VIA		LOISPATCH HO. <u>WIN-E-</u>	10458
(SPECIFY AIR ON MA POLICIA	SECRE		
TO : Chief, SR Chief, EE FROM : Chief of Mission	Jun 5 Frankfurt DOC. MICR	1962 Beckhair	Check one
SUBJECT: GENERAL— REDSCK/CAPI SPECIFIC— CAPELIN'S (Injulia general)	Connections with Various	See para by	pouch
	29; WASHF-45063; MEM-A-1	Ol36 See data by	pouch
second reference, has conducted an extensive interrogation of CAPELIE with emphasis on his connections with intelligence services. Transmitted herewith are the following four attachments:			
activitie	y biographical history of C os in the OUM.	:	
· Production of the control of the c	ory of EAPELIN's commection		
	past and present affiliat		
Attachment D: The back	ground of CAPELIN's relation	aship with horis lavil]
	filed in AECANDIOT	file	•
Approved:	WASH - CIA	- PRO - 3076	
<u>ler</u>	•	DECLASSIFIED A	N.D. D.C. C. C. C.
Distribution:	in dumi)	CENTRAL INTELL SOURCES METHODS	I SENCE ASENCY
1-32 (direct) w// att) w/4 att (im dupl)	NAZIWAR CRIMES DATE 2006	
(CS COPY	•	
INDEX"	SECRET CLASSIFICATION		
51-28 A	IR COPY	74630to 2	· , ·

. 1

VIA:	manufacture and and	LOISPATCH NO. MEH-410458
CONTROL ARE ON SIAN POLICIA	SECRE	
TO : Chief, SR Chief, EE FROM : Chief of Mission,	Jun Frankfurt	Belliches Reg. by ochie
SUBJECT: CENERAL— REDSCE/CAPE	LDOC. M	ICRO. SER.
specific— CAPELIN's C	ommections with Various a Services	if Journage gad, by copie
	29; WASHF-45063; MCH-	A-10136 See form by poorth
	dance with the request	A: AB
second reference, a extensive interrogation intelligence services.	the advanced to the second	s on his connections with the the following four attachments:
Attachment A: The carl		CAPELIN, including his
•	ory of EAPKLIN's connect	tions with ZIPPER.
		listics with the SB, ZCh/CUM.
Attachment D: The back	ground of CAPELIN's rela	ationship with Boris LEVITSKY.
	filed in F	7 file
Approved:		CIA - PRO - 3076
. Per		
		DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Distribution:		SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3 B 2 B
2-8% (direct) w/4 att (1-82 (41mant) w/4 att 2-008 ()) (direct)	:) w/4 att (im dupl)	NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2008
2-MOB W/4 avt (in dupl)		
	Security Informatio	n
INDEX	SECRET	
51-28A	CLASSIFICATION	
A. Comment	IN CUPT	79 6 33-12

10458 DOG. MICAN.

- Subject was born on 11 November 1912 in the town of ZAKOMARJE in the area of Zolochiv, Western Ukraine. His father was named Hatviy KORZAN and his mother, Irena KORZAH (maiden name, KIMDRATIV). Subject had two brothers-Osiv, born in 1908 and Ivan, born in 1910. Subject's brother Osip was a number of the OUN from 1926 until 1940. He was a raion commander in ZAKUMARJE. In March 19h0, the MKVD from the town of OLESKO arrested him along with Kyrile TURKALO, Vanil HAMISMIV, and Iven IVANIV, fellow OUN members, and took them to a prison in IMOW. In June 19h0 he was murdered in the prison. Subject with (Hamptann VEACHORICH, an Abwehr member, saw the body of his brother in the prison on Leon Sapieha Street, which he entered when the Soviets fled before the German sivance.
- 2. Subject's brother Ivan lived with their mother in ZAKUMARJE until 19th, and when the Russians approached the town, he joined the UPA. Subject has heard nothing from his brother since 19hh.
- 3. Subject mother was very ill in 19hh; and according to information that he received from Mikola FUTALA, a UPA member who came to Germany about 1950, she died a natural death in 1945.
- 4. In 1914 Subject's father Matviy was attached to the border police between the town of BECOE on the old Austrian side and RADIVILIY on the Russian side. In 1914, during the Austro-Russian war, Subject's father was taken prisoner by the Bussians and sent to the town of TASHKRHT in Asia, where he died early in 1917. Subject's family was notified of his father's death by other prisoners who returned from TASHKENT to ZAKOMARJE in 1917. Subject lived with his mother and in 1919 went to the public school in ZAKONARJE. His teacher was Mrs. Olena ZEHRISKY, presently residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Mrs. ZEHELSKY is a well-known Ukrainian writer CECRET CS COPY

HEHA - 104 58

.0

♦

5. In August 1925 Subject went to the Gymnasium in the town of ZOLOCHIV. The director of the Gymnasium at that time was a priest named CHEKICHERY. Subject's mother paid 20 Polish sloty a month for his stey in the Cymnesium. In August 1926 Subject transferred to the Cymnesium in INOW. He was a student of the First State Gymnasium which taught the Ukrainian lenguage and which was located in Lean Sapieba No. 43. At this time Subject lived in a dornitory at 39 Sickstucka Street. The head of the dornitory, a priest, Ivan BUCZEO, is now the Greek Catholic Bishop in the Vatican. BUCZEO had an assistant who was named Ivan/TESSLA. In 1928 Ivan TESSLA put Subject in contact with the CUN. In 1930 Subject stopped his schooling in the Cymnesium and took a one-year business course in DHOW on 1 Kornschtiv Street. The director of this school was Dr. KOHEMETZ. Subject entered the Greek Catholic Seminary at 39 Sickstuska Street in the autumn of 1931 and finished in 1933. While in the Seminary, Subject was the chief of the OUM Youth in INCM. In January 193h he was called as an instructor of the youth of OHN in LMOW. His chief was Mimitre MYROW, a lawyer whom the Germans shot in Kiev in 1941. In Movember 1934, after Stephen BANDERA, STETSKO, Bohdan ADDIDUATELY, and Michail Planty were arrested, Dr. Levingen made Subject a number of the Provid of the OUN in Western Ukraine and made him the head of the organisational section. In addition to Subject, the Provid at that time consisted of the following: Dr. Lev REEED, now in Munich; Sephis MOYSEOVITCH-YAMIV, now living in France at Seracelles near Paris; Yalian BODWARDD, now in Canada; Vasyl REFAK, now in the United States; Mykola-KOS, who died in a Pelish jail in 1939; Yaroslav MTAHUCH, later a general of UPA, died in 1949 in the Ukraine; and Behder Minterstry, who was in Pienna until 1947. In May 1935 Lev REEED ordered Subject to publish a paper named REVNE GRUED. This paper strongly criticised the Polish government. Subject was arrested in

August 1935 and sent to a Polish concentration camp in the area of Bereza Kartuska near WARSEN. Subject spent three months there, and in January 1936 he was freed and returned to DHOW. During Subject's stay in DHOW (1935-1937), he lived at 39 Staroutsinake Street together with the following persons:

- a. Alexander BUSSEL, later a member of UPA, who was killed in 1947 in the Ukraine.
 - b. Miron MATVIEKO, later chief of SB in HUMICH.
- o. Vesyl MATVIENO, who is now in Germany, address unknown to Subject.
- 6. After Subject left the area of Beresa Kartuska, he continued working in the COM. Besides his normal organisational work, he was concerned with printing the underground literature of the COM. In a stable near 39 Starosnesinska Street, Subject maintained his underground printing press. Buring this time (1935-1937) Subject was a director of a ecoperative, MARCOMIE DIM, in the town of MAZHESHIME near INCW. In July 1937 Subject was arrested by the Polish national police and was jailed first in INCW then in MIVHO, DUENO, and finally in SHEDLITSE. In May 1939 he was tried in MIVHO and sentenced, as a member of the COM Provid, to twelve years in prison. The fellowing people were sentenced with Subject:
 - a. Yaroslav STARUCH thirteen years
 - b. Vasilikevak twelve years
 - c. Hykola 202 ten years
 - descape BUSEL twolve years
 - e. IgerVanuBSKY twelve years
 - f. Stephen PSHRMITSHY twelve years
 - g. Ivan SEOPHIK twelve years
 - h. Rostislav VOLOSHYN four years
 - 1. Mykola MISTOVICE ten years

Several others whom Subject does not remember were sentenced for shorter periods. After the sentence was passed, all those mentioned above were taken to TARMOV near KRAKOW, where they stayed in jail until September 1939. With the outbreak of war in September 1939, all were released from prison; and Subject with his friends went to the town of RADDIMEO, from where Subject intended to go to ZAKOMARJE. In October 1939 Subject and his friends learned in RADDIMEO that the Western Ukraine was occupied by the Russians. Subject decided not to go have because he knew that he would be shot by the Russians as 2 Ukrainian nationalist. Only a few of the prisoners decided to go to the Western Ukraine. Among them was Ivan HITSIK, who was shot by the Seviete in 1950. Subject later learned that almost all nationalists who had decided to return to the Ukraine had been shot.

CHERROWSKY. From CHERROWSKY Subject got work as the town inspector in RADIMSO and made about 150 Pelish sloty. CHERROWSKY helped Subject as well as some of the other prisoners with clothing, a place to live, and so forth. As inspector of the town Subject worked until January 1941. In KRAKOW in 1942 Subject met with the Archbishop FALLADIE, who urged Subject to become a priest. Subject went to WARSAW and enrelled in a seminary but was not required to attend the lectures since he had heard them previously. In January 1944 Subject married Tarceleva ETHUS in KRAKOW, and in August 1944 Archibishop FALLADIE ordained Subject as a priest. Subject met Tarceleva ETHUS when she was an informer in the Abwehr. She knew Major RORAB, and in PRAGUE she met Lt. Colone) FALEBUE, who spent a great deal of time at Subject's home. During Subject's stay in PRAGUE and later in Germany, Subject was a student of the Ukraimian Free University, where he presently is studying to complete his Doctorate.

In order to been secret his present mork, Subject has acted as a chancellor YACOSLAVA RORZAN need BIHUS Subject of Wille of Williams for Ulwell

SECRET .

of the Holy Autocephalic Orthodox Church of Poland in Germany since March 1947 and is being paid 150-200 IM a month for his work. As far as he knows, no one suspects him of having connections with the AIS.

SFORFT

Attachment B to MCM-A-

10458

SECURITY INFORMATION

ject that he had no more use for Subject's work, Subject met an old friend

named Walter ZIEMECER, when he knew from his Absorber days in PRACUE. ZIEMECES

told Subject that day or his owner friends was working for the AIB, and

asked Subject if he wanted to work with him. ZIEMECER said that he had known

this man, Heinrich VON PRAHL, for a long time and was convinced that he was

not a Russian agent. Subject agreed to take the job which he sorely needed

at that time. After a few days Subject met Heinrich VON PRAHL, who told

Subject that his invalintance group consisted of former members of the theory.

Absorbertable who were acquainted with Subject's work while he was in ERADOW,

Absorbertable No. 3, and in PRACUE. YON PRAHL further told Subject that the

group was interested primarily in German matters and was concerned with the

D.P. situation only to the extent that it affected the Germans. During Subject's second meeting with YON PRAHL, he was asked to submit information on

the following six German persons:

ject's second meeting with VON PRAHL, he was asked to submit information on the following six German persons:

a. Joseph/HUKLIER

MICROFILMED

JUN 5 1962

JUN 5 1

VON FRAHL suspected these six of having contact with the HIS. Subject knew

Paul DEXEACH, a Ukrainian whose real name was DMITTERNEO. Subject met Anna

HASER through DEXEACH and began giving VON FRAHL reports on these two. DEXHAUN

also supplied information on HEULLER, HYMENUE, and OSTER. Subject learned

SERIF

about Graf VOLTOLINI through Mrs. Greta HOMANIUE, the Mife of a former co-employee,

July Humsol Multill Horzan (

End a non his on Grafvolton

CS COPY

Yarcsav MOMANIUK, in Aleshrstelle KRANOW: Greta ROMANIUK knew Graf VOLTOLINI very well and had at one time worked for him in 1948. VOLTOLINI at this time was working in the offices of Chrislichen Hachrichten Dienst, whose job it was to gather information about the churches behind the Iron Curtain.

(Case Officers Note: When Subject was questioned about VON PRAHL's intelligence connections, he said in retrospect it is possible that VON PRAHL was working with the Italian Intelligence Service at this time.)

Subject knew that Gref VOLTOLINI was of Italian extraction. When Subject gave VON PRAHL a complete biographical resume on the work of VOLTOLINI, VON PRAHL told him after two weeks that he was satisfied that VOLTOLINI was not a Soviet agent and also told him not to collect any more information on him.

In addition to these listed above. VCN PRAHL was interested in the following:

POB: USSR

a. (Dr.) Mikolai FARRITSIUS, a German born in Bassia who lived in

A CHARK. Polank only suspended by Henrich Von PRAHL

of Lang contact with RIS

7. 20 b. Dr. LEONTI, a German from BUKUVINA.

Both of these were suspected by VON FRAHL of baving contacts with the RIS.

- c. Madame ECHAUFUSS, a Jewish woman from Russia, then an American citisen, who worked at the Tolatoy Foundation in IRO Passing.
- d. (Graf MABRE, a Russian of German family from VOLYNIA, Ukraine.
 A pastor of a Russian church in MUNICH.
- e. WHIGGE, a former German officer who had a micro-film laboratory on 1 Weinstrasse in MUNICH.
- f. Dr. Willie RADEMACHER, a German who worked at one time for the T.I.S. and in 1947 and 1948 tried to get into the Technical Intelligence Brigade.
- g. Director HENCH, A German who founded the DEUTCHE UKRAINICHER

5 34

RET

Occ military officer Cit USS

promised to do this.

2.201

h. heneral Clasgow KOSAK, who served in the German Army and Company of the control of the contro

In addition to the above personalities, VON FRAHL was interested in the following organizations:

- a. INTERNATIONAL SYCHODE, an international anti-communist organisation which was organised by the OUM/M group.
- b. FROMETHEUS, an anti-communist organization founded by the UNR under the direction of General SHANDRUK.

Subject stated that there were probably several other matters which he does not remember. Subject gave VON FRAML reports on all of the above personalities and organisations. VON FRAML visited Subject once or twice every two weeks and collected his reports.

2. VON PRABL always complained that his office had no noney and, therefore, he could not pay Subject more than 100 IM per month. VON PRABL said that the headquarters of his service was in Frankfurt and that the chief was a former German colonel named WERER. WERER's chief was an American, but VON PRABL did not give his name. Subject had no reason to believe that VON PRABL was working for anyone other than the Americans because he often showed Subject American travel orders and carried a pistol.

Association with H. Ven Frah | Court of in Union Cit German Association with H. Ven Frah | Court of in Union Cit German

3. At the end of Hovember 1949

Same to Subject's

home for the first time in approximately seven months and asked Subject to go

to AMBERO and RECENSEERS for the purpose of interrogating Ukrainian couriers

who allegedly had just arrived from the homeland. During this meeting with

, Subject told that he was in contact with VOH PRAHL and

asked to check on VOH PRAHL's connections with the americans so that

Subject could feel free to continue his work with him. Subject had already

SECHET

been working with VON PRAHL for three months.

SEGRET

- 30 April 1949.

 b. Subject was in poor financial condition and needed work to live.
- c. Subject did not break contact with VOH PRAHL in December 1949 because the work which \(\) asked him to do on the newspaper was not considered a steady job by Subject. In addition, Subject was afraid that he might work for a short time with Pendleton and then again find himself out of a job.
- d. Subject treated the new relationship between _____ and himself in December as a private rather than a service connection since Pendleton told him it would be private.
- e. VON FRAML was working on counter-intelligence and German intelligence, whereas was interested in the Ukrainian political picture. Subject felt that the work was not overlapping and that he could safely do both jobs.

SCOAL!

 $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ what he was doing for YOM FRAHL because he was

DOMNE AD AOM NAVANT BA & secretch somewhat survey me user an Street winner me and
working for him.
6. On or about the end of September or the first of October 1950,
came to Subject's home and discovered reports for VON FRAHL on
Subject's deakasked Subject who the work was for, and Subject
finally decided to explain
him about his connection with VON PRAHL scener. Subject gave the same reasons
as listed above. In addition, Subject gave
on VOM PRANL and connections he had with him. After a few days IC 3
came to see Subject with C , whereupon Subject again reiterated
the reasons why he had gone to work with VOM PRAHL and underlined the fact
that \[\] was primarily responsible. In addition, Subject wrote a
paper in German explaining why he was in this embarrassing position and asked
to give the paper to his chief.
7/ A few days after this incident,
had checked on VON PRAHL and had found that he was working for the AIS. []
copies of everything he gave VON PRAHL would have to be given to
About May 1950 Subject met Colonel WEEER (VON FRAHL's chief), (Dr. Willie
ARICHTER, and Walter ZIEMECKE in MUNICH. Colonel WERER told Subject that he
was very well satisfied with Schingt's reports. 8. Heinrich VOH PRAHL 18(2 young 3k year-old German, a former officer
of the German Army, and a member of the Abwehrstelle. His father was a German
•
columnist in the Ukraine, and his mother was Bussian. After the Russian
Revolution of 1917, YOH PRAHL's family moved to Hungary and lived there for

a number of years. YOM HRAHL is a graduate of an Agricultural Curriculum and speaks the following languages fluently:

- a. German
- b. Rusaian
- c. Hungarian
- d. Csechoslovakian

Despite the fact that his father is German, VON FRAHL himself leans heavily toward the Emsaians and is politically in favor of Bussian monarchy. In addition, during his work with Subject, he claimed emphatically that he was a confirmed Mari and criticised democracy very strongly. VON FRAHL also criticised the ATS claiming that the Americans did not know how to run an intelligence organisation. VON FRAHL constantly told Subject that he would welcome an opportunity to work with the British or Franch. VON FRAHL constantly boasted, did not know how to keep a secret, and often told lies.

Subject was extremely cautious with VON FRAHL because of these characteristics.

9. In September 1951 Subject noticed that VON PRAHL had acquired large sums of money. He bought two new suits and photographic equipment which cost 2,500 IM. His wife appeared dressed in furs. VON PRAHL told Subject at this time that he was now connected with work which involved intelligence gathering rather than counter-espionage activity. He asked Subject to give him a contact with the ZCh/OUN or ZP/UVHR in order that he might collaborate with them in the gathering of intelligence from behind the Iron Curtain. VON PRAHL claimed that he was able to supply American agent radios and able to pay from 3,000 to 5,000 IM's per month. VON PRAHL also said that he wanted to publicise the glory of UPA. VON PRAHL showed Subject several reports from Poland and Lithmania on simplenes and air fields. VON PRAHL said that he

received these reports from defectors but that he was sending them to his headquarters as having originated with UPA. Subject became suspicious of YOM PRANK for the following reasons:

- a. Subject knew that YON FRAHL's headquarters were interested in counter-intelligence activity rather than intelligence activity.
- b. Subject had been told that VON PRINC's headquarters had very little money.
- c. Subject knew that VOM PRAHL was lying to his headquarters. Subject decided to talk with WEBER about the situation. Subject, knowing that ZTENECKE in MUNICH could contact WEBER, asked ZIENECKE to tell WEBER that Subject wanted to see him on his next visit to MUNICH. ON 1 Hovember Subject met with WEBER, who had arrived from Frankfurt.
- 10. During a discussion at the Leopold Cafe, Subject asked MEBER for answers to the following questions:
 - a. When did WERER's group stop being interested in counterintelligence work and start collecting positive intelligence?
 - b. Did WEBER give VON PRAHL orders to make contact with UPA in the Ukraine?
 - c. Did WEBER give VON PRABL 3,000 to 5,000 IM's for work in the Ukraine?
 - d. Did WERER get any reports from the UPA in the Ukraine?
 - e. Did WERER know that WOM PRANL recedved from 8,000 to 10,000 DM per month?
- f. Did WEBER know where VON FRAHL's money was coming from?
 WEBER answered all the questions negatively. WEBER said that his outfit was
 still concerned with counter-intelligence; VON FRAHL had no orders to make

contact with UPA; VON FRAHL had not been given a single IM for work with UPA; WEHER knew of no reports from UPA in the Ukraine. He did not knew VON ERAHL had so much money and had no idea where the money was coming from.

11. After Subject received the answers mentioned above, he told WEBER the following:

- a. Subject had no faith in VON PRAHL and would refuse to continue working with him.
- b. Subject suspected that WON PRANL had contact with some intulligence service, and asked WENER to find out which one.
 - c. VOW PRAHL is a faker since he was falsifying reports.
- d. Subject would be able to work with WEBER only after VON HRAHL is dismissed from the net.

WERER promised Subject to take care of all of these problems and said that VON FRAHL had been under suspicion for the past few months. WERER also said that he had asked VON FRAHL about other intelligence connections and VON FRAHL had sworn that he had no other connections. After this discussion with WERER Subject again met ZIEMECKE and HICHTER and began asking them questions about VON FRAHL. They told him that VON FRAHL had connections with the Italian Intelligence Service and that the IIS was giving him about 10,000 IM a month for his work.

12. RICHTER and ZIENECKE told Subject that they suspected VOM PRABL
was passing copies of all reports he was getting for WEBER to the IIS. HIGHTER
and ZIENECKE told WEBER of their suspicions and asked him to check on them.
Subject knew ZIENECKE in PRAGUE in 1945. He is a Sudeten German who finished
chemical engineering school in PRAGUE. He helped the Czechs, Russians and
Ukraimians in PRAGUE. As an example, the Gestapo arrested twenty Ukraimian

" win E

priests in 1934 and sent them to concentration camps. ZIEMECRE had these people released because he considered them innocent. The Gestapo accused him for this action and reported it to Berlin. He also helped Czechoslovak priests, and so forth. He was a friend of (Baron) HAUER from VIEMEA, who was a secretary to the Pope and a violent anti-Maxi. During 1945 ZIEMECKE often discussed the Maxi's with Subject and criticised them strongly. When the Americans arrested ZIEMECKE in 1946, he was held for only three months because he called witnesses to attest to the fact that he had not done anyone harm in PRAGUE. His wife is a Guech national.

netry to

PRACUE. His wife is a Essech national.

Cit Germany Occument of Cit.

13. (Dr.) Willie HICHTER is a Sudsten German who finished his schooling in PRACUE where he studied Slavid languages. He worked in the SD in HERLIN,
He was very sympathetic to the work of the OUN and IPA and personally talked with BANDERA and MELHYK during their stay in the HERLIN jail. HICHTER was also an anti-Maxi. Subject says he is a very honest individual and feels that he is a faithful worker for the Americans. ZIENECKE and HICHTER were both strongly opposed to VON PRAHL because VON PRAHL often told them lies, kept them from contacting WEEER, and did not trust his financial dealings. ZIENECKE, HICHTER, and Subject often discussed VON PRAHL during their friendly meetings.

Both ZIENECKE and HICHTER, according to Subject, were better intelligence men than VON PRAHL, despite the fact that VON PRAHL was their chief.

Ih. At the beginning of December 1951, WEBER came to MUNICH and terminated VON FRAHL's employment. In his place he put Dr. Willie RICHTER. WEBER ordered VON FRAHL to turn over all of his contacts to RICHTER and took a sworn statement from VON FRAHL to the effect that he would no longer have many connection with his former contacts. Subject has had no contact with VON HEAHL since December 1951. Dr. RICHTER told Subject that VON FRAHL is living in

Bad Tols and that he is probably still working for the IIS, because he has a lot of money and had bought himself a car. In May 1951 VON PRAHL told RICHTER that he wanted to immigrate to the United States as a Volds Deutscher; but since his wife was going to have a beby, he would have to delay his trip. Subject continued to work with Dr. RICHTER after VON PRAHL was dismissed and still has contact with him at present. We have received copies of all reports he has submitted.

- told Subject to break contact with RICHTER slowly and diplomatically. Subject told RICHTER in January that he was going to the United States and would have to stop working for him.

 RICHTER asked Subject to find him a Ukraimian contact who would continue to supply fine with reports on the Orthodox Church. In January and February Subject gave RICHTER two reports about the Orthodox Church and one report on the WELT FRIEDERS BENEGUEG. Subject will give us copies of these. In March Subject did not give any reports to RICHTER, but in April and May he submitted the following:
 - a. A report on people who are joining the GREEN INTRENATIONAL GREENE INTERNATIONALE (German).
- b. A report on the Statutes of the above organisation.

 Subject translated the above reports from a paper named the CREEN INTERNATIONAL and gave them to RICHTER in Garman.
 - c. Translation of articles on the church from Ukrainian to German published in the Emsaian papers NARAT, VIRA, NADIA LUBOV, UKRAINSKI VISTI, and UKRAINSKI SAMOSTIMIK.
 - d. Translation of an article about a German General BOHME published in a Polish paper DZIEJE TERAZHEJUZCOCCI...

JANE!

-11-

- e. Translation from Polish into German of an article "Hotor Promiencey" (Polish) or "Stralen Hotor" (German), which RICHTER brought From Austria.
- f. RICHTER saked for a list of UMR members.

 In May Subject gave RICHTER only one report which had been requested by RICHTER. This was information on Victor MECHIOV, a man of Russian nationality who owned a store in MUNICH. On 2 July 1952 RICHTER asked for a short report on the DESTCHER UKRAINISCHER HERDERBURD. Since the beginning of January 1952, RICHTER has been paying Subject 200 DM monthly. Subject did not wish to accept this memory since he has not been supplying reports of any value, but RICHTER told him that he would receive money as long as he runsined in

Attachment C to MCH-4-10458

SECURITY IMPORMATION

1. In May 1947 Miror MATVIEW offered Subject, a job in the SB/OUN.
Subject had known MATVIEW since 1925. MATVIEW's father was a priest in the town of HUMMISKA near ZAKOMARJE, where Subject was born. Subject had often visited MATVIEW's home and vice versa. MATVIEW suggested that Subject become the chief of the counter-espionage branch of SB and introduced him to the following members:

b. Iven KASHUBA

o. Denien KUENUBA

DOC. MICRO. SER

HIS place both

No 20 of de Olexander/HASLAHIK

Richard with Michael Korzan K

Matvieno expaniend that KOWALSKE who had five assistants was chief of the

Member of CE branch of \$6/000 Occ intel agent

executive branch of the SB in charge of liquidation, punishments and other

such activities Damisp ROEDUHA and the job of maintaining limison with the Americans, the English, and other Allies. All other members of the SB were MATVIEKO's assistants. MATVIEKO said that the job of the SB was to find, arrest, and kill Soviet agents. The SB had secret bunkers in KORNEERG, MITTERWALD, and Warner Kaserne, MUNICH, to which they took persons suspected of being Soviet agents. Here they interrogated them and in some instances killed them. MATVIEKO stated that the SB had killed five agents (before Subject came to Germany). One of these had been killed in the Warner Kaserne. Subject was not told the names but was told that this man had been from the Eastern Ukraine and, although he had not confessed to being a Soviet agent, had been liquidated because the SB feared that he would compressed than to the Americans. In addition, the following four persons were killed in KORNEERG:

SCORET

End 3 MGHA-10458

CS COPY

SEGRET

- a. Andrea PECHERA, MIB agent from PRAGUE.
- b. Mikola MUSHAKH, MSB agent from BRATISLAVA.
- c. PORMO (alias), from Carpathe Ukraine, did not admit being an agent.

Ald hay

SB learned from MOROZ. Subject got copies of the interrogation of MOROZ and gave them to Ryland.

3201 4. In July 1948 the SB arrested Volograin MASHIK, formerly an office in the UPA, as a suspected Soviet agent. The SB knew that MASHIK was working for the Americans who wanted to sent him to the Ukraine. The SB decided to arrest him and liquidate him. In order to gain MASHIK's confidence, they asked him to take some documents to the Ukraine. They gave MASHTK the documents they wanted to send and told him that the SB would take him to the Soviet border at KOMMEREG and show him the best place to cross. MASSIE believed them and went to KORNHERG with KOWALSKY. There he was taken to a bunker and interrogated for a week. MASHIK confessed that the Americans wanted to send him to the Ukraine, told them what his orders were and how much money he would receive. He demied having anything to do with the Russians, and Subject believes he was not a Soviet agent. KONALSKY had orders from MATVIEKO to kill MASHIK regardless of what was learned during this interrogation. When the interrogation disclosed that MASHIK was not a Soviet agent, KOWALSKY and his five assistants refused to carry out the death penalty. KOWAISKY returned to MUNICH to inform MATVIEKO and to ask for further directions. Before he went to MATVIEKO, KOWALSKY told Subject the entire story. Subject advised him to refuse to kill MASHIK under any circumstances. KOWALSKY promised to refused However, regardless of the facts, MATVIKEO reissued orders to kill MASHIK. KOWALSKY refused, whereupon MATVIEKO produced a false statement which, according to Subject, MATVIEWO had written himself. According to this statement, MASNIK had been sentenced to death by the UPA in the homeland and had escaped, for which reason the UPA from the homeland had directed the CD to kill him. After showing the false writing to KOWALSKY, MATVIKKO ordered him

4-

to kill MASHIK or to be shot himself for sabotaging the SB. Therefore, KOWALSKY and his five helpers killed MASHIK in KORNEREG. Subject told about this incident.

- named Desird CHIZHENSKY and took him to a bunker in HITTEHNALD. They interrogated him for more than six months. CHIZHENSKY gave a complete history of himself and the people he knew in MOSCOW. Copies of this interrogation were given to _______ CHIZHENSKY was finally killed. Subject stated that in the summer of 1946 the SB arrested Jury HOHLIS-HORSKY, an old Ukrainian immigrant in AUGSHERO, and killed him as a Sqviet agent. In May 1950 the SB killed three other people in MITTERWALD: BULOWSKY STEINASCHER, and Lube FOTELITSKY.

 BULOWSKY and STEINASCHER confessed that they were Soviet agents. STEINASCHUK, who worked for the MEB headquarters in WARSAW, had sumceeded in photocopying the entire archives of the MELHIK group. Before he was able to give the photocopies to the Soviets, they were confiscated by the SB and are now in the files of Ivan KASHURA. Luba FOTELITSKY did not confess to being a Soviet agent but was killed anyway. In addition to the above, Subject knows that a member of the UEDP named KOZAK was killed in KOHMEKEG by the SB.

SEGRET

- 7. The SB required all members of the OUE to report any work they were doing for the Americans. They were ordered to notify the SB when they were approached, to submit all requests for information made by Americans, and were prohibited from giving anything other than the falsified information provided by the SB. Subject notified the Americans of this situation.
- 8. While MATVIEWO worked for the Americans, he sent one man to PRAGUE to get information for his American case officer. This man returned from PRAGUE with very little. MATVIEWO became suspicious and took him to the DP camp in RECENSERG. Petro KOWALSKY and his five assistants murdered him without bothering to interrogate him. MATVIEWO added some old reports to the material this man brought from PRAGUE and sold them to the Americans.
- 9. During the entire time Subject worked with the SB on our orders, he was under a terrible nervous strain because he was certain that he would be next to be liquidated. After the ZCh/OUN MITTERWALD conference in the fall of 1948, a large group of people left the organisation. Subject quit the SB and ZCh/OUN at that time for the following reasons:
 - a. He could not stand the methods of the SB nor the strain of being constantly on a powder barrel.
 - b. His ideological-political concepts were not in agreement with those of the ZCh/OUE.

	ave Subject a free hand in the decision on whether or
not to remain in the	SB. During October 1949 Subject submitted very little
•	and felt that Pendleton was dissathafied with his
work. In April 1949	announced that his headquarters had decided
that there was no mor	e reason to continue working with Subject.
promised to drop in o	n Subject occasionally but stated that it would be a

SEUKL!

-_6

strictly informal arrangement. The last pay which Subject received was dropped his entirely from the pay given him in April 1949. After roll in April 1949, Subject was forced to look for work elsewhere. Come to see Subject and asked him to 10. In November 1949 ride to AMERRO and REGRESHERG with him in order to interrogate some couriers who had arrived from Poland. Subject spent a week on this assignment and was peid seven cartons of digarrettes. In January 1950 _____visited Subject more frequently and asked for reports on what was contained in the Ukrainian emigre press. Subject was paid eight to twelve cartons of digarettes per month. 11. Subject has not had close contact with the SB since antum of 1948. However, he still meets Iven KASHURA and gathers information which he has always transmitted to us. In Jammary 1951 contact with the SB was resumed when Ivan KASHUBA and Miron MATVIEKO came to Subject's home and asked him to act as a go-between in discussions between the ZCh/OUN and the ZP/UHVR. The report on this discussion with KASHURA and MATVIEKO has been transmitted . According to Subject, MATVIERO turned to Subject to act as lisison man because he though that Subject was running an intelligence service for the ZP/UHVR. MATVIEWO also asked Subject to be an advisor to KASHUBA since MATVIEKO had to leave town. Subject agreed to this in order to be able to pass the information on to Shands. 12. KASHUBA has been asking Subject's advice on frequent occasions. First KASHUBA gave him reports from members of the OUM in Poland on the methods used by Polish Intelligence to recruit members of the OUN into their service. Subject organized and evaluated those reports, giving copies to

Other requests for advice have included the following subjects:

a. The problem of Petro YARLON, who has been writing articles in the United States against the ZCh/OUN, the SB, and the ARN. Subject advised KASHURA that, although YARLON could be a Soviet agent, he did not think so and that the ZCh/OUN should ignore the articles YARLON was writing.

Re contact with Or. Deak (2)

man heter

b. The problem of Dr. SCHERK, who works in the ARM and is a former Gestape man from IMON. In December 1951 SCHERK met (Dr. MOAK from MURNBERG, who was known as a Soviet sympathiser. KASHUBA brought Subject copies of all of SCHERK's articles. After reading these articles, Subject wrote KASHUBA that he could not say that SCHERK was a Soviet agant nor could he say that SCHERK was using the UKAINSKII SAMOSTINIK as a means for distributing Soviet propaganda disguised in the articles he wrote.

Churches in Poland

(Member of counter-espance branch of St.

13. Since KASHUBA is not a very keen intelligence men, Subject has been able to elicit a great deal of information under the pretense that such information is necessary if he is to formulate an intelligent opinion on the questions raised.

KASHUBA has been quite free in giving Subject this information, all of which has been passed on to us in periodic reports.

li. During discussions with KASHUBA, Subject has complained that he has little time to assist KASHUBA because he works for the World Council of Churches. In order to convince KASHUBA, Subject has often shown him letters from the WCC in which he is notified of money (200 DH monthly) being sent to him. KASHUBA interpreted this complaint as meaning that Subject needed more somey and offered to pay him from 100 to 150 DH ms month for the advice he gives. Subject believes that KASHUBA gives him this money from his own

-8-

pocket because he finds Subject's advice extremely helpful. Actually,
KASHUBA follows Subject's advice so closely that, in effect, Subject controls a good part of the SB work. KASHUBA has told Subject to be extremely
careful about keeping their relationship secret since nome of his friends
have any idea that he has connections with Subject. In order to throw off
any suspection that might arise about his relationship with Subject, KASHUBA
broadcasts that Subject is a member of the ZP/UHVE which is directly opposed
to the ZCh/SUM. MATVIEED, who originally asked Subject to help KASHUBA, is
the only member of the ZCh/OUN who knows of their collaboration. KASHUBA
promised Subject to bring him reports which the SB has on Ukraimians in
VIENNA who are working as double agents for the Americans and the Russians.

16

MCMA-10458, dtd 14 July 1952

ATTACHMENT "D", Subject: CAPELIE's Connections with Boris LEVITERY is filed in the AEGANDIOT File.

RI/Archives

MICROFILMED
JUN 5 1962
DOG, MICRO, SER.

 \bigcirc

8

JAH

SECRET