

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2008

SECURITY INFORMATION  
SECRET

26 November 1952

To:  REDSOX/AERODYNAMIC

Fr: Carriage: D L Pring

REF: MGY-A 09904 (Copy attached)

Sj: Further detail on Carriage Test of Capelin on 6 June 1952.

1. EGC-W-681 of Nov 7, 1952 "Project AE-BATH", raises certain points regarding the Carriage examination of Capelin which it is the object of this memorandum to clarify, as far as possible:-

a) As to the reliability of the results: Subject presents what is called the "tachycardia picture", i. e., his pulse-rate is habitually very fast. This exerts a wash-effect that masks the profiles of deception in his carriage pattern. He appears to be able to rationalize (i. e., deceive himself) quite well, which adds a further handicap to the use of carriage in this case. He appears to have lived in an intense anxiety state, for a very long time. The meaning of "barely fairly reliable" -- and that on the main issues only -- simply indicates that I have used all the carriage evidence to arrive at "my best guess", i. e., that the weight of the evidence is in favor of the conclusions drawn. (Note: The main issues of the case are whether the man has given his true identity, is an enemy agent, or involved in serious crime.)

pertinent  
b) In the following I give the specific questions asked of the subject, with results: (In chronological order).

As far as you know, were you born on 11 November 1912? Yes.  
Is your true name \*\*\*\*\*? Yes.

Subject reacts slightly to these two questions, which is normal for subjects of this type (the "clandestine" personality), so that allowance for some sensitivity must be made. I do not believe the sensitivity recorded is enough to warrant accusing the man of false identity. (Note para 2, recommendations.)

Are you knowingly an espionage agent of the Soviet-Russians, UB or of the Communists? No.

Are you knowingly an espionage agent of the Soviet-Russians, or UB? No.  
Subject showed little concern with this question, and I would be very surprised if he had anything to conceal with regard to it.

Did you ever knowingly do secret work for Soviet-Russians, UB, or Communists?

Did you ever commit yourself to do secret work for Soviet-Russians, UB, or Communists? No.

(Questions were also put with "or Communists" omitted). Subject showed distinctly more concern with this topic; stated that, after all, he had done some work with Yugoslavs, "and these are Communists". (This was, however, after the initial run and after questioning about it by me.) The question was revised and put several times without causing the kind of disturbance which would normally indicate vital deception, even in the subject's pattern.

Since 1945, have you committed murder, robbery, or other serious criminal crimes? No. (Clear, i. e., appears to be answering entirely truthfully as shown.)

Have you a child or children? No. (Clear).

Is there a lie in this life history you have written? No.

Are you trying to conceal something officially important about your past? No.

Did you tell me the truth about your imprisonment? Yes.

SECURITY INFORMATION  
SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~

About these last three questions, subject showed enough sustained anxiety to indicate that he has at least something of importance to himself to hide, although there is no estimating the objective importance. He stated that he was imprisoned as an OUN man 1937-9 by the Poles because they wanted to discourage Ukrainian activity in Poland. He stated that he was at that time both anti-Polish and anti-Russian. After testing he admitted he had exaggerated his life-history in that the medals he claimed had never been "officially" awarded, and that he just wanted to see if the machine would catch him lying. Subject appears to be withholding information about his past of undetermined importance.

Do you knowingly maintain connections with the Italian IS? No.

Subject stated he knew a contact but did not furnish information, no other admissions. I discussed the case of von Prahl with him; no admissions. Subject reacts to the question enough to indicate that he has at least strong concern with it, but hardly vital concern.

Did you tell me the truth about your relations with OUN and UHVR and other emigrants? Yes.

Subject stated that his whole success with these groups depends on his being able to conceal his connection with us from them and that he supplies services of a liaison nature between them. Serious deception does not appear to be indicated on this point.

Do you knowingly maintain secret connections with a German IS? No.

Do you knowingly maintain secret connections with ~~any~~ English or French IS? No.

Subject does not appear to be concealing information concerning these questions.

Other than you told me, have you sources of income? No.

Subject stated that his income was as follows:

From Americans,	about DM 500
World Council Churches	DM 200
Boris Levitzky	DM 250
Prahl, later Weber	DM 200 per month, and
"Kasuba occasionally gives me	DM 100"

These figures were stated as approximate. Subject does not react enough to the question to indicate important deception.

Are you being blackmailed or terrorized by anyone? No.

Is it in general difficult for you personally to keep secrets? No.

Are you working with us mainly because you want to drive the Communists out of the Ukraine? Yes.

Have you intentions or orders to work against American interests? No.

Subject does not react to these questions to a degree that would indicate important deception.

2. Recommendations: I do not believe a further Carriage would help much unless all the pressures under which the subject labors are removed for a month or so and he gets a complete rest. I believe the subject may be near a nervous crack-up. It would seem very advisable to secure hole-proof evidence of the subject's identity. His 1937-39 trial should be reported in the Polish papers of the period and be on file in the Library of Congress, and accounts of it should be obtained (this can be done on microfilm). IF SUBJECT IS SUBSEQUENTLY FOUND TO BE LYING IN AN IMPORTANT WAY ON ANY OF THE QUESTIONS LISTED ABOVE, PLEASE ADVISE. THIS WOULD INDICATE IMPORTANT DECEPTION ON ALL ISSUES WHERE REACTION WERE RECORDED. If subject is to be carried again, carrying officer should have access to the material on file here and subject a long prior rest.

~~SECRET~~  
SECRET [ ]