AIR

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY BENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY BOURCESMETHODS EXEMPTION 3020 HAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

0011-1200

EGBA-4100

6 April 1953

Chief, 23

Chief, Berlin Operations Dase

CART/VATERLAND/CONSUL/Operational

Guenther ALISSHAWN

- 1. Subject, Chief of Abteilung V of West Berlin Headquarters, was entertained for lunch at my private residence on 3 April 1953. Present were and
- 2. The purpose of the meeting, which had been previously cleared with ____ was to coment BOB's personal and professional relationship with Subject and to ascertain along what lines we right be able to assist in building up and strengthening the political branch of Abteilung V.
- 3. The 1953 budget of the City of Berlin allows an additional 112 slots for the political branch. They will be filled by transferring suitable detective force personnel (Briminalpolisei) to Abteilung V. Unfortunately, the head of Abteilung K, Herr SABGALSTER, has been obstructing the growth of bitailung V and must be expected to raise difficulties if called upon to relinquish K-personnel for V.
- 4. V's transportation problems are as acute as ever. Four passenger cars, three of preser vintage, are the organic transportation of atteilung V. In theory at least V has access to K's notorpool. In practice several important operations have come to naught due to lack of transportation when it was needed. We debated at some longth ways and means by which we could augment V's motorized strength. (Everything hinges on — willingness to accept such help. Sutil now — has been maintaining a bold front in disclaiming that Y has been getting the true and of the stick.)
- 5. In connection with the ELARG incident, notes were compared on the role played by IIPPAR in Berlin. Subject was told that we have a more than routine interest in being kept posted on those ZIPLER activities that

glp:bjt Distribution:

372-1578.

3 - COK

1 - BONN

1 - FULL

1 - 808

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Lury

76

eut athwart police prerogatives. Subject maintains sporadic liziaon vith one SCHAFER, alleged to be a ZIPPER representative not stationed in Berlin and visiting Berlin at irregular intervals. According to Subject, SCHAFER's bona fide has been vouched for by a mutual friend, a former Abwehr - member who served with SCHAFER in the same unit (they were parachuted into Poland behind the energy lines).

- 6. Subject's personal impression of ZIPPER personnel he has come in contact with in rather unfavorable. He compared them to the average run of Blanck office intelligence representatives whom he considers high—caliber, just the type of GA specialists whom Subject would welcome on the staff of V. He has found them modest, unobtrusive and efficient.
- 7. We once more re-hacked the MARC incident which has left a very bed taste in the nouths of Subject and his associates. (Elm 6 was a V official, recently fired on the grounds of his collaboration with HIPPEN). he find it somewhat difficult to draw the line between truth and conjecture. Subject is avovedly acting on a nunch in suspecting KLASG of having denounced (Shoongshon larsons) We see to the ED as an act of revenge, suspecting Research of having had a hand in his (ALLEG's) dismissed from the police force. In the sake breath, however, Subject voices the surploien that domin may have been an wastern agent all along. As regards bloke, Subject considers him a major threat to bestern security. He points to his promiscuit; in striking up intelligence connections - ile th, the Prench IS, the Landscant four Verfassungsschuts - as posing a distinct security hazard. He blomes him for having furnished ROFM'S with detailed information on V, its order of battle and a wealth of operational data, which must now be presumed at the disposal of the 350. Subject claims that SCHAPER has reflected considerable concern on the part of INFRE in regard to MLANCIS assourity. Aubject has naggested to SUNATAR that MIRAR furnish Y documentary evidence to prove that KL-SS has betrayed efficial ecorets. a charge on which he could be sentenced to at least a one-year prison term.
- 8. I assured Subject that as a matter of firm policy BOB does not attempt to suborn police personnel. All our dealings are cleared beforehand with [] I straused our desire to continue a friendly and cooperative working relationship with V which could be of considerable advantage to both. We briefly touched on the issue of material support, tentatively suggesting our making available to them a number of vehicles for special operations. Thought was also given to a 0.5. sponsored training program for new V personnel, involving such services as bringing prominent experts to Burlin and placing training films at their disposal.
- 9. A few additional biographical data on Subject were obtained. He served on the Italian front with the 8th fanser Division which was committed during the Sicilian Campaign and the Settune beachhead landing.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

After the war Subject completed his law studies (Assessor). He has been with the Berlin police force one and a half years. His rapid promotion to division chief is mainly attributable to the critical shortage of even halfway qualified police personnel. Subject's direct superior is the police vice-president Dr. UNBAK. He is the co-equal of the commander of the constabulary and of the criminal police chief. His monthly net malary is 650 DR Nest.

10. No policy guidence or operational support is being requested at this stage. There has been previous correspondence which we are in the process of locating. In late 1951 BOB reported on the formation of a political law enforcement branch within the Berlin police and raised in general terms the issue as to the type of support we would be parmitted to render. A very encouraging reply from washington over the signature of Fletcher N. KHIGHT(?) was then received. For the time being we propose to continue inventorizing the main needs of Abteilung V and exploring the "political" angles in attaining a more intimate association with the affairs of V.

Approved by:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

c 768