30 September 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: AEDOGMA, C-36678, [redacted]

Sterilized copy of this report was sent to Paris and [redacted] by [redacted] in September 1961. Had not previously been sent to the field

KORZAN, Michael Matwijewytsch (1)
aka KORZHAN, Mikhail or Mikhailo (2, 3, and 5)
@ TONKO (1 and 4)
LORENZ (1 and 4)
FORKHEIM, Ing. Lorents (2 and 4)
VOLKHEIM, Ing. Lorens (2)
VORKHEIM

DOB: 11 November 1912 (1)
POB: Zakomarie, Poland. Zakomarie is located in the Western Ukraine.

V-13,611 and V-9460.9 are Subject's present and former UPHILL "V" numbers.

1. This review has been undertaken because there are some basic unanswered questions about AEDOGMA, and also because consideration is being given whether to grant Subject an OA for his present assignment with [redacted] (Approval has recently been granted to extend Project AEDOGMA through 31 January 1961.) The basic questions concern AEDOGMA's primary loyalty, his former wife, Marianna, his former mistress Irena, and his relationship with UPHILL. A number of these questions have arisen because of the uneven handling accorded Subject both in Headquarters and in the field. This lack of continuity encompasses both C/O's and files.

2. AEDOGMA's association with KUBARK dates from early 1946 in Salzburg when, according to MGH 391 (dtd. 27 December 1946, 32-7-10-42), he contacted Zsolt ARADI (who used the pseudonym Dr. NOVAK), and thereafter worked as one of ARADI's informants. Subject's first regular KUBARK C/O feels that this is probably inaccurate, and that ARADI contacted AEDOGMA. AEDOGMA has undergone LCFLUTTER tests on three separate occasions--1952, 1956, and 1957. In each instance there has been an indication that he was withholding information. Considering the number of times that AEDOGMA and Colonel Heinz SCHMALSCHLAEGER, one of his Abwehr superiors now working for UPHILL, have met since the end of WW II, and the topics discussed, it is difficult to believe the relationship does not have an intelligence

significance. This view is strengthened when one considers that SCHMALSCHLAEGER's C/O is Oscar REILE @ RISCHKE, a renowned CE expert. From 1944 until 1954 Subject's real wife Marianna was behind the Iron Curtain, and he and Irena BIHUS lived together as husband and wife. AEDOGMA never divulged Marianna's existence to any of his KUBARK C/Os. Irena is now residing in Canada, and Marianna in the U.S. Neither of the women has ever been questioned by KUBARK.

3. It is recommended that name traces and Green List checks be run on all the aliases and name variants of Subject, Marianna and her family residing in the U.S., and Irena and her family residing in Canada. Marianna was interviewed by the Department of State during the processing of her immigration case. The record of this interview should be obtained from Department of State IRP File Munich 13179.

a. KUBARK should then hold a frank discussion with UPHILL about its association with AEDOGMA. Nothing but gain can accure to KUBARK through such an airing, for it is evident from this review that KUBARK is unclear on some facets of the relationship, if not completely in the dark about them.

b. Following this AEDOGMA should be given an opportunity to explain the facts and reasonable certainties that exist regarding his relationship with UPHILL and his primary loyalty. An attempt should be made to obtain from AEDOGMA any information regarding Marianna and Irena that he has heretofore not disclosed.

c. After this the information on Marianna available to KUBARK should be sent to ODENVI, and the information on Irena sent to the Canadian authorities. It is possible that Irena was debriefed upon her arrival in Canada. This information should be obtained if such occurred. A request should be made in each case that KUBARK be provided with the results of any investigation undertaken. KUBARK is particularly interested in any information Marianna has about the intelligence activities of AEDOGMA, and the period she spent behind the Iron Curtain. In Irena's case the major interest concerns the years she spent with Subject following 1946, and the relationship between AEDOGMA and UPHILL.

d. Should it at this point appear profitable to resolve any of the questions that remain unanswered in paragraphs 7 to 12, such should be done.

significance. This view is strengthened when one considers that SCHMALSCHLAEGER's C/O is Oscar REILE, a renowned CE expert. From 1944 until 1954 Subject's real wife Marianna was behind the Iron Curtain, and he and Irena BIHUS lived together as husband and wife. AEDOGMA never divulged Marianna's existence to any of his KUBARK C/Os. Irena is now residing in Canada, and Marianna in the U.S. Neither of the women has ever been questioned by KUBARK.

3. It is recommended that name traces and Green List checks be run on all the aliases and name variants of Subject, Marianna and her family residing in the U.S., and Irena and her family residing in Canada. Marianna was interviewed by the Department of State during the processing of her immigration case. The record of this interview should be obtained from Department of State IRP File Munich 13179.

a. KUBARK should then hold a frank discussion with UPHILL about its association with AEDOGMA. Nothing but gain can accure to KUBARK through such an airing, for it is evident from this review that KUBARK is unclear on some facets of the relationship, if not completely in the dark about them.

b. Following this AEDOGMA should be given an opportunity to explain the facts and reasonable certainties that exist regarding his relationship with UPHILL and his primary loyalty. An attempt should be made to obtain from AEDOGMA any information regarding Marianna and Irena that he has heretofore not disclosed.

c. After this the information on Marianna available to KUBARK should be sent to ODENVY, and the information on Irena sent to the Canadian authorities. It is possible that Irena was debriefed upon her arrival in Canada. This information should be obtained if such occurred. A request should be made in each case that KUBARK be provided with the results of any investigation undertaken. KUBARK is particularly interested in any information Marianna has about the intelligence activities of AEDOGMA, and the period she spent behind the Iron Curtain. In Irena's case the major interest concerns the years she spent with Subject following 1946, and the relationship between AEDOGMA and UPHILL.

d. Should it at this point appear profitable to resolve any of the questions that remain unanswered in paragraphs 7 to 12, such should be done.

4. Subject's chronological history:

1928	He became a member of the Ukrainian Military Organization (UVO). He was recruited by Ivan TESLYA, who is presently living in the U.S. (3) (There is no derogatory information in KUBARK files on TESLYA.)
1929	He joined the OUN (Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists), which resulted from the reorganization of the UVO. (3)
Nov. 1934	Lev REBET assigned Subject to the post of Orgsnizational Referent of the Homeland Executive Committee of the OUN in the Western Ukraine. (3)
1935	He was publisher of a nationalistic, anti-Bolshevik OUN newspaper. He was imprisoned for three months in September 1935 for articles sharply critical of the Polish government. (1 and 3)
Nov. 1936	He married Marianna Elizabeth MOSKVA, DOB 1 April 1913, POB Lvov, Ukraine, in Lvov, Ukraine.
July 1937	He was arrested in Lvov by Polish police. (1and 3)
Fall 1937	His wife took a lover. (1)
Spring 1938	His wife refused an offer of divorce. (1)
May 1939	Subject was sentenced to twelve years imprisonment for his OUN membership.
Sept. 1939	At the outbreak of the German-Polish war, Subject and all other Ukrainian political prisoners were released. (1 and 3)

Nov. 1939	Subject agreed to the OUN proposal that he join the German CI Service (Abwehrstelle III in Cracow). His immediate superiors were Lt. Col. Robert Franz von TARBUK and Major Frantz KORAB. Subject worked in the border area around the Yaroslav, Radimno-Peremyshl area, engaged in CI work against the RIS. (3)
Early 1940	Subject had contact with his wife for the first time since late 1937, when OUN member Leonid MOSTOVICH brought her to Radimno. (2)
Dec. 1940	Subject, under false documentation as Engineer Lorenz VOLKHEIM, moved to Sianok with his wife. (2) Other possible spellings of this name are VORKHEIM and FORKHEIM. (2 and 4)
June 1941	He was sent to the Ukrainian front where he stayed for a year. His wife was again unfaithful to Subject. (2)
May 1942-Mid 1944	Subject was transferred to Abwehrstelle III in Cracow, where he was concerned with enemy communications. He gave his wife his earnings. When the Red Army approached Sianok at the end of this period, his wife fled to Vienna with a German officer. While on business in Vienna Subject met her, and at her request obtained false documentation for her from his superior Major KORAB. Subject also gave her money and a number of valuables. (1, 2, and 3)
Mid 1944-1954	Subject had no contact with his wife. (1 and 2)
June 1942	He began studying theology at the University of Warsaw. (3)

Sept. 1944	He obtained his release as an active Abwehr employee, because, after the abortive attempt on Hitler's life of 20 July 1944, Gestapo members were attached to Abwehr ranks. He did, however, agree to work as a voluntary informer. (3)
Late 1944	He completed his theological studies and was ordained by Archbishop PALADII, who later resided in Boston. The Archbishop sent him to Prague to specialise in church law. (3) (There is no derogatory information in KUBARK files on the Archbishop.)
Jan-Apr. 1945	He worked with the Abwehr in Prague under his former Cracow chief, Lt. Col. von TARBUK. (3)
Apr. 1945	When the Czechoslovakian revolt threatened the Abwehr group decided to flee to Austria. For purposes of protection in Austria one of Subject's co-workers (Irena Yaroslava BIHUS, DOB 26 June 1913, POB Pidhordie, Poland (12)) was given military documentation under the name Irena Yaroslava KORZHAN. Upon their arrival in Austria, Irena registered with the authorities as Subject's wife. (2)
Oct. 1945-Apr. 1947	Subject was a teacher in Salzburg. (1)
Early 1946	Subject got in touch with Zsolt ARADI, whose pseudonyms included Dr. NOVAK, OSS Salzburg, and began working for him as an informant. (15)
1946	Subject was ordered by the OUN to join the Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Nations (ABN). (15)
Early Dec. 1946	ARADI requested a frontier pass between Austria and Bavaria for Subject and his "wife" (Irena BIHUS) so that they might attend an ABN Congress in late December and early January. (16)

Apr. 1946-Oct. 1948	He was a member of the ZCh/OUN and head of the CI section of the SB. He has probably maintained informal contact with these groups since that time.
Apr. 1947-Late Summer 1959	Subject was the Chancellor of the Holy Autocephalic Church of Poland in Munich. (1 and 3)
1948	Marianna's father and two sisters emigrated to the U.S. from Munich.
1946-Present	Subject has been in operational contact with this Agency. (1) AEDOOMA was laid off by KUBARK during the period April 1949-February 1950, because he was producing very little. In early July 1950 it was accidentally disclosed that he had been working for UPHILL since October 1949. (21) Since at least 1950 he has worked simultaneously for both KUBARK and UPHILL, which fact has been known by both agencies from that time to date. The UPHILL desk has indicated that it considers two of Subject's UPHILL contacts, Col. SCHMALSCHLAEGER and Oscar REILE, individuals about whom there is some reason for suspecting hostile connections. However, there is no definite basis for this without the presence of actual evidence.
6 June 1952	An LCFLUTTER test was given to Subject. The results were described as "barely fairly reliable."
7 July 1954	Subject saw his wife Marianna for the first time since 1944 in Munich, where she had just arrived from East Germany, and talked with her in the presence of Boris LEVITSKY and Volodimir SLIMAKOVSKY. (2)

27 July 1954	Irena used the name KORZHAN until this date, when a German court clarified her relationship with Subject and his marital status was changed in the Munich Registration Office. (1)
31 Dec. 1954	Subject and his wife Marianna were divorced by a German court. (1)
Late Fall 1954	LEVITSKY told Subject about revelations made to LEVITSKY by AECAMBISTA/5 re Project AEQUOR. Subsequently in 1955 four agents involved in this project dropped into the USSR, and were arrested by the Soviets. At least two of them were executed. (6)
1955	Discussions were begun re the transfer of Subject to Paris. Questioning occurred back and forth among Hqs., Munich and [redacted] re the advisability of such a move.
6-7March 1956	A second LCFLUTTER was administered to Subject. ". . . . all of the reactions to the questions asked were not fully resolved." (19)
3 Apr. 1956	Irena BIHUS emigrated to Canada. It is doubtful that she received aid from KUBARK. (1 and 5)
Oct. 1956	Subject's divorced wife Marianna emigrated to the U.S. It is also doubtful that she received KUBARK assistance. (1 and 5)
25 Oct. 1957	A third LCFLUTTER was administered to Subject. To one important question, re his relation with UPHILL, the operator determined that Subject "may be practicing deception." (20)
Aug. 1959	Subject moved to Paris.

22 Sept. 1959	The following information was contained in EGMA-8305 of this date:
	(a) Kurt V. HARTMANN, DOB 25 May 1901, POB Riga, Latvia, was an Abwehr official on the Eastern Front who married a Soviet agent. He was in the USSR after WW II. Circa 1953 he was sent to penetrate UPHILL for the RIS.
	(b) In 1950 Otto KRUEGER, a Soviet agent, recruited Pavlo DIMITRENKO @ DEIBACH, who obtained a report from Subject on Ukrainian emigration allegedly under the pretext that it was desired by Col. SCHMALSCHLAEGER, the UPHILL CE officer. DIMITRENKO died in November 1950. Alfred LOBENWEIN, a Soviet agent, introduced himself to Subject "to report DIMITRENKO's death." Subject claimed he contacted SCHMALSCHLAEGER, whom he met three times during WW II, at DIMITRENKO's funeral. The exact dates of these occurrences are not known.
	(c) AECANDIOT (Boris LEVITSKY) confided his Soviet agent status to Subject and kept him informed of his activities.
Early Oct. 1959	Subject learned from a letter he received from Ivan KASHUBA on 2 October 1959, that the RIS was thinking of assassinating Stefan BANDERA and KASHUBA (AECAVATINAs 1 and 12). The CAVATINA group checked on this and verified it from other sources. (18) AEDOGMA did not know how the information had been rechecked, nor did he know how KASHUBA had originally obtained the information.
Nov. 1959	When Subject was recalled to Munich to help in the investigation of BANDERA's death, he reported it as a suicide.
Mid-Jan. 1960	Subject returned to Paris.

Mar. 1960	:	Subject's sickness began in Paris after he had lunched there with three emigres. Two of them (Ivan POPOVICH, the assistant chief of the CAVATINAs in France, and Boris VITOSHINSKYY) were Ukrainians, like Subject. None of the three were shipped to Corsica during KHRUSHCHEV's visit. The former two did not have to report to the police on a daily basis, which indicates some dispensation from the French authorities, for AEDOGMA was served with a notice to go to Corsica, which was changed, when his illness was discovered, to one requiring him to report twice daily to the local police headquarters.
9 Apr. 1960		He arrived in Munich for treatment because of the difficulty of getting proper treatment in Paris. Travel assistance was rendered by a German Embassy doctor. (9 and 10)
1 June 1960		Subject returned to Paris.
1 Aug. 1960		Subject was back in Munich to see about renewing his passport and his French visa. (17)

5. Source Notes:

(1) Request for Approval or Investigative Action, dated 24 March 1959, [redacted] with PRQ I and Curriculum Vitae attached.

(2) EGMA-11836, dated 15 July 1954, with Attachment A, KAPOK, old branch file 03416, vol. 2, in SR/3 W/3.

(3) MGMA-8530, dated 24 February 1952, with PRQ I and Curriculum Vitae, dated 20 January 1952, attached, 74-6-13-1794.

(4) Request for Green List check #2978, dated 18 April 1952.

(5) Memorandum for the Record, Subject: AEDOGMA-1, by C/CI/OPS/Sov-sat, dated 30 November 1959.

(6) Cable MUNI 8683 (IN-27518), dated 29 November 1954, C-44829.

(7) Memorandum recording results of Carriage Test of AEDOGMA-1 on 6 June 1952, dated 7 June 1952.

(8) Cable DIR 03646 (OUT-67360), dated 20 November 1959.

(9) EGMA-48603, with attachments A and D, dated 19 April 1960, 32-0-25, destroyed.

(10) Cable [redacted] (IN-23029), dated 6 April 1960.

(11) Cable [redacted] (IN-11884), dated 24 November 1959.

(12) Memorandum from the Director of Security with Report of Investigation attached, dated 17 July 1959.

(13) PRQ II for file C-36678, with excerpts from file on Subject's dealings with GIS attached, received Headquarters 1 July 1960.

(14) Attachment H to EGMA-20222, dated 23 July 1956, WASH-CIA-PRO 3892, Folder #8.

(15) MGH 391, dated 27 December 1946, OSS Project Belladonna file, 32-7-10-42.

(16) Memo, dated 14 December 1946, from ARADI to his superior, OSS Project Belladonna file.

(17) Cable [redacted] (IN-31884), dated 1 August 1960.

(18) OFPA-48118, dated 12 October 1959, 201-48231, vol. I.

(19) EGMA-20086, dated 4 April 1956, 29-6-106/3.

(20) EGMA-29660, dated 31 October 1957, KAPOK, old branch file, 03416, vol. II.

(21) MGMA 3556, dated 1 August 1950, 74-6-13-217.

6. Checks:

The dates of Subject's three LCFLUTTER tests, and the latest checks made on him are listed. (Only the names Michael KORZAN and Michael KORZHAN were checked. None of Subject's other names or variants were included.)

6 June 1952	Subject was given first LCFLUTTER test, rated "barely fairly reliable."
6-7 March 1956	Subject was given another LCFLUTTER test.
25 October 1957	Subject was given a third LCFLUTTER test.
24 March 1959	PRQ I.
6 April 1959	Green List check -- "No additional information from previous G. L. of 1952." The G. L. of 1952 showed NDI.
9 July 1959	Office of Security Report of Investigation indicated:
	ACSI - had information, but nothing about which KUBARK was not already aware.
	FBI)
	ONI)
	State)
	CSC) - no info.
	HCUA)
	OSI)
	I&N)
3 August 1959	Visa check by ODOPAL showed no derogatory information except AEDOGMA's Abwehr connection.
5 August 1959	Field traces to Berlin and Frankfurt revealed nothing more current than 1954, which was already known to Headquarters and MDB. Negative replies from MID, OSI, and A-2.
1 July 1960	PRQ II received by Headquarters.

7. Postwar contacts with Heins SCHMALSCHLAEGER, as evidenced by the files:

Pre-4 Dec. 1947	Indirect contact through SCHMALSCHLAEGER telling [redacted] (P) of the high opinion in which AEDOGMA was held by his Abwehr associates.

Sometime in 1950	Subject was asked to prepare a report on the Ukrainian emigration by Pavlo DIMITRENKO, @ Paul DEIBACH, under the pretext that the report was for SCHMALSCHLAEGER. This was after DIMITRENKO had been recruited by the RIS.
Dec. 1950	Subject and SCHMALSCHLAEGER met at DIMITRENKO's funeral.
Rpt. dated 10 Apr. 1952	UPHILL staffer Emmerich OFCZAREK (V-7500), formerly of Abwehrstelle III in Cracow, who has been with UPHILL since 1947, was maintaining contact with SCHMALSCHLAEGER in Vienna for operational leads and CI purposes. The UPHILL desk has some reason for suspecting hostile connections on the part of this man, but, as with SCHMALSCHLAEGER and REILE, there is no definite basis for this without the presence of actual evidence.
Mid 1954	SCHMALSCHLAEGER offered to assist AEDOOMA in acquiring German citizenship. He also offered assistance in straightening out Subject's muddled marital life, and testified to the fact that AEDOOMA served with the Abwehr and that, as a result, Irena BIHUS had been documented as his wife.
Rpt. dated 18 Feb. 1955	AEDOOMA was told by SCHMALSCHLAEGER that he believed Kurt HARTMANN was recruited by the Soviets, and returned to Kassel several months ago from a Soviet prison.
3 Nov. 1955	SCHMALSCHLAEGER stated that he was with the Bonn BfV, and after extracting a secrecy promise told Subject that the BfV placed a tap on his telephone on 1 November. (NOTE: At that time to the best of POB's knowledge, SCHMALSCHLAEGER was still a very active UPHILL CE officer.)
15-16 Nov. 1955	Subject talked with SCHMALSCHLAEGER about SMOTH representative [redacted] statements about the AECANDIOT - RIS courier affair. The AIS asked AEDOOMA subsequently who was aware of [redacted] information, and Subject said he overlooked mentioning this at that time.

6 Mar. 1956	SCHMALSCHLAEGER visited AEDOGMA in Munich and mentioned that the Sicherungs Gruppe (SG) was being pressured by SPD efforts to effect the prompt release of AECANDIOT.
14 Nov. 1956	Subject and SCHMALSCHLAEGER met in Munich at the Cafe Kustermann for about an hour. Politics was the major item discussed. AEDOGMA described the history of the ABN. SCHMALSCHLAEGER indicated he had been in Vienna since the beginning of the Hungarian revolution to observe things firsthand. He stated that the Hungarian emigration did not support the revolution actively, although the possibility for doing so had existed.
Rpt. dated 20 May 1957	Klee DEXBACH wrote a letter to AEDOGMA about her daughter's confirmation. SCHMALSCHLAEGER, AECAPELIN-2, Joachim OSTER and Anny HAASER are mentioned in the letter.
Rpt. dated 25 Nov. 1957	SCHMALSCHLAEGER revealed to Subject a so-called approach made to him by CIC officials to obtain his cooperation in the recruitment of Germans for the "failing CIC effort" in Germany.
Rpt. dated 11 Apr. 1958	[redacted] a police official in Southern Austria, stated that SCHMALSCHLAEGER was traveling through the Austrian provinces of Styria and Corinthia recontacting his former intelligence colleagues in an effort to recruit them for the GIS.
Rpt. dated 3 June 1958	AEDOGMA reported that he had several contacts with SCHMALSCHLAEGER during April and May. At one of these SCHMALSCHLAEGER handed Subject a critique of the Joachim JOESTEN book on the CIA, which UPHILL had requested SCHMALSCHLAEGER prepare, and asked for AEDOGMA's criticisms. Subject was also given a copy of Press Release #19, 1-15 February 1958, and asked to state his impressions. SCHMALSCHLAEGER stated he operated the press service for the benefit of various overt Bonn offices.

6 Aug. 1958	SCHMALSCHLAEGER called the morning of 6 August 1958 to advise AEDOGMA that Robert Franz von TARBUK had come to Munich from Linz. Von TARBUK is a former Abwehr superior of Subject's who fell into Soviet hands at the end of WW II. Von TARBUK stated he had been in contact with, among others, the HLADKYJ brothers. He gave his word of honor that while held by the Soviets he was not questioned about Cracow nor the Abwehr personnel there.
2 Oct. 1958	SCHMALSCHLAEGER telephoned AEDOGMA and they met in a Munich restaurant. SCHMALSCHLAEGER "again visited Munich for unknown reasons." He told Subject he was just back from a three-week vacation in Meran, Italy, but added that he had actually been there for operational reasons. While there he met with former Italian Fascist officers he had known during WW II. ("They work for me, and periodically provide me with interesting information.") Von TARBUK was supposed to have gone; he will accompany SCHMALSCHLAEGER to Meran on 10 October. The latter did not indicate the reason for the October trip, but said it was too bad von TARBUK could not make the earlier trip since he would have met some Italian contacts he may be able to use later. AEDOGMA was permitted to keep on loan a copy of Press Release #35, 16-31 July 1958. (Pavlo DIMITRENKO @ DEXBACH served with the Abwehr in Italy, as well as in Cracow.)
28 Oct. 1958	SCHMALSCHLAEGER and AEDOGMA met in Munich. The former had been in Meran within the last few days and learned from von TARBUK that a certain Captain is now police president in Salzburg. SCHMALSCHLAEGER had been asked by von TARBUK to transmit the Captain's greetings to AEDOGMA.

8. UPHILL association:

a. What is the significance of SCHMALSCHLAEGER and Oscar REILE to AEDOGMA's UPHILL association?

b. A phone tap (report dated 9 May 1957) showed that Subject had withheld at least two UPHILL requirements from his KUBARK C/O.

c. On several occasions AEDOGMA has indicated far greater satisfaction working for German intelligence than the AIS, and complained that his relation with the Americans is on a business rather than a personal basis. (See Attachment) He did so after his October 1957 LCFLUTTER, and yet barely a month later he stated his treatment by the AIS had been very human throughout the past year, and that the "former complete business status had changed into a personal one."

d. What does Subject really do for UPHILL?

e. What does he reveal to UPHILL about his AIS connection?

f. Does he reveal to the AIS everything about his UPHILL connection?

9. Abwehr Associates:

a. Joseph and Walter HLADKYJ
Pietr and Valentyna DACKO @ ZYCH

All are known to the FBI. In the process of immigrating no mention was made of their prior intelligence status. Also, the DACKOs used the name ZYCH instead of their true name when immigrating to the U.S.

b. Heinz SCHMALSCHLAEGER
Robert Franz von TARBUK

The most pressing questions appear in the list of postwar contacts between SCHMALSCHLAEGER and AEDOGMA.

c. Kurt HARTMANN

(1) Did HARTMANN establish contact with a component of the AIS in Kassel in late 1954 as SCHMALSCHLAEGER believed?

(2) What activities has HARTMANN been engaged in since his return to the West?

(3) How did AEDOGMA know that the report written for SCHMALSCHLAEGER re: MOB methods of interrogation and signed with only an "H" was by HARTMANN?

(4) Is the "certain Captain" SCHMALSCHLAEGER asked AEDOGMA if he knew on 28 October 1958 in Munich, and stated by von TARBUK to SCHMALSCHLAEGER to be police president of Salzburg at that time, HARTMANN? (AEDOGMA stated that the captain was Kommandant of Abwehr IVth or VIth CI group on the Eastern Front, and that he served with the captain.)

(5) Has AEDOGMA been questioned as to the identity of the captain?

d. General

It is possible that Subject's Abwehr background is the basis for an RIS controlled operation, or that Subject, rather than being controlled by KUBARK in his UPHILL contacts, is actually controlled by UPHILL for its benefit in his contact with KUBARK.

10. Marianna Elisabeth KORZAN nee MOSKVA:

a. Why was Marianna treated so well by the Soviets and the Polish UB while in prison in Lods?

b. Why was she given German documentation and taken to Rohnstedt in East Germany, and why given separate housing there?

c. Why did she suddenly become interested in finding her relatives in the U.S. in 1954?

d. What was her point in contacting Olga FILIPOVICH in Munich?

e. Why did she wait until April of 1954 to locate her relatives in East Germany?

f. Why was she able with such ease to get and use her inter-zonal pass coming from East to West Germany?

g. What is the explanation for the implausibility of her answers to AEDOGMA's questions during July of 1954? (See EGMA-11836, 15 July 1954, and attachments thereto.)

h. For what purpose did Marianna contact Myron MATVIYKYKO, a known RIS agent, in Munich in July 1954?

i. Was Marianna in Amberg for the whole period between July 1954 and October 1956?

j. Where was she living, what was she doing and with whom was she in contact during this period?

k. What assistance, if any, did KUBARK render in Marianna's immigration into the U.S.?

l. Where has she been living, and what has she been doing since her arrival in the U.S.?

m. Is Erwin HEGENBART of Blooming Prairie, Minnesota, the same person who was with Marianna in the Amberg DP camp? What is his relation to Marianna? When and how did he enter the U.S.? Where is his mother? Is he married to Marianna? Have traces been run on the people mentioned in HEGENBART's letters?

n. Who is Anni KRAL? Have traces been run on her and the other names mentioned in her letter to Marianna?

o. Marianna claims to have known an Alwine HUEBNER for one year (1947-1948). This must have been in the Soviet Zone. It is possible that HUEBNER, residing in Mettmann, Kreis Duesseldorf, Germany, in 1956, is a Soviet agent.

11. Irena BIHUS:

a. Did AEDOGMA marry Irena in January 1944 as he stated he had in Attachment A to MGMA-10458, 14 July 1952? Possibly this was purely for purposes of the record, or perhaps this is the hold that Irena may have over Subject.

b. Did Irena go to Canada "a year and a half" prior to 30 June 1954, as AEDOGMA stated in EGMA-11836, 15 July 1954?

c. Where was Irena from 27 July 1954 until 3 April 1956, when she emigrated to Canada? Did KUBARK render any assistance in this emigration? What checks, with what results, were run at that time?

d. How long have BIHUS's father and brothers been in Canada, and under whose sponsorship did they enter? Are BIHUS's sister and family, residing in Argentina prior to 1954, now in Canada?

e. About what was the AEDOGMA-Miroslav BIHUS correspondence, reported in Subject's PRQ I, 24 February 1952?

12. AEDOGMA's LCFLUTTERS

a. 6 June 1952 — It was clearly apparent to the operator that Subject was suffering from the effects of a long-continued clandestine life. He was adjudged "barely fairly reliable," and was unhappy with the question: "Are you trying to conceal something officially important about your past from me?", which he answered negatively. The operator concluded that if it were later determined that Subject had lied on any question it would indicate important deception on all questions where reactions were recorded. The major reaction areas were:

1. Did you ever commit yourself to, or knowingly do, secret work for the Soviets, the Polish UB or the Communists?

2. Have you told the entire truth about your life history?

3. Are you being blackmailed or terrorized by anyone?

4. Have you told the whole truth about your association with von PRAHL?

5. Was it ever your intent or have you ever received orders to work against American interests?

b. 6-7 Mar. 1956 — The conclusion was reached that Subject was not attempting deception, although "all of the reactions to the questions

asked were not fully resolved." The questions were asked Subject in three different tests. On a scale of weak, medium, and strong, Subject reacted as follows:

MEDIUM - 1. Have you withheld anything about your WW II intelligence activities?

2. Have you told us the truth about Marianna's appearance in the West?

3. Has UPHILL supplied you with instructions to pass to the AIS?

WEAK, becoming subsequently MEDIUM -

1. Have you revealed to UPHILL your connection with the AIS?

2. Do you know Ivan KASHUBA?

MEDIUM, becoming subsequently WEAK -

1. Have you told the truth about SCHMALSCHLAEGER?

2. Have you told the truth about revealing your association with the AIS?

3. Have you intentionally withheld from the AIS any information about your intelligence activities?

4. Have you reported the full truth about your association with Boris LEVITSKY?

5. Have you disclosed any KEI received from the AIS to UPHILL?

6. Have you ever attended a Soviet intelligence school?

7. Have you told the truth about your educational history?

NO REACTION to MEDIUM to WEAK - Other than disclosed, have you ever been approached by a Soviet intelligence outfit?

WEAK to MEDIUM to ALMOST NO REACTION - Have you ever worked for a Soviet intelligence unit?

c. 25 Oct. 1957 — The operator concluded that the test was substantially reliable. Subject's response was significant and consistent to the question: "Do you now have any assignments for UPHILL that you have not told us about?" On this question the operator felt " . . . . Subject may be practicing deception." AEDOGMA's comments later again indicated his greater satisfaction working with the Abwehr, where he felt an absolute trust.

[redacted]
CI/OG/SOVSAT

Attachment