

1 April 1953

Interrogation of Dr. Wilhelm HOETTL: Preliminary Report

1. Purposes of Interrogation. The interrogation of Dr. Wilhelm HOETTL, which occurred between 25 and 29 March 1953 had four purposes listed in order of priority as follows:

- a. To determine whether HOETTL is an active Soviet agent.
- b. To determine the extent of HOETTL'S involvement, witting or unwitting, in the ROMM complex.
- c. To determine the extent of espionage, witting or unwitting, of U.S. and other anti-Soviet intelligence operations to hostile intelligence services.
- d. To develop information on HOETTL'S present and past activities and connections.

2. Circumstances

a. Arrest. HOETTL was met in Bad Ischl by Special Agent Ralf E. RING, about noon on 25 March 1953 and was requested in a friendly manner to accompany RING to Salzburg to meet someone who wished to talk to him further. HOETTL willingly agreed to this proposal and was brought to an interrogation room on Hellbrunnerstrasse 5. The warrant for HOETTL'S arrest which RING had carried with him was not served until after the first two hours of interrogation.

b. Search. At the time of HOETTL'S arrest a search was carried out with proper warrants of his home in Altenosce and of the office of the Nibelungverlag in Linz. During this search a large quantity of material was impounded and subsequently brought to Salzburg. This material consisted of HOETTL'S correspondence with persons connected with his publishing business, with his literary activity, and with his clandestine activities. Also impounded were one pistol, four blank Austrian identity cards, a sum of approximately 1,265 pounds in British bank notes (issues 1935 through 1937) and a false passport issued ostensibly in Lichtenstein during the war, which bore HOETTL'S picture and a false name.

c. Interrogation. Sessions of interrogation were conducted as follows:

- (1) 25 March 1953, 1400 through 1600.
- (2) 26 March 1953, 1000 through 1200, 1400 through 1500 (Note: This night session covered particularly HOETTL'S association with TIGER and VIKING and was based on a brief prepared in C-2 operations from correspondence between TIGER and HOETTL which had been given to TIGER on a previous occasion.)

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR COORDINATION WITH

ARMY

SEC

- 3 -

and continued infrequently through October 1962, did KOETTL indicate leftist inclinations or display curiosity about HOETTL's clandestine activities. HOETTL claims that he first thought PONGER's to be a Vienna representative of Amt Gehlen and that later he concluded with the Israeli intelligence Service. He states, however, that he never considered at any time that PONGER appeared to be the least intelligence member of the group whom HOETTL met. Concerning LAUBER, HOETTL says that this man was rotund and quiet and seemed to have no particular interest in common with the others except photography. Concerning Vera PONGER, whom HOETTL saw on two occasions for a period of 11 hours, according to his own statement, he says that he formed the impression that she dominated her husband. He adds that upon learning through the newspapers that PONGER and VERNER were alleged to be Soviet agents he assumed that VERNER was the leader of the two but that Vera PONGER played an important and possibly dominant role. When faced in the interrogation with the two alternatives, that he was aitting member of the Soviet-controlled Tephofor complex or that he was a complete doo, HOETTL refused to accept either alternative. Being a proud man, he argued at length against the accusation that he must have been a fool to be taken in by PONGER and at the same time maintained that he never in any way tumbled to the true affiliations of the AUSSEN-UNHCR family although he was aware that the VERNERS resided in a Soviet sector of Vienna.

c. HOETTL stated that the only persons engaged in intelligence whom he disclosed with PONGER or PONGER's associates were as follows:

(1) Wilhelm ERICHSEN. HOETTL stated that ERICHSEN was a mutual acquaintance of PONGER and himself at Nurnberg where ERICHSEN in his capacity as former chief of the Secret Field Police was a witness. In the meetings with ERICHSEN, which have been reported elsewhere, HOETTL claims that PONGER took the initiative in discussing Amt Gehlen. HOETTL further claims that PONGER knew of ERICHSEN'S association with Amt Gehlen from some source unknown to HOETTL. HOETTL stated that he knew that PONGER met ERICHSEN on occasion when HOETTL was not present but stated that he did not know that was discussed at these meetings. He added that he did not believe that ERICHSEN is a Soviet agent but made his statement in such a tone as to indicate that a good deal of suspicion does attach to ERICHSEN. (This off-hand or indirect type of slander was characteristic of all HOETTL's remarks concerning previous colleagues except his remarks about Alfred ZORN.)

(2) Josef Adolf URBAN. HOETTL stated that Ponger on several occasions mentioned URBAN is engaged in intelligence activity for some Western powers. It should be noted that at the present time HOETTL and URBAN appear to be enemies and that it would be natural for HOETTL to attempt to discredit URBAN by stating that PONGER had mentioned his name and thereby implying that URBAN is an agent of PONGER.

SECURITY

CONFIDENTIAL

(3) 27 March 1953, no interrogation was conducted on this date.

(4) 28-29 March 1953, 1400 to 0500. This interrogation was conducted with the polygraph machine.

All interrogation after 25 March 1953 was recorded on tape. This tape is now being copied on to other tapes so that interested agencies will have a copy. A transcription is being made in Salzburg.

d. Research of Impounded Material. A team of document analysts from LIS was assigned to screen through the material impounded at HOMTIL's home and office and to mark for保留 the list which was of interest to us. Simultaneously research was conducted on the impounded material to support the interrogation while it was going on. It was estimated that the impounded material amounted to 20,000 pages. On 28 March 1953 photographing of this material was begun.

e. Confinement.

(1) HOMTIL was confined between sessions of interrogation in comfortable quarters near Salzburg. He was furnished a typewriter and paper and was asked to prepare written reports in answer to certain questions given him.

(2) From 29 March 1953 HOMTIL was placed in solitary confinement in a less comfortable cell near Salzburg. He was given a typewriter and paper with instructions that he should write down anything which he thought might help him or us in a satisfactory disposal of his case. The confining authority was instructed that should he request an interview with any of his interrogators he was to record on paper anything that he wished to say and that this would be given consideration by the authorities.

3. Summary of Conclusions. The interrogator considers that, although a considerable amount of information was recorded during the process of interrogation, the interrogation nevertheless failed in its main aim. Conclusions, which will be the subject of future detailed reports, can be summarized as follows:

a. It was not possible for the interrogators to determine whether HOMTIL is a willing Soviet Agent. HOMTIL claims that he is not a Soviet agent and points vehemently to what he affects to consider a consistent record of anti-Soviet and anti-Communist activity.

b. It was not possible to determine whether HOMTIL was a willing member of the Tomholz complex or whether he was always obedient to POMER. He stated that he believed from the first HOMTIL statement to him that POMER had been a Captain in the SS before becoming an interrogator at Nuremberg. He further stated that at no time in his association with POMER, which began in Nuremberg,

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

1 April 1953

Information of Dr. Wilhelm KETTLER: KETTLER's Reaction to the arrest of
Von Schirach and Haider.

1. Importance of this Report: The purpose of the present report is to record the reaction of Dr. Wilhelm KETTLER and his immediate reaction to the news that Otto VON SCHIRACH and Kurt HAIDER had been arrested together with three other persons for espionage on behalf of the Soviet Union against the United States. KETTLER's reaction is documented in correspondence between himself and his friends which was discovered in a search of his quarters and office conducted on 29 March 1953. The possibility should be borne in mind that KETTLER deliberately left this correspondence for us to capture in the hopes that the correspondence would establish his innocence of implication in Soviet espionage. It is also possible that the entire correspondence was fabricated to this end.

2. Letter KETTLER to FRIEDRICH WILHELM von MOLTKE: In a letter dated 16 January 1953 of which we possess a carbon copy, KETTLER wrote to Bernd Heinrich von MOLTKE. The first two and a half pages of his letter, which begins in German "Dear Harry", echoing itself with other matters. These include the possibility of KETTLER's being hired by Art Galloway, the business of the Abteilung Verlag, the espionage activities of Theodor von Albert, etc. The relevant passage can be translated as follows:

"What do you say about the odd espionage affair in Moscow? The oddest thing about it is that I actually have known those people for years. I must therefore be a Soviet agent! On my side, I still cannot understand why did these people never approach me, only because of my absolutely hostile attitude towards the Brits. But if they had been agents, they would certainly have been able to approach me from some other direction. That is, as members of the Russian Intelligence Service and thus through me have been able to set up the anti-Russian net in order later to dismantle it. The matter is quite obscure to me, especially this recent conversation to a Consul in Washington with whom people were sitting, all those there, why were they not sent to the United States, which would be the obvious opportunity to hire former intelligence officers ever there. And certainly such intelligence officers could also be recruited there, everything is most curious. If the Russian Intelligence Service is not more competent than this then I should be very sorry. (In my case, I am a fool that I never noticed anything about them which was suspicious. I certainly got the impression that they might be working in the Russian Intelligence Service but when I heard this suspicion for the first time from the
from this friend in Moscow (Bernd Heinrich von MOLTKE) I could
not believe it and made a comment saying I think that only con-
servatives were working for the Russians, I certainly did not want to get drawn into this matter because there would be a good chance that the
certain people, in any case, it would be a good idea if you were informed
were discovered since the cover, because making it clear that the
these people only concerned photographic and surgical subjects."

SECRET