WAR DEPARTMENT-STRATEGIC SERVICES UNIT

DATE 14 AUGUST	16		
FROM LONDON	;94 .66 15	8_14ComROL	ROUTINE
TO			DEFERRED
WAR DEPARTMEN	IT—STRATEGIC	SERVICES UNIT	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19ISTRI	BUTION	ін форва
FOR ACTIO	" LINE	FOR INFOR	MATION
HE 10A CASTALET		DIR (1), OIC (2), R	EG (3), FBM (4),
HE TON CHECKER (A)	TODU	FBK (5), FBP (6)	
9184	PHRASE NOT REQU	IRED. HANDLE AS 454 S PARAS 51(1) 60A AR-38	SECRET CONTROL
#LOND # FOR: H	EIDA FOR O'NEAL NED: SCOTT.	FRANA. INFO: WASHF,	SALZA, VIENA,
1. AS RESUL	T OF RECENT SPE	CIAL INTERROGATION OF OBERURSEL ATTEMPT GE	RICHARD KAUDER

FOLLOWING 5 QUESTIONS FROM KLATTE

A. WHAT SECRETS WAS KLATT WARNED NOT TO DIVULGE BY LANG AND OR TURKELT WHAT BRIBES OR THREATS WERE MADE AND BY WHAT ORGANIZATION WOULD THREATS BE CARRIED OUT? THOUGHT LIKELY THAT KLATT BRIEFED BY LANG.

- B, MIRE THE 3 LESSAGES SEEN AND REPORTED BY THE GERMAN MILITARY ATTACHE IN RULE ON SAME LAY AS THEY WERE SENT INTO VIENNA BY KLATT MAX HEPORTS OR WERE THEY MORITZ REPORTS?
- C. WHY WAS TURKUL SU RELUCTANT TO STOP OVER IN VIEWNA FROM ROMES WAS HE AFRAID OF THE GENLANS INSTEAD OF THE ALLIES? IF SO, WHY DID MUSSOLINI'S FALL THREATEN TO GET TURKUL IN TROUBLE WITH THE GERMANS?
- D. DID NOT KLATT HIMSELF DECODE THE ORIGINAL MAX AND MORITZ SIGNALS WHEN LANG WAS AWAY, FOR EXAMPLE WHEN LANG VISITED RUMET KLATT DENIED THIS BUT IT IS THOUGHT HE WAS LYING AND HE SHOULD BE PRESSED ON THIS PUINT.
 - E. WHAT MONTH AND WEEK WAS LANG IN ROME IN 1942.
 - 2. WOULD APPRECIATE URGENT REPLIES TO QUESTIONS IF POSSIBLE.

TOR: 1540 14 AUGUSTOMEDDEN TO COPY OF REPRODUCE THE CARE. WITHOUT A THE SECRETARIAT COPY #

> DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY **BOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828** NAZI WAR CRIMES DI SCLOSURE ACT DATE 2006