Observations on Ceneral A. A. Viscov and

The Russian it. Gem. Andrei Andrejeviteh Viscov fell in German captivity in the co-called "Volekov-pecket" in apring 1962. The author of this paper met him personally on 7 August 1962, because he was interested in gotting in touch with prominent Russian prisoners of war not for the purpose of interrogating them in the usual sense of the word but to earry on a free and easy converentian face to fone with them and thus to obtain first-band information on the general most provailing in the Soviet Union during the war and the living conditions there. General Viscov had been described to me as being particularly fit for such a purpose because of his cincerity and judiciousness. As a soldier he enjoyed the reputation of having played an outstanding and decisive role in the military operations which had led to the resour of Kospon from the German appends in the fall of 1961.

The expectations of the author had not been frustrated by Viasov's personality and his attitude. At that time he was a man of 48, but leeked much older, whose outer appearance and behavior were typical for a Russian of peacent origin. His whole demands here a natural dignity; he expressed his views in a elear, definite, and well-weighed manner; altegether he evoked the impression of being an houset and reliable man.

At the beginning of our conversation Vissor showed a certain reserve which he gave up as seen as he recognized the true intentions of the author and became every of the fact that in spite of war the author had kept friendly feelings for his people.

Thus, trustful relations between Viscov and the author were established from the first convergation. In the course of time they because et ill closer because of the author's increasing conviction of Viscov's housety.

In spite of the deep disappointment which Viscov had experienced in the following years during his intercourse with the Cermins, he remained true to the basic ideas which he expressed in his first convergence with the author and which indused him to try to get along with the Germans.

In order to understand Viagor and the aim he pursued, it is neckevery to know his origin and his mental development. He was born in Central Russia and Apprian peasuring son. By diligence and stantan his father had succeeded in exceeding the average level of the Russian peasuring by schieving a certain grade of prosperity which - though a very modest one - sufficed under Soviet rules for blanking and treating

him as a "Kulak". Thus, Viacov's father became a victim of the Sovice government's ruthless policy of collectivisation, by which the most valuable part of the Russian peacentry had been exterminated and the rest transformed to slaves of a social system that is based on the principle that the people exist for the benefit of the state and not the state for the benefit of the people.

This experience, which he never forgot, had a decicive influence on the formation of Viccov's character. His basic attitude toward the Boviet regime was not determined by the fact that he could to that regime his personal assent but by the great disappointment which he felt in view of the discrepancy between the contents of the original premises which the Communist party and the Soviet government had given to the Aussian people and particularly the Russian peasantry, and the hard lot they really got. This disappointment was entremely deep because the Soviet regime took pewer at a time when Vissov was etill young and had been eaught by the enthusians evoked among the Russian youth by the false ideals proclaimed by the Bolchsviks. He used all the opportunities the new regime effered him; he joined the party in order to extinguish the stain on his past resulting from the fact that he was a decordant of a wealthy peasant, and after beving enlisted in the army he, in the course of time, by ability and etemina, homestly fulfilling the during sestened to him by his government and the party achieved the high military position that he was holding when the Corman unleashed the way against the Seviet Union.

Any doubts about the correctness of his government's policy appeared to him as idle at that time because there were no indications that a change of the regime was conseivable, and, moreover, it could not be denied that the Soviet Government had succeeded in achieving some entural and economic progress. Thus, wide circles of the population began to hope that an ambieration of the general living standard and a mitigation of the hereh governmental methods would follow in due time.

Viacov was deeply shocked at the brutal purge executed by the Soviet government in 1886-58 and the checking of the most prominent marchale and generals in June 1887, so much the more as he had been jailed for some time himself. On the other hand, this experience was now evidence that any resistance is hopeless when it is directed against a regime which considers itself as being strong enough to dare such exceptions against the own citisens.

Viscov's cose was the same as with many other Russians; not before the entastrophe of 1841/42 had happened did they become aware of
the fact that there were still possibilities to free the Russian people
from despotion and to make evallable to them the blessings of a demoeratio regime.

For this purpose Viscov had allied himself with the Germans but

not because he felt any sympathies for the regime which had existed in Germany at that time. He homestly believed that a decisive defeat inflicted upon the Red Army would cause an overthrow of the Saviet government and that the Germans would achieve this goal. But, on the other hand, he was deeply convinced that the Germans would never succeed without the active hisp of the Eussian people. Thus, in his opinion, the Germans and the Eussian people were natural allies, at least for the time being. In his view, such an alliance did not represent a danger for a future Russia because he was convinced that although the Germans were able to defeat the Red Army with the help of the anti-Communist purb of the Russian pepulation they would never be in a position to conquer the vast country and to put it under their rule.

Viscov, therefore, tried to convince his formen partners of the following:

- 1) the Cerman Army were not strong enough to inflict a decisive blee on the Soviet Union;
- E) the German Army would obtain a victory only after allying itself with the anti-Communist Eussian element;
- 8) a great number of anti-Communists were at hand among the Russian prisoners and desertors in Germany who were willing to fight against the Sciencevik regime:
- 4) military formations of volunteers should be erented under his leaderably and German control:
- 5) such formations would fight against the Soviet distatorship with stamma and enthusiasm
- 6) the knowledge of the fact that both Russians and the other nationalities of the Soviet Union had allied themselves with the Germans to combat the Solshaviks to the vastly increase the number of the deserters from the Red Arms:
- T) after the first decisive successes even high Soviet commundars would abunden the Red Army and join the volunteers because many of these communders would think so he, Theor, did; so, for example, his friend, Earshal Rekossovsky, had never forgotten that the OSFU (the Soviet Searct Police) had broken several of his ribe while interrogating him during his arrest;
- 8) a Russian Entional Committee should be established and emabled to make an appeal to the peoples of the Soviet Union;

RECEIVED

- 9) this appeal should contain the statement that the National Committee had reached an agreement with the German government about the integrity and the independence of the future state which would be arouted an Soviet territory after the collapse of the Solahevik regime:
- 10) the Entional Coumittee should give all the peoples of the Soviet Union the guarantee of unrestricted self-determination anddealars that after the overthrow of the Bolshevik regime they would get full freedom to decide whether they preferred to abide in a Union or to become independent;
- 11) the immediate abolition of the collectivisation would be the most important step in order to win over the Russians, particularly the presentry.

In his conversations with the German side Viasov had never left it in doubt about his conviction that the success of the proposed notion entirely depended on the fulfilment of the above-mentioned conditions. He used every opportunity to cuphasise that he and his followers regarded themselves as voluntary allies and not as mercenaries of the German Army. He repeated again and again that a clear political program about Eussia's future must be unde public in order to win over the peoples of the Seviet Union and induce them to fight Solshevik despotion side by side with the Germans.

Much evidence was at hand that Tlasor's views were correct and that the existing proportion of strength between the Red Army and the German forces would have changed decisively if his proposals would have been accepted. As it is known, the discentant of the Ukrainian population with the Soviet regime was so great at the beginning of the war that the invading German troops had been avalaised as liberators, the population received them with flowers and food and built triumphal arches for them. The Ukrainians appeared to be sure that the Germans came to liberate them from the Solahavik rule and to abelian the hateful collectivisation. The had army demonstrated a similar striveles hundreds of theusands of its members preferred German captivity to fighting.

The German leadership did not utilize the west possibilities for paychological warfare which had been effered to it. The German behavior dectrayed the confidence and the hopes with which the German treepe had been
received by the metive population. The German leadership let the Russian
prisoners of war die from eterpetion and privations. The system of collectivisation had not been abolished. The treatment of the population after
the introduction of the civil administration had made it evident to everybody that the German Readership had decided to apply colonial methods to
the occupied territories of Russia and the Garcine.

Viagor was well aware of those facts. Novertheless he believed that he would succeed in persuading the German to stop these blunders and to change their natheds.

but Hitler and his handbase had no understanding of such considerations. All the efforts undertaken by judicious military and civil payeons in order to convince Hitler of the necessity to change his policy toward the population of the occupied Russian and Birainian territories were vain. He believed that the Seviet Union could be defeated by military sense alamos he was affaid that any consession he would make would be interpreted as a proof of weakness; he was determined to exploit the compled territories by colonial methods and by using bratel force.

From the first, Eitler harbored a deep district against Vicer. He flatly refused to equal himself to a decision sensering the future fate of the complete territories. He considered the formation of a valuntary army under Vicer's leadership as a potential danger because he was afraid that the volunteers might turn their arms against him in an appropriate moment. The endeavors of informed German politicisms to convince Hitler of Vicer's honesty and utility failed just so as the effects of the military commisers to explain to him that military considerations mesessistated the observance of Vicer's proposals. Recemberg, Minister for the Cocupied Eastern Territories, played a particularly eminous rele because his plan was directed toward a dissemberment of the former Russian Empire and he, therefore, rejected Vicery, considering him as a reprocentative of the aspirations of the great Eucaians.

Viagor himself never made a secret of the fact that he was a great Russian by birth and descent. History had tought him that the leading role among the peoples of the Soviet Union was alletted to the Russians. But, in his epinion, all these peoples had suffered equally under the Soviet regime and, therefore, were bound to stick to the econom cause and conclude a strong milianes in order to overthrow the Bolshevik distringuish. After the fulfilment of that task they would obtain ample apportunity to maintain their rights. He used to describe the macassity of a unified force to fight Bolshevian as follows: "A man can't obvike while his fingers are spart, he has to form a first before striking".

In spite of Mitler's negative attitude, the German Army, in the course of time, secretly accomplished the formation of various metional detachments of volunteers which particularly consisted of Georgians, Turkman, Teture, etc. Although the Manie wire whill continuing their political blumders both in the occupied territories and with respect to the Russian prisoners of unr and workers at home the number of volunteers who enlisted was networkingly great. It most the number of pushably in the millions if those blumders had been avoided.

RECEIVED

Only after the great setbacks the German Army had suffered in Stalingred and later could Mitler be induced to make a slight change in his attitude toward Viacov. For two years Viacov had been put off or fed with empty promises. Eventually, in the full of 1964 the decision was taken to proclaim Vianov officially as the leader of the Russian volunteers and to appoint him as the head of a Matismal Coumittee which had been solemnly inaugurated in Movember 1966 in Prague.

Characteristic of Hitler's mentality was the fact that nabody size but the 68 became entrusted with the political supervision of the so-called "Viasor-action". On the part of the Serman Army, the former German military attacks in Moscow, General Mostring, had been appainted as "General of the voluntary formations".

After so much time had been unclearly wanted, Germany, in the meantime, was definitely decord. The Viscov-action came too late and could beer no fruits whatecover. The planned formation of two voluntary divisions rom aground because of the insufficiencies conditional to the general situation in Germany at that time. Viscov's prestigs was in the decline. His former confidence which he had tried to maintain as long as possible was gone now. He was disappointed, exasperated and frequently mentioned the intention to commit suicids.

A short time before the Russians began their last advance toward Berlin. Viasov and his staff had been transferred to Earland (Caseho-eigvakia) and later on 15 April 1948 to Pussean in Bavaria where he surrendered to the USA forces in May 1948. His further fato is known: after the forman capitulation he was extradited to the Russians, who transferred him to Moscow and hanged him there together with his closest collaborators such as Malyshkia, Freekia, Gilenkov, etc.

The political lessons which can be drawn from the Vlacov-action are the following:

- 1) Vincov was a big asset in German hands; if the Germans would have used him hemsetly and purposefully in skilful psychological warfare the most bemeficial results would have been achieved;
- 2) the war against the Seviet Union could have been wen with the help of the Bussian auti-Communists but not without it;
- 3) the German failure to define the Soviet Union was particularly due to the fact that the German leadership did not possess the faculty to conduct psychological warfare; Germany's military strength was insuffigiont to fulfill that task alone;

RECEIVED

- to win the Russians over, the Germans had to convince them by practical deeds that they were waging the war not against the Russian people but against the Solshevik rulers;
- 5) in order to convince the Russians of the honesty of the Gorman Intentions the Gorman government should have proplained a older progress on the future status of Russia under an adequate consideration of the wishes of the peoples of the Soviet Union with regard to their independence, the restoration of private property and the abelition of collectivisations
- 6) the disappointment which the Russian population experienced in dealing with the Germans had chaken its hope that real help could be expected from the outer world in its struggle against the Solchevik distatorship; nevertheless, the discontent of the peoples of the Soviet Union with their present rulers is so despected and so great that they would support every affort from abroad which would appear to them as fit to free them from the hateful regime. This task, however, can not be schioused by military means alone. Skilful psychological variare in absolutely necessary and must be conducted simultaneously.