DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY BOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACTORE 2000 2006

VIA: Air
(Brootly air or see pouch)

Dispatch No.EGL-A-7462

CLASSIFICATION

47cb 54

To : Chief, EE

From : Chief of Base, Pullach

SUBJECT: GENERAL - Operational

specific- Dr. Wilhelm HOETTL

1. For the record, we forward photo-copies of correspondence found during search of Subject's house on the occasion of his arrest in March 1953. The correspondence provides at once a picture of the mechanics involved in CAPOTE's terminating Subject in October 1952, and at the same time show Subject in his character of "Kleiner In rigant".

## 2. Letters enclosed are:

- ✓a. Notification dated 3 October 1952 to Subject by CAPOTE's MUNICH representative of his termination.
- b. Letter dated 30 October 1952 from Subject to Friedrich Wilhelm HEINZ, protesting.
- c. Letter from Subject to Theodor BLANK, dated 25 November 1952, complaining that he had received no satisfaction from HEINZ.
- / d. Letter, dated 19 December, from Graf KIELMANSFGG, answering for BLANK.
  - e. Letter by Subject, dated 22 January 1953, to Graf KIELMANSEGG.
  - f. Curt note from Graf KIEIMANSEGG, dated 2 March 1953, indicating someone would come to talk matters over with Subject.
  - g. Also included is a letter Baron Harry MAST ("GRAF BOBBY") wrote to Theodor BLANK on 22 February 1953. MAST was Subject's chief assistant, both in representing the HOETTL interests in the Nibelungenverlag, and in gathering together reports from varied sources which HOETTL then subjected to his "high level" touch, and sent on to MUNICH.

Dist.:

= EE (DIRECT), w/1 cy atts.

3 - COM, w/1 cy atts.

1 - BONN

2 - Files

2 February 1954 FORM NO. 51-28A

CLASSIFICATION

Ri COPY

\_ 2 \_

3. ZIPPER secured copies of Subject's letters to HEINZ and BLANK through the fact that the person Subject chose to take the letters to Germany for mailing was a ZIPPERite. As we recall, this person was either V-11728, or V-11672, the former being a close friend and former classmate of Subject (that was a grand class at the University of VIENNA!) and the latter being the father-in-law (and landlord) of CAPOTE's representative in MUNICH. ZIPPER later obtained copies of the answers from HOETTL himself. ZIPPER showed Jenrick and Stiggins Subject's letters, after considerable comment to the effect that this was an internal German affair, but never did show us the letters sent HOETTL in reply. All in all, this is not a terribly vital collection of letters, but probably has some historical interest to addressees. We will send the microfilm along soon.

COM: Would you give or lend enclosures to BONN? Our photo facilities aren't up to mass printing of microfilm.

[ MHS

Approved by:

Decdiine:		Check one
He Action Req.	by cubie	}
See para	by pouch	
Comments Req.	py cable	
eo Paia	pl. boncp	
1110 Cad files		1