

12 Per DOI 70-19

5 May 1960

MINOPAKIEN FOR THE RECORD

FROM: COS/Assistant Hedical Officer SUBJECT: Hedical Evaluation of AEDODMA-1

1. On 22 April this patient was seen and medically evaluated. He gave the following history through an interpreter. On 9 March the patient first becaus summe of a burning summation and pain in his perianal, butteck, and thigh regions. He was attending a movie at the time, but when he returned have and removed his treasure three hours later, he found that hlistors were forming in these areas. The blistors waried in size from pen size to the size of a plum. During the night these blistors broke and a relaxish fluid annuled.

2. The following day, 10 March, the patient saw a physician and the diagnosis of shingles (herese moster) was made. The days later, on 12 March, the patient again visited the dester because the pain was becoming wares. He was researed at that time that the diagnosis was correct, that we mare treatment could be given, and that the condition would clear spentamenesly in a few days. On 17 March, the patient states, his pains because unbergable. He returned to the dester and was started on Vitamin El and Vitamin El2 shots.

3. On 19 Mayob the pain became worse, so the patient them consulted a "apecialist." This apecialist told the patient that the diagnosis of berpes soster was wrong and that the lesions had bean "anltreated." He referred the patient to enother specialist who agreed that the wrong diagnosis had bean unde. He diagnosed the empition as "permissions ecrems" or "an infection." We told the patient he thought "blood poissning" had set in and gave him many different kinds of medicine to take and started him on penicillin injections.

4. The patient states that he reserved daily injections of penicillin from 19 March through 25 March inclusive. Also during this time, the patient whe given rather massive doese of morphine, which kept him in a rather semiomnocious state.

5. On 26 March the pain was becoming so bad that the patient finally called the dector from the German Rebauny in Paris. This physician told the patient that he was suffering from an allergy. He treated the patient for one work and then referred him to an allergy alimic.



DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCESMETHODSEXEMPTION 3020 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2000

BUBJECT: Medical Evaluation of AEDODM-1

6. The patient states that at this time he had a high to reach all over his body. He does not know what medicines we treatment, henever, he states that after two days be fait and ifter emaileding with this treatment for anhile, he fait more that he would be able to return to Munich. S proved to erstare and

7. Reputal emmination at this time revealed a new who did not appear extally or downically 111. He did not seem to be in any ande distress and seemed to be entrying on a rother normal artivity in his epertment. Reputa indings were limited to the mills even in the perimetion region, on the laft indings were limited to the still even in the perimetion region, on the laft into a set in the posterior expect of the laft thigh. The petimet slow as and its inter appet of the right thigh which was neerly being the which was still desimal a public pluminent fluid from a small area in the miler. These insime had the appearance of subsiding herpes meter, scoopt to the fluid that they were rother large lamions. However, Andrews, in his set "blasses of the Min," states that constimully the history of herpes outer conless and beens several index in dimeter.

8. Dependention: It is this examiner's impre-individual was unlusity enough to have had a very shish was complicated by the lesiens becoming inf complicated by the patient bring allergie to the complicated by the patient bring allergie to the invest the infection. I believe that this long hi tion that this very unfortu-vere and of herpes route tool, and which we further 1111111 5 5

U

MANTINATION Orde - COMMAndon 1 - COMMAND 1 - COMMAND