Chief, EE

2 February 1953

Chief, CAROUR

ATTN: 3

CABORT/Operational

CAROST - Transmittal of Contact Report

- i. Abbashed is Contact Report on meeting between Kenneth P. Hollocks and : = of 1 February 1953.

L

APPROVED:

_

Distribution:

- 3 Addressee w/EnelsA 2
- 3 Chief of Mission, Frankfurt w/Enol A 1
 ATIN:

1 Enel -

JHQ/ode

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

コ

This document is part of an integration file. If separated from the Hie R quest to subjected to individual systematic review.

COLA

SECRET - SECURITY INFORMATION

32-124-5 -124

SECRET

CONTACT REPORT	PROJECT:	CADOS T	ragrammana ar se es
DATE OF REPORT: 2 February 1953 Date of contact: 1 February 1953 Approximate le	FILE:	_CADOS T	and the second of the second
Present: Agent(s): Kenneth P. Hollocks Case Officen(s):	in masem postal side " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	ille men ander der Erlande, en der der der eine de	n transport of the state of the
(1) Agent submittedO written reports on (main subjects);			

(2) Agent reported orally (summarize briefly; if detailed rept. necessary, submit of separate sheet and attach to this form:)

None

l. That he is confident that the pretrial hearings are political machinations only, and that legally speaking the State of Hesse does not have a case. (He was so advised by his lawyer who had consulted with the prosecutor at the latter's request). His lawyer further stated that he is certain objections raised by the defendants to the effect that they have already testified concerning the KMPRUIE and "Technical Service" in Karlsruhe and therefore object to being queried again regarding the same matter are valid. However, if that is overruled, they can claim that their previous testimony be made available to them in order to avoid making contradictory statements.

2. That in high esteem, and is therefore reluctant to say anything that implicates in more than is absolutely necessary.

3. That the house search conducted on 29 January (Hollocks' residence) was ordered by the courts of Offenbach and conducted by the Offenbach Police. It ostensibly had no connection with KMPRUDE matters, and was initiated, according to Offenbach Police officials, because they had in their possession a receipt for a pistol issued to him. (Hollocks did sign a receipt for a pistol issued to him by CADOUR in September 1952 which was always in our possession.)

4. That the morale of all defendants and 1 1 is high, and as long as they are convinced that we will not leave them to their fate, they will cooperate fully. (He naturally believes that we can show good faith most appropriately by taking care of them financially.)

This desement is part of an integrated: file. If separated from the file it must be subjected to included systematic review.

SECRET

- (3) Case Officer instructed Agent:
 - 1. Instructed Hollocks to tell = a the following:
 - a. He should under no circumstances perjure himself, consequently he must either answer questions truthfully, or state that he does not know, cannot remember, etc.
 - b. That he worked specifically for \subseteq 1, but should admit that he was aware Γ 1 is an American.
 - c. That he committed no illegal acts all instructions were issued by L 1 which he executed.
 - d. Queries regarding the lists should be explained exactly as he had previously done during questioning by Federal judges.
 - e. To volunteer no information, raise objections to questions that appear irrelevant, but if forced to answer, do so; applying the advice stated in para. "a" above.
 - 2. Instructed Hollocks to abide by the following in the event that his lawyer received erroneous information, and they do have to give testimony.
 - a. He should maintain throughout, and without let-up, that KMPRUDE and the "Technical Service" are in no way connected, affiliated, or related.
 - b. That he had never met Γ \supset (stated to Case Officer that he did in actuality never meet Γ \supset socially or otherwise) and that Γ \supset ! never issued instructions to KMPHUDE, nor did he have any contact with the organization.
 - c. That he should admit American backing only if his "back is against the wall" and then it should be construed as support from private anonymous American sources.
 - d. If queried regarding demands made on the KMPRUDE in return for funds received he should state that the donators made no specific demands other than a request that the
- (4) Case Officer gave Agent (payments, written instructions, forms, materials of any sort)

NONE

Special remarks (including additional observations about Agent's person, security) and

Agent appeared to be in high spirits, and confident of a successful outcome.

(6) Next meeting (date, hour, place -- if out of town): This described from the life in th

To be determined at a later date.

(7) NOTES:

- 1. Ascertained from Hollocks that Charles F. Prettyman, Sidney F. Eckford, and Paul L. Measor have had no connection whatsoever with the Apparat.
- 2. Not directly concerned with the issue under discussion, but nevertheless of consequence Hollocks stated, in reply to my query, that he expects to obtain his divorce on 13 February 1953. The children will be in the custody of their mother. Hollocks has assumed responsibility for the separation and divorce.

CONTINUATION SHEET

PROJECT: CADOST

Contact Report -

Date of Report: 2 February 1953 Agent: Hollocks
Date of Contact: 1 February 1953 Case Officer:

(3) Continued -

funds be utilized to further the aims of KMPRUDE as provided for in the charter. (The Case Officer made it clear to Hollocks that he should not state more than is necessary.

- e. To remind his lawyer that questions regarding the identity of the donators is really irrelavent to the case. To admit that American funds were obtained (in addition to funds from many other sources, e.g., German Industry, contributions, dues, etc.) only if the question is put to him in such a way that he must answer it.
- f. To keep in touch with Prettyman, Eckford, and Measor and to brief them prior to the hearing scheduled on the morning of 2 February 1953.

This decement is part of an integrated file. It superated from the tile it must be published to individual systematic review.