHANGED.

FOLLOWING IS OUR PRESENT THINKING COURSE
OF ACTION TO BE FOLLOWED DURING MONDAY'S
HEARING:

THE DEFENDANTS SHOULD NOT REFUSE TO
TESTIFY ON ANY GROUNDS.

A. THAT THEY WORKED FOR THE AMERICANS
AND SPECIFICALLY FOR C J.

B. THAT THEIR INSTRUCTIONS AND DIREC-
TIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE TD WERE GIVEN THEM
BY C J.

C. THAT THEY DID NOT COMMIT ANY ILLEGAL
ACTS BUT ON C J'S INSTRUCTIONS THEY
DID COMPILE SOME INFO ON POLITICAL PERSONA-
LITIES AND THAT THIS ACTIVITY MIGHT BE
CONSTRUED TO BE BEYOND THE STRICTLY
PM MISSION OF THE TD.

D. THAT THEY ARE PREPARED FRANKLY TO
ANSWER SUCH QUESTIONS AS WILL BE PUT TO
THEM BY THE INVESTIGATING JUDGE.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

From Integrated
File it must be
declassified

2. ON THE BASIS OF THEIR PERFORMANCE DURING WISSBADEN INVESTIGATION AND ON THE BASIS THAT THEY WILL BE MOST ANXIOUS NOT TO ADMIT ILLEGAL ACTIVITY, WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE SAFE TO ALLOW THEM TO TESTIFY ALONG THE LINES DESCRIBED ABOVE. THIS LINE OF TESTIMONY PRESUPPOSES THAT WE ARE WILLING TO THROW [] TO THE WOLVES BY STATING TO THE FEDERAL PROSECUTOR AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME THAT HE WAS EXCEEDING HIS AUTHORITY BY INSTRUCTING THE TD TO ENGAGE IN ANY "POLITICAL ACTIVITY".

This man is a liar

3. LEUTH SHOULD STATE THAT THE DDJ PROPER NEVER RECEIVED ANY INSTRUCTIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM [] AND THAT HE IN FACT, DID NOT KNOW AND HAD NEVER MET

*Original
File in case of
this document*

[] 1. LEUTH'S LAWYER SHOULD, IF POSSIBLE, AVOID ANSWERING QUESTION AS TO SOURCE OF DDJ'S FUNDS. HOWEVER, IF IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO AVOID ANSWERING THIS QUESTION LEUTH, IN OUR OPINION, SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO ADMIT AMERICAN FINANCIAL SUPPORT RATHER THAN PERJURING HIMSELF.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

4. REQUEST YOUR REACTION TO FOREGOING.

(END FT-03)

FT-04

SECRET

RE UN-03

1. YOUR ASSUMPTIONS GENERALLY CORRECT.
MINISTRY OF INTERIOR FELT UTILIZATION OF
LAW 62 PROBABLY WOULD HALT PRETRIAL
EXAMINATION BUT THERE WAS NO GUARANTEE IT
WOULD. ON THIS BASIS, WE DISCARDED HOPES.
FOR LAW 62 FAR AS TESTIMONY IS CONCERNED.
POSSIBILITY OF U. S. ACKNOWLEDGING
RESPONSIBILITY FOR INSTIGATION OF CRIME IN
PRETRIAL EXAMINATION IS BASIS FOR OUR
FEELING DEFENSE OF POTENTIALLY CULPABLE
ACTS SHOULD BE BASED ON C 7'S
INSTRUCTIONS, TO AGENTS NOT U. S.
INSTRUCTIONS. (SEE FT-03) FINALLY, DOUBT
SERIOUSLY JUDGE WILL ORDER ANY DEFENDENT
TO TESTIFY IF HE DOESNNOT SO DESIRE.
MENCKE, AND INTERIOR MINISTRY LAWYERS WERE
CLEAR ON POINT THIS IMPOSSIBLE.
WITNESSES, YES, BUT NOT DEFENDENTS.
(END FT-04)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

IN

This document is part of an internal
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic review.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

F
T-05

SECRET

RE WN-04

ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO ESTIMATE.
NORMALLY ONLY BARE NOTICE THAT HEARING
HAS BEGUN APPEARS IN PRESS FOR PRETRIAL
EXAMINATIONS. ZINN PROMISED KANTOR OHERE
WOULD BE NO PUBLICITY IN PRE-TRIAL ISSUE,
BUT THIS WAS PROMISE MADE TO FED GOVT.
INTERIOR AND JUSTICE MINISTRY OFFICIALS ALL
UNANIMOUS, HOWEVER, IN OPINION PRETRIAL
PUBLICITY WILL BE LIGHT.

(END FT-05)

12
SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

This document is part of a series of documents
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic portions.

This document is part of
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic portions.

FT-06

SECRET

RE WN-02

NO CHANCE HICOG STATEMENT PRIOR MONDAY
TRIAL PEARING.

SEE ALSO FT-03

(END FT-06)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

When information is incorporated
into the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic review.

FT-07

SECRET

RE WN-07

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
in

PRETRIAL EXAMINATION IS REGARDED BY
FEDREP AS VERY PRELIMINARY STEP AND AS YET
THERE IS NO REAL CASE TO WITHDRAW.
HOWEVER, NOSHEIM'S OFFICIAL REASON WAS
GIVEN AS AGREEMENT WITH ZINN TO DISCUSS
MATTER WITH HIM AFTER ZINN'S RETURN
FROM VACATION.

(END FT-07)

*This document is part of an integrated
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic review.*

FT-08

SECRET

RE WN-05

CONCUR NO ATTEMPT SHOULD BE MADE TO

CALL MFF PRE-TRIAL HEARING.

(END FT-08)

This document is part of an integrated
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to individual systematic review.

FT-09

SECRET

RE WN-08

WELL AWARE. GERMANS NICK-NAMED

LAW 62, NOT U. S.

(END FT-09)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
This document is an integrated
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to the same security review.

FT-10

SECRET

RE UN-06

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SEE FT-01. GOVT WILL NOT PROSECUTE
IF CULPABLE ACTS OF DEFENDENTS ARE CO-
VERED BY 'S UNAUTHORIZED AC-
TIVITIES, AND WE SO STATE. FEDREP
FEELS ZINN IS AFTER THEM AS MUCH OR
MORE THAN AMERICANS IN THIS MATTER.
MOREOVER, THE GERMANS VIEW THE BDJ
BAN IN ONLY FOUR LAENDER AS AN IMPOSSIBLE
SITUATION. FEDREP WOULD NOT
PREFER OUR INTERVENTION
UNDER LAW 13, VON LEX THINKS SUCH
ACTION DEFINITELY UNWISE. MORE TO
POINT, SO DOES REBER. FEDREP
CAN REMOVE ANY CASE BEFORE ANY JUDGE
IN WEST GERMANY, ACCORDING TO KANTOR.
SCHMIDT, MOSHEIM, EGIDI AND VON LEX OF
INTERIOR MINISTRY ALL SAID FEDREP
WOULD INTERVENE. SEE FT-07
FOR REASON FOR 10 DAY DELAY AND
IMMEDIATE REMOVAL.

(END FT-10)

This document is part of an integral
file. If separated from the file it shall be
subjected to the same security measures.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

FT-11

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

RE WN-09

BASIC QUESTION IS EXTENT TO WHICH WE
CAN MAKE ANSWERS TO A AND B STICK.
ALTHOUGH WE DO NOT PLAN TO ADMIT ANY
OF THE ACTIVITIES WE SPONSORED WERE
ILLEGAL, KANTOR CLAIMS HE AND FED
PROSECUTOR HAVE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE
OF ILLEGAL POLITICAL MEASURES DIRECTED
BY [] (SEE FT-01). HE THINKS
ZINN HAS MORE, WHICH FED GOVT WILL NOT
KNOW ABOUT UNTIL THEY GET HESSE FILES
AFTER WITHDRAWING CASE. HOPE []
CORRECT IN HIS FEELINGS LISTS CAN BE
EXPLAINED AWAY. WE SHOULD NOT, HOWEVER,
DECIDE TO TAKE BLANKET RESPONSIBILITY
FOR INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS UNTIL WE KNOW
EXACTLY WHAT IS INVOLVED. DOUBT,
IN ANY EVENT, PUBLIC STATEMENT ADVISABLE
THIS STAGE. ANY "PROMISE" PRODUCED
BY ZINN WILL BE CONSIDERED BY FED PRO-
SECUTOR, JUST AS HE WILL CONSIDER
OUR PROPOSED STATEMENT. IN SHORT
PROBLEM IS THIS :
TO FIND WAY OF COVERING ANY ACTUAL

This document is part of an integrated
file. If separated from the file it must be
subjected to the same security controls.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

T
FT-12

SECRET

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

RE WN-11

A. WE HAVE NO ASSURANCE CASE WILL
NOT GO BEYOND PRE-TRIAL STAGE IF FT-03
PROCEDURE FOLLOWED. THAT PROCEDURE
DESIGNED ONLY TO PROVIDE FOR FIRST ISSUE-
THAT IS WHAT DEFENDENTS WILL SAY IN PRE-
TRIAL HEARING. HOWEVER, IF CASE DOES
GO BEYOND THIS STAGE, WE HAVE FED GOVT
ASSURANCE THEY WILL REMOVE IN
ACCORDANCE WITH PROCEDURE OUTLINED
IN FT-01.

B. WE HAVE LUETH STANDING BY TO
RECEIVE OUR INSTRUCTIONS VIA CASE OFFICER
[] WHO READY TO LEAVE OUR
CONFERENCE ROOM AS SOON AS WE AGREED
ON ACTION.

C. PETERS LAWYER IS DR. HEINZ RUT-
KOWSKY, FRANKFURT; CLOSE TO FDP, PRO-
WESTERN, EXPERIENCED IN CONSTITUTIONAL
MATTERS.

D. LUETH, HAMMACHER, BISCHOF, AND

This document is part of an integrated
file. If separated, it must be
subjected to the same security controls.

HIGHLY PRAISED BU LUETH RPT BY LUETH.

E. WISH TO CORRECT AMBIGUITY IN
FT-03. TO BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE
PETERS IS ONLY TD MEMBER AMONG GROUP AR-
RAINGED FOR PRE-TRIAL EXAMINATION, HENCE
PARAS 1 AND 2 OF FT-03 APPLY TO HIM
ALONE. LUETH AND OTHER THREE MEN, AS
YOU SAY IN WN-12 HIGH BDJ OFFICIALS,
HENCE PARA 3 OF FT-03 APPLIES TO THEM.

(END FT-12)

(OPRS NOTE: LAST LINE PARA ABLE, SHUD READ

"IN FT-01 RPT FT-01)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

FT-13

SECRET

RE WN-12

SEE ST-12. TO BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE

HAMMAIHER, BISCHOF AND SCHIPPLACH

NEVER MET OR RECEIVED INSTRUCTIONS

FROM

(END FT-13)

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11 SEP 2001 BY 10430 JAW/STP
SUBJECT TO ANNUAL REVIEW

FT-14

SECRET

RE WN1Ø

NO. SEE FT-11. MUST POINT OUT ONLY

PM AND NOT INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

HAVE SO FAR BEEN ADMITTED BY US AS

TD MISSION.

(END FT-14)

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

X
FT-15

SECRET

RE WN-13

AGREE YOUR LAST ALTERNATIVE,
I. E. LUETH EXPLAIN, IF REQUIRED,
U. S. SUPPORT TO BDJ AS PRIVATE AND
ANONYMOUS AND POINT OUT THAT SUPPORT
CAME FROM MANY QUARTERS INCLUDING BOTH
OFFICIAL AND PRIVATE GERMAN QUARTERS.

LUETH AND OTHER BDJ MEMBERS AS
DEFENDENTS WILL NOT BE UNDER OATH
WHEN QUESTIONS ABOUT BDJ ARISE, AND
SHOULD ANSWER SEARCHING QUESTIONS MOST
CAUTIOUSLY OR FAIL TO REMEMBER.

WITH REGARD TO PARAS 1 AND 2 OF
FT-03 WE WILL BE GUIDED BY YOUR COMMENTS
WN-09.

(END FT-15)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

FT-16

SECRET

IRE: WN-15

DISCUSSED WITH JOHN ON 24TH. HE
THOUGHT LAW 62 ^A PANACEA. NOW ON
VACATION UNTIL MIDDLE OF FEB. WILL
ATTEMPT TO CHECK WITH GLOBKE BUT IN
VIEW UNITED APPROACH INTERIOR
AND JUSTICE MINISTRIES TO PROBLEM FEEL
THEIR SOLUTION IS GOVERNMENT SOLUTION
AND WOULD BE CONCURRED IN BY GLOBKE.

(END FT-16)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION