SPORET

D125745

# Intelligence Report

. 2.6.4

No. 6565

DISUNITY AMONG THE ARAB STATES:
THE HASHLIGITE CONTROVERSY AND ARAB PALESTIME



Office of Intelligence Research

Prepared by Division of Research for Near East, South Asia and Africa November 24, 1954

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DI SCLOSURE ACT DATE 2008

FOR COORDINATION WITH State

# This report is based on information available through October 31, 1954.

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
Abstr	act	111
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Dissension Within the Arab League	4
	A. Conflicting Proposals for Arab Unity	4
	B. Hashimites and anti-Hashimites Contest Right to Speak for Palestine	6
m.	Arab Disunity During Palestine War	7
	A. Jordan, Casa Government, and Arab League Disagree	7
	B. Anti-Hashimites Oppose Jordanian Annexation of Arab Palestine	9
IA.	The Assassination of King Abdallah of Jordans A Counter Move Against Hashimite Expansionism	9
٧.	The Mufti of Jerusalem and the anti-Hashimite	n
	A. The Mufti Establishes Working Relationship with anti-Hashimite States	11
	B. Terrorism Against Israel	12
	C. Opposition to Resettlement of Palestine Refugees	14
	D. The Mufti's Activities in Syria and Lebenon	15
	E. The Mufti's Activities in Iraq and Jordan	15
VI.	The Mufti Attempts to Broaden His Support	17
	A. The Islamic Congress for the Palestine Cause	17
	B. Financial Support	19

•

		Page
VII.	Saudi-Jordan Rapprochement: A Reaction to Iraqi Campaign for Union	21.
VIII.	The Outlook	22

SECRET

#### Abstract

Deeply-seated rivalries rooted in the habits of a folk society divided by family and clan continue to influence relations between contemporary Arab states. While these conflicts persist, it is unlikely that any effective organisation of the area — either military or political can be effected by the Arabs themselves, the US, the UK, or any neighboring power.

The most disruptive of these feuds is that between the Hashimite dynasty, now ruling Jordan and Iraq, and the royal house of Saudi Arabia. The latter drove the Hashimite dynasty from its control of the Holy Places of the Arabian peninsula in 1925 and has since opposed the Hashimites' aspirations to reestablish their influence in Syria and expand into Palestine. Since the 1920's, this issue has involved all the Arab states. States not directly affected by family and dynastic considerations have often manipulated rival factions to forward their own national ambitions to contain or weaken the power of neighboring states. The UK has actively supported the Hashimite cause, France the anti-Hashimite forces. The Arab League, instead of ameliorating tension between the two factions, itself has been split into two blocs, thus hindering effective and constructive League action.

This split, which made effective united action impossible even against their avowed common enemy, Israel, is now also one important factor preventing a solution of the Palestine problem. Almost as important in Arab eyes as the Israeli issue is the question of which faction or state is to control Arab Palestine. Partly in their anxiety to forestall de jure recognition of the 1948 armistice lines as a basis for a permanent solution, the anti-Hashimite governments have opposed the resettlement of Arab refugees, and through the former mufti of Jerusalem, Hajj Amin al-Husayni, have covertly encouraged and financed elements fomenting dissatisfaction, disorder and even assassination in Jordan and have set up training facilities for Palestine Arab terrorist units operating along the Israeli corder. Hashimite and anti-Hashimite intrigues have also played a significant role in the succession of coups in Syria since 1949.

In the past year, Arab alignments have been changing, but objectives have remained the same. As Jurdan's internal difficulties have increased, the newly-growned King of Saudi Arabia has attempted with some success to turn Jordan's King Husayn against Iraq by encouraging the young king's fear of losing his throne to Iraqi expansionism. Iraq, meanwhile, has decided to concentrate on Syria rather than Jordan, probably in the belief that once Syria has been won over to union with Iraq, the inclusion of Jordan would be only a matter of time.

As long as Arab loyalty centers on persons rather than institutions and national cohesion remains weak, such conflict and disunity is likely to persist in the Arab world.

111

SE CRET

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Symptomatic of the transitional stage in which the Arab world at present finds itself is the continuing influence of old factional feuds in relations between contemporary arab states. While Arab governments pay lip-service to the Western concept of regional organisation, traditional schisms based on family and dynastic rivalries have made it impossible for these governments to achieve a constructively united front even against their growed common enemy, Israel. Despite the progressive Westernisation of the political leadership of the Arab world, it remains attached largely to the values and action patterns of a folk society divided by family and clan. Rivalries and jealousies of the pre-nationalist era still motivate much of their political conduct. Where modernised groups have come to the fore, the same rivalries are often manipulated for the purpose of forwarding nationalist ambitions to contain or weaken the power of neighboring states.

The most disruptive of these traditional feuds is that between the House of Hashim, which claims relationship to the Prophet Muhaumad, and its opponents, led by the royal house of Saudi Arabis. The latter drove the Hashimite dynasty from its control of the Holy Places of the Hijas in 1925, and has since opposed the reestablishment of Hashimite influence in Syria and its expansion into Palestine. The irredentist cause of the Hashimites was taken up by:defeated:King Husayn's second son, Abdallah, who subsequently became King of Jordan, and by King Husayn's third son, Faysal I, who founded the royal house of Iraq. Since the 1920's, the Hashimite problem has involved all the Arab states, ranging Iraq and Jordan against Saudi Arabia, Syria, Egypt Lebanon, and the most important faction of the Palestine Arabs. controversy has also drawn European powers into the struggle between the two Arab blocs. The UK, for example, has actively supported the Hashimite cause since the Arab revolt of 1916 - 1918, while France has aided the anti-Hashimite forces, sharing with them an interest in curtailing British influence in the area. The Arab League, instead of ameliorating tension between the two factions, itself has become split into two blocs, thus hindering effective and constructive League action.

The one issue on which the Hashimites and their enemies have always been united is their common opposition to the formation of a Jewish state in Palestine. Yet hostility and distrust between the two factions precluded their effective cooperation in the face of Zionist-Israeli "aggression" and in considerable measure was responsible for the Arab failure in the Palestine war. The problem of Palestine, as seen by the Arabs, has not only been one of preventing the establishment of a Jewish state; almost equally important has been the question of which faction was to control the Arab share of

SECRET

Palestine. In the course of the Palestine war, the Hashimites failed on only one front, but the anti-Hashimites were vanquished on two: in addition to military defeat by Israel, they suffered the chagrin of seeing most of Arab Palestine annexed by Hashimite Jordan.

Thus, the intransigence of the Arab states since 1949 against a permanent peace treaty with Israel has stemmed, in part, from the unwillingness of the anti-Hashimite Bloc to endorse expansion of Hashimite power. The anti-Hashimites have resisted the consolidation of Jordan's authority over that remnant of Palestine which the Jordanian Arab Legion occupied at the time of the Armistice (now known as West Jordan). In their anxiety to forestall de jure recognition of the 1948 armistic lines as a basis for a permanent solution, the anti-Hashimite governments have opposed the resettlement of Arab refugees forced to flee from Israel, have covertly encouraged and financed elements fomenting disorder and dissatisfaction within West Jordan, and have provided training facilities for Palestinian Arab terrorist units operating along the Israell border. They still hope that Israel can be needled into accepting a return to the UN partition proposal of 1947, which would establish an independent Arab Palestine composed of West Jordan and a portion of what is now Israel.

To a significant extent, the Arab regional discord since 1946 is typified by the history of the well-known Palestine Arab leader Hajj Amin al-Husayni — former Mufti of Jerusalem, Glief Palestinian opponent of the late King Abdallah of Hashimite Jordan, and self-appointed spokesman of the Palestinian refugees. In a counter-wove against abdallah's designs on Palestine, the Egyptian-led anti-hashimite majority in the Arab weague in 1946 pushed through a measure officially recognising Hajj Amin's claim to speak for the Palestine Arabs before the League and set him up as the ex-officio head of an Arab Higher Executive for Palestine. It has been partly through Hajj Amin, his Arab Higher Executive, and groups organised under his auspices that the anti-Hashimite countries — sometimes collectively but also by separate tactical agreements — in the past covertly attempted to undermine Jordanian rule in West Jordan. They also tried to keep both Israel and Jordan off balance by creating sufficient tension along the Israeli borders to keep alive the idea that present boundary lines were temporary.

Thus, the Hashimite controversy emerges as an important contributing factor to area instability. It (1) hinders the establishment of peaceful conditions on the Arab-Israeli frontiers; (2) helps to block Arab agreement or any resettlement plan for the refugees; (3) is to a considerable degree responsible for the intrigue and turmoil which have pervaded internal Jordanian affairs since 1950; and (h) has played a significant role in the succession of coups in Syria since 1949.

- 3 -

In the course of the following chronological analysis of developments in intra-Arab relations since 1966, however, two significant new threads emerge which could lead to a reshuffling of Arab alignments — though not necessarily with any resultant improvement in Arab unity. These trends include: (1) the readjustment, since 1951, of the political relationships among the Arab states; and (2) a break with tradition in the tactics now being employed by both Hashimite Iraq and the anti-Hashimite leaders for control of a Jordan which has become still weaker particularly since the death of King Abdallah in 1951.

The anti-Hashimite front has been weakened by the exit of King Faruq from Egypt, of 'Abd al-Rahman 'Assam as Secretary-General of the Arab League, and by the collapse of the Shishakli regime in Syria. Under its present military regime, Egypt is torn between its desire to organize the conflicting parties into a strengthened Arab and Moslem bloc on the one hand, and on the other, to prevent Iraq from becoming too strong a rival. The future of the former Riyad-Cairo-Damascus axis remains uncertain in view of the failure of either the pro-Iraqi or Saudi and Egyptian supported elements to make a strong showing in the September 195% Syrian elections. On the other hand, the Hashimite front has also been weakened — by the death of King Abdallah of Jordan and the disruptive effect of Jordan's annexation of West Jordan, where there is considerable Betent support for Hajj Amin and much antipathy against the conservative Jordanian regime as well as Iraq.

Both Hashimite Iraq and its anti-Hashimite opponents regard Jordan as an unviable and transient political entity. Both groups are therefore maneuvering for control when its expected demise takes place. New tactics, however, are being employed for the sake of old objectives. Newly-crowned King Saud of Saudi Arabia has reversed his father's hard policy toward the Jordanian dynasty. In response to overtures from anxious King Husayn, the Saudi monarch has recently been attempting to turn King Husayn against Iraq by encouraging the young king's fear of losing his throne to Iraqi expansion. Iraq, meanwhile, has decided to concentrate on Syria rather than Jordan, probably in the belief that once Syria has been won over to union with Iraq, the inclusion of Jordan, would be only a matter of time.

#### II. DISSENSION WITHIN THE ARAB LEAGUE

#### A. Conflicting Proposals for Arab Unity

When the Arab unity movement began picking up momentum in 1943, friction between the Hashimites and their opponents immediately intensified. Iraq, seeking to restore the former Syrian kingdom of Faysal I, in 1931 had been the first to make concrete proposals as to the form Arab union should take. The Iraqi plan, expanded in Prime Minister Nuri al-Sa'id's Blue Book written in 1942, called for the union of Syria, Lebanon, Transjordan, and Palestine, in which the Palestine Jews and the Lebanese were to be given autonomous status if they so desired. In essence, this plan was one for the formation of a "Greater Syria" through union with Iraq of those portions of the Arab world which were most alike in background, development, and outlook.

The Alexandria Protocol — drawn up between September 25 and October 8, 1944 at a meeting of Arab representatives from Egypt, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Transjordan, Saudi Arabia, the Yeman, and Palestine — rejected the Iraqi proposal. This rejection reflected (1) the opposition of Egypt, which also aspired to leadership of a united Arab East; (2) the hostility of the Syrian ruling group at the prospect of being displaced by the Hashimites; (3) King Ibn Saud's fears of ultimate Hashimite designs against the Hijas once Iraq and Transjordan had strengthened themselves by acquiring control of Syria and Palestine; and (4) suspicion that British influence, through Iraq, would thus increase in the Arab world. The Protocol, upon which the Arab League Pact disam up on darch 22, 1945 was based, provided instead for a loose association of independent, sovereign Arab states rather than for a political union.

In the meantime, the Amir Abdallah of Transjordan, under the stimulus of Iraq's Arab unity plan, had intensified his own campaign for a Greater Syria oriented toward Jordan. This project of Abdallah's — like that of Iraq — was motivated by a long-standing resolution to restore the Hashimite rule over Syria which had collapsed when the French drove Abdallah's brother Faysal from Damascus in July 1920. Abdallah also envisaged the incorporation of Palestine when final disposition of that territory would be made. Abdallah objected to the Alexandria Protocol because he considered it a threat to his Greater Syria project. Though Transjordan, probably under the influence of its Prime Minister, Samir al-Rifa'i, and the pressure of the British eventually joined the League, Abdallah's position in the organisation never was a comfortable one.

The Hashimite program for Arab unity was supported and encouraged by the UK, since it would have resulted in a union of Arab states under the leadership elements most disposed to cooperate with the UK. Following the signing of the Alexandria Protocol, however, it became clear to the UK that the projected Arab League was developing along lines unfavorable to British interests. President Shukri al-Quawatli of Syria, antagonised by British support of Hashimite expensionism, had joined forces with Ibn Saud and the three principal Egyptians (Prime Minister Nahhas Pasha, League Secretary-General 'Abd al-Rahman 'Assam, and King Faruq) to wrest control of the projected League from the British-backed Hashimites.

The intensity of President Quaratli's hostility to Greater Syria is reflected in statements he is reported to have made at the beginning of April 1966 to the effect that (1) Arab unity and the integrity of the Arab Leagus could not be assured unless an end was put to the Hashimite regimes in Iraq and Transjorden, and (2) Abdallah had violated his commitments to the Arab League by signing the UK treaty of March 22, 1966, which permitted the stationing of British troops on Arab soil, without consulting the League.

In an attempt to settle the problem, Prime Minister Churchill and Foreign Minister Eden in February 1945 held a meeting in Egypt with King Faruq, King Ibn Saud, and Fresident Quewatli. The subject of discussion reportedly was a Greater Syria plan whereby young Faysal II of Iraq would be King, and Quewatli Prime Minister, of a Greater Syria consisting of Iraq, Syria, Transjordan, and the Arab portion of a partitioned Palestine; Lebanon and the Jewish portion of Palestine would remain outside.

This endeavor failed, and the Arab League Pact, adopted on March 22, 1945, provided instead for the loose association of Arab states envisaged in the Alexandria Protocol. The Pact rejected the proposed British principle of a partitioned Palestine and stated in an annex to Article XX that Palestine was de jure an independent state, though for reasons beyong its control it was unable to exercise effectively its independence and sovereignty. It further provided that the Arab League Council was to be charged with selecting an Arab representative to represent Palestine in the deliberations of the

None of the Arab states of the Palestine Arabs ever recognised the validity of any of the League of Nations mandates over Arab territory and hence rejected the right of the UK or any international body to partition Palestine.

Council. France threw its weight behind the anti-Hashimites on the grounds that the Greater Syria scheme would result in British usurpation of the French sphere of influence in the Levant.

#### B. Hashimites and anti-Hashimites Contest Right to Speak for Palestine

The question as to who should represent Palestine before the Arab League sharply exacerbated the contest between the pro- and anti-Hashimite forces. In response to a suggestion by Syria, the anti-Hashimite bloc concluded that it would be useful to bring back from Europe Abdallah's arch-enemy, the Mufti of Jerusalem, Hajj Amin al-Husayni, and set him up as spokesman for the Palestine Arabs. The Mufti, who had actively supported the Axis powers during World War II, was then in France, where he had taken refuge following the capitulation of Germany in Nay 1965. Possibly on President Quawatli's orders, Ma'ruf al-Dawalibi, then legal counsellor to the Syrian Legation in Paris, issued a Syrian passport to Hajj Amin under a false name. Hajj Amin, probably with French knowledge, arrived in Egypt at the end of May 1966, where the Egyptian Foreign Ministry stated he would be allowed to remain as a "political refugee."

At the Arab League session held in Bludan, Syria, June 8-12, 19h6, a four-member Arab Higher Executive (AHE) was designated to represent Palestine Arab interests before the Arab League and the UK. In its composition the AHE represented a victory for the anti-Hashimite forces; in fact, this Executive of four members (Jamal al-Husayni, Dr. Husayn al-Khalidi, Ahmad Hilmi Pasha, and Emile al-Churi), with the vacant chairmanship reserved for Hajj Amin, was merely the old anti-Abdallah Palestine Arab Higher Committee under a new name. In this action, however, the anti-Hashimite bloc somewhat overreached itself and caused loud complaints that the Executive did not represent the totality of Palestine Arab opinion. Accordingly, in October 1948, four new members were added, including Ahmad al-Shuqayri who at present is an Assistant Secretary General of the Arab League.

During the period from the end of 1916 through early 1918, as the final decision on the future of Pelestine was drawing near, the struggle between the pro- and anti-Hashimites prevented the formation of a Palestine Arab government to take over when the critical time came. The Arab League meetings of sovember 26-27, 1916 were marked by a stormy debate between the Foreign Ministers of Syria and Jordan (the latter supported by Iraq) over the Greater Syria project. In the meantime, however, the Arab League Council at this session charged decretary-General 'Assam with requesting the UK to restore Hajj Amin's civil rights and permit him to reenter Palestine. 'Assam's request, however, met with a flat British refusal. At the Arab League Political Committee meeting at Sofar (Lebanon) in September 1917, King Abdallah was bitterly attacked by President Quwwatli for his disruptive Greater Syria propaganda and finally agreed, in view of the need for Arab unity

at the approach of the UN General Assembly decision on Palestine in November 1947, to postpone further discussion of the Greater Syria controversy for the time being. At this time Soviet propaganda against Greater Syria was particularly strong and stressed that it was "foreign circles" which were behind Abdallah.

With time growing wery short, Syrian Prime minister Jamil Mardam during the Arab League Council meeting of February 1948 proposed the formation of a government to represent all of Palestine. This proposal, however, had to be abandoned owing to the opposition of Iraq and Transjordan, who insisted that such a government could be formed only after a plebiscite among the people of Palestine. A move to appoint Hajj Amin as Palestinian representative on the Arab League Council also foundered due to the violent objections of Iraq and Transjordan. After. a certain amount of maneuvering, during which Hashimite representation was eliminated, the League evolved a seven-man Special Commission for Palestine composed of League Secretary-General 'Assam of Egypt, Hajj Amin, Tagi al-Din al-Sulh (Lebenon), Ahmad al-Sharabeti and Jamil Mardam (Syria), Yusuf Kasin (Saudi Arabia) and Sulayman Pasha al-Shawi (Palestine). This did not satisfy Hajj Amin, however. Frustrated in his campaign to have the Arab Higher Executive recognised as the Palestine Arab government-in-exile, by the end of march 1948 he transferred the AHE headquarters to Demascus, the seat of his strongest support.

# III. ARAB DISUNITY DURING PALESTINE WAR-

# A. Jordan, Casa Government, and Arab League Disagree

By March 1948 there were three principal opposing Arab groups contesting the direction of Palestine affairs: the Arab League, the Arab Higher Executive, and the partisans of Abdallah. Throughout the Arab-Israeli fighting, both before and after May 15, 1948, each group attempted to undermine the other and carried on a compaign of propaganda and non-cooperation to that end. It was this disunity which was a major factor in the failure of the Arab campaign against Israel.

The absence of a unified Palestine Arab body necessitated the abandonment of the earlier decision at the Inshas (Egypt) Conference of May 28-29, 1916, to allow the Palestine Arabs to carry on the struggle against the Zionist community in Palestine with only clandestine aid from the Arab states. After the Arab armies intervened on Nay 15, 1918, the effective performance of Jordan's British officered Arab Legion alarmed the anti-Hashimite bloc, as the prospect arose that Abdallah would annex that part of Palestine occupied by the Legion. To counter this developing situation, Hajj Amin, with Egyptian support, sent emissaries to Palestine to prepare public opinion for his arrival in Gasa and the establishment of a government there. This attempt failed, however, owing to threats of physical violence made against Hajj Amin's

representatives by anti-Husayni refugees from Jaffa backed up by the local population of Gaza. Following this fresh failure, the Arab Leagus on July 9, 1948, established an interim Administrative Council for Palestine under the chairmanship of Ahmad Hilmi Pasha, former treasurer of Hajj Amin's Arab Higher Committee; it was designated as purely a housekeeping agency and was not to deal with political questions, which were reserved for the League itself.

With the deterioration of the Arab position in Palestine in August 1918, Syria indicated its desire to quit the war and turn over its sphere of interest to Hajj Amin in order to keep it from falling into the hands of Abdallah. In September 1948, Syria, supported by Lebanon, raised the question of a Palestine administration and army. This proposal was opposed by Iraq and Transjordan; Egypt was neutral in the matter and Saudi Arabia and the Yemen did not participate in the discussion. Out of patience with continued Hashimite obstructionism, Syria, supported by League Secretary-General 'Assam and with Egyptian acquiescence, apparently persuaded the League on September 14, 1948, to form an All-Palestine Government, the existence of which was made public six days later. This, the so-called "Casa Government," was made up entirely of supporters of Hajj Amin drawn from the League's Administrative Council for Palestion, which had never actually functioned. Although this government had been formed. without the acquiescence of Iraq and Transjordan, Egypt had insisted that Hajj Amin be excluded from it in the hope of winning Hashimite support. Janal al-Hussayni, Foreign Minister of this new government, was sent to Baghdad and Amman prior to September 25, 1948, to secure Iraqi and Jordanian recognition of the regime. He succeeded in Baghdad but failed in Amman, since King Abdallah remained suspicious of the connection between the All-Palestine Government and Hajj Amin.

When the League-organised National Assembly of the All-Palestine Government met in Gaza on September 30, 1918, Hajj Amin put in an unauthorised appearance at the proceedings and was elected president of that body. This was highly embarrassing both to Arab League Secretary 'Assam and to King Faruq, who recalled Hajj Amin to Egypt on October 8, 1918, probably as the price of Egyptian recognition of the APG. By October 12, all the Arab governments except Transjordan had recognised the Gasa Sovernment.

If the Arab League and the Arab governments (except Jordan) considered that by removing Hajj Amin from the Gasa Government they could unify the Arab war effort, they were mistaken. Abdallah, eager

Their emmity toward Hajj Amin had been intensified by the Husayniinspired assassimation of 'Umar Bitar, an ex-mayor of Jaffa.

to advance his Greater Syria project, not only refused to recognise the Gasa Government but quickly exploited Hajj Amin's unauthorised connection with it to organise anti-Husayni elements in Jordanian-controlled Palestine into a pro-union-with-Jordan bloc. leaders among the anti-Husayni Palestine Arabe met at a conference in Amman on October 1, 1948 and declared the Gasa Government to be an illegal body. The movement set in motion at this conference culminated in parliamentary sanction of the incorporation of Jordan-controlled Arab Palestine into Jordan on April 24, 1950. At the same time, Abdallah was not awarse to making peace with Israel with which he had been conducting secret negotiations since 1949.

# B. Anti-Hashimites Oppose Jordanian Ammeration of Arab Palestine

The reaction of the anti-Hashimite members of the Arab League to Abdallah's annexation of Arab Palestine was extremely violent. On May 15, 1950 the Arab League Political Committee agreed that Jordan's annexation of Arab Palestine was a violation of the League's resolution of April 12, 1950, prohibiting any annexation of Palestine territory. Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and Lebanon voted to expel Jordan from the League, while Iraq and the Yemen abstained. In the end, Iraqi support of Jordan prevented the latter's expulsion from the League, and Jordan on May 31, 1950, paid lip service to the April 12 resolution by stating that the annexation did not in any way impair a final settlement of the Palestine issue, which continued to be an aim of Jordanian policy. Nevertheless, the incident left a deep and abiding resentment against Abdallah in Syria, Saudi Arabia, and Egypt.

# IV. THE ASSASSINATION OF KING ABDALLAH OF JORDAN: A COUNTER HOVE AVAINST HASHINITE EXPANSIONISM

The growing effectiveness of Hashimite propaganda in Syria, taken together with Abdallah's annexation of Arab Palestine in April 1950, convinced King Ibn Saud that Abdallah would have to be liquidated. Taking advantage of the financial need of a small independent terrorist organisation known as the Arab Redemption Battalions, Ibn Saud through the Saudi Legation in Damascus supplied funds and arms to this organisation for the assassination of King Abdallah in Amman.

 This move seems to have had at least tacit UK support. The British later included this annexed territory in the area covered by their treaty of alliance with Bordan.

<sup>1.</sup> This government was never recognized by any non-Arab governments. After Egypt recalled Hajj Amin from Gaza, the Jordanian Government somewhat softened its opposition. On November 1, 1948, it acknowledged that the Gaza Government had a right to vote in the Arab League Council since it had been recognized by a majority of Arab States.

- 10 -

This project was handled by Dr. Amin Ruwayhah, who had been involved with Hajj Amin in the Palestine revolt of 1936-1939 and the Iraq revolt of 1911 and who subsequently became an advisor to the Saudi Ministry of Defense. When the would-be assassins lost courage at the last moment, they were encouraged by Ruwayhah to assassinate instead Muri al-Sa'id and other prominent government leaders in Haghdad, but this plan, too, misfired. Ruwayhah and Dr. Nash'at Shaykh al-Ard (a relative of ibn Saud's personal physician) in November 1950 were indicted by a Syrian military court. However, because of ibn Saud's threat to withhold a \$2,000,000 loan payment and break off diplomatic relations if Saudi Arabia's complicity were further exposed, Colonel Shishakli (then the power behind the Populist government) had the indictment against Shaykh al-Ard quashed and Ruwayhah was never brought to trial.

A successful assassination of King Abdallah in Jerusalem on July 20, 1951 was carried out by a Palestinian terrorist group controlled by Hajj Amin himself. Although there is no concrete evidence that ibn Saud was involved in this project, it is said that Shishakli was aware of it and that arrangements had been made for the assassins to escape through Syria. In view of past Saudi threats to Shishakli, which included an attempt on his life, it is possible that Saudi pressure could have been responsible for his cooperation in this venture. In any case, there appears to have been a joint plan for exploiting the anticipated confusion following Abdallah's death. It seems to have envisaged holding a plebiscite in Jordan to determine the future of the country. In order to insire the desired outcome, a strong propaganda compaign was Launched in favor of the annexation of Jordan by Syria.

Meanwhile Iraq, starting with Abdallah's funeral, began an intense campaign to induce the Jordanian public to request the incorporation of Jordan into its sister Hashimite country and prevent it from falling into anti-Hashimite hands, Both the Iraqi and the anti-Hashimite compaigne continued through the August 1951 Jordanian elections and during the brief and uncertain reign of Abdallah's mentally ailing son, King Talal. But with the ascension of young King Husayn to the Jordanian throne on May 2, 1953, it became clear to both sides that the regime had been strengthened and that neigher was going to gain control of Jordan for the time being. The Iraqi failure was a bitter disappointment to Regent 'Abd al-Mah, who had hoped to obtain from the union with Jordan a high post to replace the one he would lose with the abolition of the regency at the approaching accession of young King Faysal II to the throne of Iraq. In the anti-Hashimite camp, it was Hajj Amin who felt the turn of events most keenly, since he had again failed to establish himself in an official position as recognized head of the Palestine Arabs.

# V. THE MUFTI OF JERUSALEA AND THE ANTI-HASHIMITE BLOC

# A. The Mufti Establishes Working Relationship with anti-Hashimite States

Following the signing of the Arab-Israeli armistice agreements between February and July 1919, the anti-Hashimite states and Haji Amin's Arab Higher Executive pursued a policy of organising and maintaining control of the scattered Palestine Arab refugees, particularly in Lebanon, Jordan, and Syria. Their objectives were (1) to preserve and strengthen anti-Hashimite feeling among the refugees, (2) to stir up disorders within Jordan, (3) to discourage resettlement in order to preserve a nucleus for an anti-Hashimite, independent, Arab Palestine, and (4) to organise elements to embroil Jordan with Israel with the purpose of either reopening the war or forcing Israel to plead for a return to the 1947 UM partition recommendation.

During the period from 1919 to February 1951 the most active supporters of the AHEs program were Saudi Arabia and Syria. Saudi Arabia's support of the AHE was merely another facet of its general policy of giving aid and comfort to any enemy of the Hashimites; ibn Saud had previously given refuge to Mashid 'Ali al-Gaylani after his return from Germany whether he had fled following his abortive coup in Baghdad in May 1911. In the same tradition, following the collapse of Gaza All-Palestine Government in 1919 ibn Saud took in a number of Hajj Amin's important supporters. Among those was Hajj Amin's cousin Jamal al-Husayni, who was made a Royal Councillor and who subsequently became one of the present King Saud's three top advisors.

Syria's support was less consistent - particularly during the Hinnawi; regime of August-December 1919. It was particularly strong during the period of November 1951 - February 1954. Fundamentally it was the fear on the part of certain civilian and military ruling cliques that union with Irsq on Jordan would mean the end of their power. This attitude was bolstered up by Sandi pressure, both financial and political.

Egypt's support of the AHE during this period was motivated by a prestige contest with Iraq, though, as in the case of Saudi Arabia, Egypt also objected to the Hashimites as agents of British policy. After the military coup of July 23, 1952, in Egypt, Hajj Amin was in the good graces of the Revolutionary Command Council, and was particularly befriended by General Muhammad Nagib and Col. Rashad Muhanna. At least part of the RCC's interest in Hajj Amin seemed attributable to the suspicions of Jordanian designs on the Egyptian-occupied Gasa Strip, where a local movement for annexation to Jordan was suppressed by the Egyptian authorities in November, 1953.

Lebanon's cooperation with these Anti-Hashimite forces was entirely different in its motivation. The dominant Christian element in Lebanon has long possessed an ingrained fear of being swallowed up by a political combination of moslem states. Since the great majority of union schemes have emanated from Iraq and Jordan, Lebenon has been receptive to anti-Hashinite propaganda and for its own reasons has cooperated with those forces which were opposed to Hashimite expansion ism. Indicative of the importance Lebanese officials attached to Hajj Amin was the kind of reception he received on periodic visites when he arrived in Beirut from Jidda on November 17, 1953 en route to Damascus, he was entertained by such high Lebanese personages as ex-Prime Minister Sami al-Sulh and former Foreign Minister Henri Pharaon, and on November 22 was transported to a seat of honor at the Lebanese Independence Day military parade in an official limousine despatched personally by President Shambun. Since 1949, a branch office of the Arab Higher Executive has operated in Beirut with official blessing.

# B. Terrorism against Israel

With the collapse of the Arab states' military effort against
Israel in 1969, the anti-Hashimite bloc in the Arab league (possibly
with the tacit consent of Iraq, but not of Jordan), appears to have
agreed to continue the struggle against Israel by guerrilla and
terrorist attacks as the armed counterpart to the Arab League economic
and political blockade of Israel. It is likely that the AHE was chosen
to implement this program since it was not a recognised official
government body and as such could not be held accountable for violations of the Arab-Israeli armistice agreements. The center selected for
these operations was Damascus. The operation is said to have envisaged
the training of small sabatoge and commando groups by German and
Yugoslav specialists in Bambscus and the training of refigee contingents by taking them into the Syrian Army. Arms were to be procured
by the Syrian Government. By the spring of 1950, a similar type of
training program is reported to have been under way in Cairo.

This effort was characteristically hampered, however, by poor organization of the scattered groups of refugees and by personal jealousies among members of the AHE. This resulted in the appearance of competing terrorist groups; the first of these was the Holy War Fighters which made its appearance in April 1950, with headquarters reportedly in Jerusalem and Cairo. At about the same time, the Military Organization for the Liberation of Palestine was founded. Early in June 1950 its Beirut headquarters requested the All-Palestine Government to proclaim a general mobilisation and arming c. Palestine Arab youth to initiate guerrills warfare in territories occupied by the Israelis. It also appealed to the Jordanian people and leaders to undertake, with the help of the responsible leaders in all Arab countries,

military action to liberate Jordan from its "colonizers" and cleanse it of the agents and tools of the imperialists. Finally, it resolved to take revenge on the UK, the US, and the USSR for their part in the Palestine Arab disaster. The parties responsible for this manifesto were Emile al-Ghuri, then head of the AHE office in Beirut, and Muhammad Nimr 'Awdah, organizer of the AHE Palestine Committee for querrilla Action.

In December 1952, Hajj Amin, attempting to exploit the difficult political position of Jordanian Prime minister Tawfiq abu al-Huda, accelerated his efforts to concentrate refugees along the Jordan-Israel armistice line with a view to launching nuisance raids against Israel in the apparent hope that Israel thereby would be brought to agree to the UN 1917 partition plan. Hajj Amin appears to have hoped also that the Jordanian Government would permit him to enter Jordan to take personal charge of furthering his aims. Although Husayni supporters readmitted to Jordan from Syria prepared the way by conferring with clubs, organisations, and refugee leaders in Manlus, Jenin, Tulkarm, Qalqilya, Ramallah, and Jerusalem, Hajj Amin in fact was not allowed to enter the country.

By July and August 1953, the Holy War Fighters and the Military Organization for the Liberation of Palestine had apparently both given way to a new organization known as the Vengeance Partisans. This group, which is assumed to be under the control of the AHE and is known also as the Committee for Opposing Peace with the Enemy (i.e., Israel), had its headquarters in Beirut and was said to recruit its membership chiefly from teachers and students at the American University of Beirut. The Vengeance Partisans in November 1953 were reported to be responsible for a large percentage of armed Arab infiltration into Israel. Between August and November 1953, this organization had purchased arms and set up branches at Jerusalem, Amman, and Hebron in Jordan. The October 13, 1953 bombing at al-Yahudiyah in Israel, which was cited by Israel as the reason for its retaliatory attack on the Jordanian village of Qibya on October 14-15, 1953, has been reliably attributed to the Jerusalem branch of this organization.

There was also an agreement between Syria and the AHE for the military training of Arab refugees in the Syrian armed forces. It is reported that during their meeting of December 11-16, 1952, Syrian President Shishakli and Egyptian Prime minister Nagib jointly agreed to accept Palestine refugees into their respective ground forces. There is some possibility that the stimulus for the formation of a "Palestine Frontier Guards" regiment of 500 men in the Gasa Strip was a product of this meeting. Continued activity in this direction was indicated by a Beirut radio report of march 26, 195h that a large group of Palestine Arab refugees in Syria had requested induction into the Syrian Army in order to "assist in repelling Jewish aggressions."

#### - 14 -

Two incidents which with a high degree of probability can be ascribed to one of these Syrian-trained refugee bands took place in Galilee in May 1953. On May 31, a truck carrying 50 children was attacked with one killed and three wounded. On June 7, 1953, an Israeli police car was attacked by automatic fire on the Acre-Safad road. /Ithough these raids had been staged from Harun al-Ra's in Lebanon, the raiders are believed to have come from Syria with the knowledge of the Syrian Army 0-2 and to have operated from Lebanon in order to avoid involving Syria with Israel.

An attempt was also made to establish similar training centers in Saudi Arabia. In October 1952 Jamal al-Husayni had almost succeeded in arranging the entry of Huhammad Nimr 'Awdh, Hajj Amin's principal terrorist and guerrilla activity organiser, into Saudi Arabia and securing his appointment as secretary and advisor to Prince 'Abdallah ibn 'Abd al-Rahman, brother of the late King Ibn Saudi 'Awdah apparantly was slated to direct the training of Palestine refugae terrorists and guerrillas in the Hijas. However, this move was blocked at the last moment, probably by Ibn Saud. The probable reason is that 'Awdah, of all Hajj Amin's principal lieutenants, has been rather consistently reported as having Communist sympathies and connections, and Ibn Saud would never knowingly have permitted any Communist sympathiser to enter the country.

# C. Opposition to Resettlement of Palestine Refugees

Hajj Amin's approach to the Palestine Arab refugee problem has distinguished between two target groups: (1) important supporters and followers among the refugees, and (2) the mass of refugees.

Originally, Hajj Amin had planned to take care of his relatives and important supporters by appointing them to the All-Palestine Government, which he expected to control. This plan was disrupted by the disintegration of the Gasa Government due to Hashimite opposition and its failure to secure recognition abroad. Eventually, only Ahmad Hilmi Pasha and his secretary Rafiq (or Muhammad Tawfiq) al-Lababidi were left in the Gasa Government. In 1949 Hajj Amin became alarmed at the possibility his supporters might defect to Abdallah if Jordan succeeded in annexing the portion of Arab Palestine it then occupied. He took the precaution of having them taken care of by certain Arab governments, notably Saudi Arabia.

In dealing with the mass of the refugees, the AHE has pursued two main objectives: (1) to preserve Hami Amin's influence over them, and (2) to exploit for his own ends the general Arab policy of discouraging their resettlement outside of Palestine, keeping them concentrated along the Arab-Israeli armistice lines, and encouraging them to hope for repatriation. This policy was officially directed

at preserving conditions favorable to a return in principle to the 1947 UN partition resolution, which called for the creation of an Arab state from those areas not originally swarded to Israel; this would include the territory annexed by Jordan as well as about 25 percent of present Israel. Since such a state would clearly be unviable, the Arab League (except Jordan) probably envisaged a plebiscite to determine with which of the surrounding Arab states the population would wish to affiliate themselves. Hajj Amin and the AHE have attempted to build up their position among the native inhabitants of West Jordan and among the refugees against the day when they will be able to bargain with the leaders of any prospective annexing state as spokesman of the Palestine Arabs.

# D. The Mufti's Activities in Syria and Lebanon

The AHE offices in Beirut and Damascus, set up in 1919, are the principal agencies through which Hajj Amin works in these areas. Since 1950 both Hajj Amin on periodic visits from Cairo and the local AHE staffs have kept up a constant pressure on the refugees in Syria and Lebanon to refuse settlement and demand repatriation. In Lebanon, Hajj Amin and the AHE have been permitted to do this not only because of Lebanese fears of Hashimite union plans, but because most of the refugees in Lebanon are Moslems and Lebanese policy is to refuse the settlement of Moslems in Lebanon for fear of endangering the Christian supremacy in the country. In Syria, Hajj Amin's influence on Shishakli helped at least until Harch 195h to prevent any effective resettlement of refugees in that country.

The effectiveness of such methods was made particularly clear early in November 1953 when, owing to such pressure, 900 wavering refugees finally rejected resettlement on an UNRWA project east of Demascus. In November 1953 Hajj Amin opposed Eric Johnston's US-backed Jordan Valley plan on the ground that it was inconsistent with the repatriation of the Palestine refugees. Finally, owing in part to Hajj Amin's opposition, the Arab League Council in April 1954 voted against Arab refugees emigration to the US as provided for in the US Refugee Relief Act of 1953.

# E. The Mufti's Activities in Iraq and Jordan

In Hashimite Iraq and Jordan, the position of Hajj Amin and the AHE is naturally less favorable then in the anti-Hashimite area. Hajj Amin has not appeared in Iraq, where he is persona non grata, since his participation in the Rashid 'All revolt of 1941. As a result, the 8000-10,000 Arab refugees in Iraq are under little if any influence by the AHE.

In Jordan, Hajj Amin and the AHE have been able to operate somewhat more effectively than in Iraq. The chief asset of Hajj Amin and his entourage in Jordan is the support which they claim from the traditional followers of their respective families, which in sola cases goes back as far as 500 years. The chief area of their strength

is the portion of West Jordan lying between Jerusalem and Nablus, where the core of the Husaynis' Palestine Arab Party strength lay during the days of the British mandate. Opposition to Hajj Amin and his AHE comes not only from the British in Jordan and the circle around the Hashimite throne, but also from those Palestine Arabs who before partition were either hostile or neutral toward the Husayni-led Palestine Arab Party. Since 1948, and in some cases even before, these anti-Husayni elements had largely gone over to Abdallah, who made a special effort to win their support by appointing them to office in the Jordanian Government. Nevertheless, Hajj Amin appears still to command a considerable degree of latent loyally in the Jerusalem area. As in Lebanon and Syria, AHE tactics are to exploit for its own aims the general Arab policy of combating any attempt to resettle the refugees away from their original homes. The AHE is believed to exert its influence in this direction both through a cell system in refugee camps and through its influence on the Arab-language Jordanian Jerusalem press, the most important representatives of which joined in the demunciation of the Blandford resettlement plan in the summer of 1952.

While King Abdallah was alive, he was able to keep the pro-Husayni elements in check by the expedients of imprisonment, exile, support of their enemies, or buying them off. Since Abdallah's assassination in July 1951, however, their influence has increased; this has been due not only to the absence of a strong hand in the central government, but to the rise of Palestine Arab influence in Jordanian affairs since the annexation of the West Bank in 1950. Although the pro-Husayni position has weakened since the summer of 1952, it could easily be received under favorable conditions.

Following the Qibya raid of October 1953, and acting upon a request for assistance by Jordan, the Arab League discussed the question of contributing to the support of the Jordanian National Quard. However, after initial contributions mainly by Iraq and Egypt little if anything was forthcoming. Hajj Amin and his supporters thereupon concluded that if they could themselves get control of the National Quard, it would be a valuable asset to them in attaining their objectives against the Hashisites and the British in Jordan. In December 1953, therefore, the AHE is believed to have instructed its supporters in Jordan to try to exploit for its own ends the widespread desire to remove the National Quard from Arab Legion supervision and put it under the control of West Bank Palestinians, among whom Hajj Amin's strength was greatest.

See IB 1514, Anti-British Elements in Jordan Strengthened by Qibya Incident, November 4, 1953, SECRET.

#### VI. THE MUFTI ATTEMPTS TO BROADEN HIS SUPPORT

#### A. The Islamic Congress for the Palestine Cause

By the autumn of 1953, Hajj Amin had reached the conclusion that the time had come to give formal organisation of his supporters and program in Jordan. Although he attempted to gain entry into Jordan, reportedly with the assistance of Syrian President Shishakli, he failed. In creating this organization, he planned to establish it on as broad a base as possible and to constitute it principally of moslem religious personalities; the latter device was designed to appeal to the religious sensibilities of the West Bank masses and also to make it more difficult for the Jordanian Government to aftack the group. Furthermore, in order to give the impression that his organisation had backing from the Moslem world at large, he adopted the technique used earlier by the Pakistanis for gaining additional support for their cause in Kashmir and their leadership in the Islamic world. He assembled, on December 3-9, 1953, an "Islamic Congress" in Jerusalem, Jordan, with delegates ostensibly representing as many Moslem countries and organisations as possible.

Most of the delegates were from the Moslem Brethren, with whom Hojj Amin had established a close working agreement at the time of the Palestine war and in the course of his activities at various Islamic conferences in Karachi. Other delegates included exiled North African nationalist leaders in Cairo and a representative of the Iranian extremist leader Ayatollah Kashani. The resolutions adopted by the conference were as follows:

- 1. All Moslem must work for the liberation of Palestine.
- The Israeli occupation of Palestine is invalid and Israel's displacement of the Palestine Arabs and usurpation of their rights is an aggression against every moslem.
- Consideration of peace with Israel or dealing with Israel is treason.
- 4. The proposed internationalisation of Jerusalem is a conspiracy against the Moslem world.
- 5. There should be popular mobilization for an active struggle to help the refugees regain their homes. Until this is achieved the refugees' condition must be improved.
- 6. A Moslem Palestine fund of 15,000,000 should be established to finance plans for economic revival in Jordan and financing the general struggle.

- 7. The powers that established and have aided Israel will have the enmity of all Moslem peoples until they cease this aid. Muslem peoples are to be encouraged to pressure their governments to adopt a similar attitude toward the great powers.
- 8. The 27th. of Rajab (April 11, 1954) is to be observed as Palestine day.

Secret resolutions were taken also, but the only known one is that which designated the AHE as the body responsible for negotiating the future of Palestine. This resolution is reported to have angered Jordan's King Husayn. The nature of the other unpublicised resolutions may be judged from the fact that while Conference delegates were touring the Nahlus-Janin-Tulkarm triangle area on December 5, 1953, Algerian delegate Sheikh Bashir al-Ibrahimi is reported to have urged refugees to commit acts of theft and terrorism in Israel in order to show their determination to their Arab neighbors and thus improve their chances for getting aid.

On the organisational side, the prominence of the Hoslem Brethren element is indicated by the election of Sa'id Ramadan, of the Moslem Brethren in Cairo', as Secretary General of the Conference. The General Islamic Council for the Palestine Cause, with a seven-man permanent office in Jerusalem, was made a permanent body which was to meet in Jerusalem every three months. The General Assembly of the Congress was to meet annually in one of the Moslem capitals. However, owing to the opposition of the Jordanian Government and the hostility of the Egyptian RCC toward the Moslem Brethren, this organisation in 195h was obliged to transfer its activities to Damascus.

The significance of this program is fairly clear. Firstly, Hajj Amin's objective is to secure his return to the West Bank and establish himself and the AHE as the spokesmen of the Palestine Arabs vis-s-vis the Jordanian Government and the other arab states. Secondly, he aimed to set himself up as acknowledged leader of all Palestine Arab resistance to Israel and, in that capacity, to organise attacks from Jordan on Israel with the double aim of (a) attracting the sympathy and aid of the Islamic world at large and (b) using this aid either to eliminate Israel or force it to come to terms with him. Thirdly, his public appeals

This organisation was banned by the Egyptian Covernment on January 18, 195h, but though the ban was rescinded in July 195h, Resadan was deprived of Egyptian nationality in October 195h, and took up residence in Syria.

for financial support are to be directed not toward governments but to unofficial and religious groups throughout the Moslem world. In March 1954, it was announced that the Permanent Office for the Islamic Congress in Jerusalem would establish an office, headed by the exiled Algerian nationalists Muhammad al-Bashir al-Ibrahimi and al-Fudayyil al-Martalani, to carry on propaganda for "relief projects" in the Jordan armistice line zones. On March 27, 1954 a Congress delegation left Baghdad for Indonesia, India, and Pakistan to collect contributions for Palesting armistice line villages.

#### B. Financial Support

The Arab Higher Executive appears to be totally dependent financially on funds supplied by Hajj Amin, who in this way is able to keep his followers in line.

Initially, the AHE (as an official representative of the Palestine Arabs recognised by the Arab League) received its operating funds from an Arab League war-chest of \$200,000 (\$800,000) which had been collected personally by Hajj Amin and by the Arab League — principally from Pakistan, Arab communities in South America (to which the League sent a mission in 1917), and Saudi Arabia. After May 15, 1918, the League is believed to have cut its monthly alletment to Hajj Amin because it discovered that he was receiving funds from the Egyptian Labinet and Foreign Hinistry. In June 1918, he is said to have despatched a delegation including prominent Moslem drethren members to Iraq, Iran, and Pakistan to raise funds and disseminate propagands. This campaign turned out to be most successful in Pakistan, for a documentary statement later issued by the AHE stated that 300,281 had been collected from Pakistan in that year.

In May 1949, reportedly at the intervention of Ibn Saud, the Arab League is said to have released to the AHE all of the \$600,000 collected in 1947, half of which had been frozen by the League. The only contribution reported for 1950 is \$90,000 (\$252,000) from Pakistan sources, which the Pakistanis claimed was intended for the aid of refugees. In 1951, after the Arab League denied him of the privilege of handling funds for refugee aid, suspecting that he was using them for his compersonal political purposes, Hajj Amin instituted a very successful drive for financial support from other quarters. Between March and December, he is reported to have received \$150,000 from Pakistani and Indonesian sources and the AHE's own statement asserts that it received \$69,686 from

al-Wartalani is an Algerian Berber who represented the Moslem Brethren in the Yemen in 1947 at the time when they were involved in a conspiracy resulting in the assassination of the ruler of the Kemen, the Imam Yahya in February 1948.

Pakistan alone. During 1951, Saudi Arabia is also reported to have contributed considerable sums. At the same time, Hajj Amin is believed to have been receiving his personal expenses and 4300 (%840) per month from secret funds of the Egyptian Cabinet.

Two reports of September and December 1951 allege that Hajj Amin was receiving funds from the USSR, in one case via Pakistan, but there is no specific corroborative information available. Moreover, other reports say that in February 1950 and November 1953 Hajj Amin and one of his supporters in Jordan had been approached by local Communists with offers of collaboration, but they turned the Communists down. Hajj Amin probably recognises that if he were to accept Soviet or Communist aid he would forfeit the support of Saudi Arabia and other conservative Hoslem sources to whom his appeal is now strongest.

In February 1952 Hajj Amin again visited Karachi for financial purposes. By March-April 1951, Hajj Amin was said to be receiving \$1000 (32800) per month from the Pakistan Government and sporadic payments from then Pakistani Prime Minister Misam al-Din, Chaudhri Khaliq al-Zaman of the Moslem People's Organisation of Karachi, and Mian Iftikhar al-Din, Pro-Communist head of the Asad Party. Similar reports were made in September 1952 concerning Muhammad Hatta, Vice President of Indonesia, and a former Minister of Afghanistan in Cairo. In home of these cases, however, has it been proved that the respective governments were supplying funds to Hajj Amin; it is possible that they merely transmitted funds from private Moslem organisations. Nevertheless, Hajj Amin as late as March 1952, enjoyed considerable prestige among high official circles in Pakistan, for in that month the Pakistan Cabinet requested the Governor General to invite him to Pakistan as a state guest.

Less important sources of funds reported in 1952 were Lebanon, Egypt, and the refugees themselves. President Khuri of Lebanon is said to have initiated a grant of 600 per month to the AHE and President Camille Shan'un who assumed office in September, 1952, is believed to have continued this grant. The refugees, upon whom the AHE is believed to have spent little or nothing except for propaganda, are reported to have contributed 3000 to Hajj Amin in 1952. King Faruq is said to have stopped payments to Hajj Amin early in 1952, possibly because of the latter's suspected implication in the January 26 riots in Caire and his relations with the Moslem Brethren. This action by Faruq may at least partially explain the rapport which is believed to have existed between Hajj Amin and the Free Officers both before and after the Egyptian coup of July 23, 1952. In June 1954 Hajj Amin was reported to be receiving a monthly stipend of 3720 from the present Egyptian government.

The only reported receipt of funds by Hajj Amin in 1953 is \$110,000 from Saudi Arabia, which he is said to have received during the pilgrimage in August. The Jerusalem Islamic Congress fund-raising mission to Indonesia, the Moslems in India, and Pakistan will probably account for the bulk of contributions in 1954.

SE CRET

- 21 -

# VII. SAUDI-JORDAN RAPPROCHEMENT: A REACTION TO IRACI CAMPAIGN FOR UNION

The contest between the Hashimites and their enemies reached a significant turning point in 1950. The principals in the current maneuvering are Ireq. Saudi Arabia, and Jordan.

At the Arab League Council meeting in Cairo on January 10, 1954, Iraqi Prime Minister Padil al-Jamali introduced a new version of the long-standing Iraqi proposals for political union of the Arab states, by suggesting that the eight League members unify various key ministeries of their governments, e.g., Foreign Affairs, War, Education, Finance, and Economy. Before and after the January meeting, Iraq reportedly exerted efforts in Cairo to enlist Palestine Arab and Egyptian Government support for Iraqi-Jordanian union. The argument used by Iraq is said to have capitalized on anti-British faeling by maintaining that Jordan is under British domination and that it was in the general Arab interest to remove UK influence from Jordan and by implication replace it by that of Iraq. Egypt, however, remained indifferent to the Iraqi arguments. Opposition to the plan, led by Saudi Arabia and Syria, resulted in the Iraqi proposal®s being pigeon-holed by the League.

Actually, the Iraqi plan as believed to have been presented to the League did not fully represent the concept of unity held by Prime Minister al-Jamali. In a private conversation of Jamary 25, 1951, he revealed that what he actually had in mind was the division of the Arab world into three spheres of influence linked under the Arab League. Iraq would dominate Syris and Jordan, Saudi Arabia would have the Yemen in its sphere, and Egypt would have the Sudan and Libya within its orbit. Jordan, said Jamali, would federate with Iraq if the US and the UK would agree. He characterised Syrian President Shishakli as a principal obstacle to the execution of the Iraqi plan.

In the meantime, Iraq was actively pursuing this objective on several levels in Jordan and Syria. Iraq had made efforts, possibly financial, to influence certain Jordanian cabinet Ministers in favor of union, and there are indications that similar methods were used to insure favorable comment on the scheme in the Jordanian press. Iraq was also giving aid and comfort to anti-Shishakli elements in Syria and Beghdad, who on February 25, 195% succeeded in overthrowing the Shishakli regime and driving the President out of the country. Shishakli took refuge in Saudi Arabia.

Both King Saud and King Hussyn have reacted to thise Iraqi moves in a manner different from that of their predecessors. King Hussyn has viewed the Iraqi pressure for union as a threat to this throne, since there would be little chance of an important place for him in an Iraqidominated state formed by union with Syria and/or Jordan. His determination to preserve the integrity of his family's rule in Jordan has been encouraged

### - 22 -

by Queen Mother Zayn and her brother Sharif Masir, who in February 1954 was believed to have been receiving a subsidy from the Saudi Arabian Minister in Amman.

King Sand, concerned by Iraqi activities in Syria and Jordan, by reports of impending US arms aid to Iraq, and by negotiations regarding Iraqi adherence to the Turco-Pakistani pact, eagerly accepted King Husayn's overture for a meeting at Badanah in northern Najd on January 23-25, 1954. The meeting between the two monarchs was marked by more agreement than had been manifested in any previous meeting between the representatives of the two opposing blocs. In stressing the Iraqi threat to Husayn's personal position, Saud found a willing listener. Saud also preased upon Husayn the necessity of taking active measures to carry out the perennial Arab Isague project of restoring the destroyed portion of the Hijas Rail—way between al-Mudawarah in Jordan and Medina in the Hijas. Finally, he is believed to have contributed JD50,000 from his personal funds to King Husayn personally and to the Jordanian National Quard and to have offered to station Saudi forces near the Jordanian border. Such troops were to assist Husayn in the event Iraq attempted by force to annex Jordan.

The initial success of the Saudi attempt to create a Damascus-Amman-Riyad axis against Iraq — as it was characterised by Iraqi Prime Minister Fadfi al-Jamali following his visit to Amman of February 11, 195k — suffered a setback with the Subsequent uprising against the Shishakli regime in Syria. Both Iraq sud-Saudi Arabia were reportedly employing financial as well as political means in Syria to ensure that a friendly regime would emerge following the September 195k elections. Although neither succeeded, the army clique which continues to be the power behind the scenes in Syria sees eye-to-eye with Egypt on the necessity of opposing the expansion of Iraqi influence.

# VIII. THE OUTLOOK

As long as Saudi Arabia and Egypt continue to be preoccupied with the real or imagined threat of Iraqi expansion and as long as the final disposition of Palestine remains unsettled, it is doubtful whether Saudi Arabia and Egypt will cut lobse from such a strongly anti-Hashimite Palestiniah leader as Hajj Amin. For Egypt's Premier Gamal 'Abd al-Nasir, even Hajj Amin's close donnection with Nasir's rival, General Nagib, and with his most powerful domestic opposition — the outlawed Moslem Brethren—is outwelphed by this desire to contain or weaken Hashimite power. Hajj Amin's present objective would appear to be the re-establishment of the Gama Government under his control. To this end, Hajj Amin has also been strengthening his position within the Arab League by placing his relatives and supporters in the Ideaue's Palestine Office. In the October 1950 elections in Jordan, however, the Liberation Party, which is believed to contain adherents and sympathisers of the Mufti, won only a single seat.

- 23 -

The clam-based feuds between Iraq and Saudi Arabia, as well as between the Hussynis and their Palestinian and Hashimite foes, have been a major hindrance to constructive and united action in the Arab area. In no small measure these feuds have also contributed to area instability. While this dogged contest for power continues, it is unlikely that any effective organization of the area — either military or political — can be effected by the Arabs themselves, the US, the UK, or any neighboring power. It is therefore to be anticipated that the Egyptian-led attempt to organize an Arab-Moslem "third force" bloc on the basis of the Mesca Islamic Congress will be plagued by the same emsities which have haspered the operation of the Arab Collective Security Pact. The West will also continue to be faced with this obstacle in its attempts to solve the Palestine question. Such Arab disunity is likely to persist as long as political conflicts in the Arab World center on personality kinship and factional alignments, and loyalty to effective impersonal institutions and national cohesion remain weak.