

SECRET

ROMA-3914

*4P*

Chief of Mission, Frankfurt  
Attn:    
Chief of Base, Bonn

MAR 22 1954

Operational/LIAISON

INFO: Chief, EE ✓

Conversation with Dr. GLOBKE

*G. Globke*

Attached is Memorandum for the Record detailing a conversation  
with Staatssekretar GLOBKE on 17 March 1954.

MICROFILMED  
MAR 6 1962  
DOC. MICRO. SER.

Enclosure:

Memo for the Record (in duplicate)

18 March 1954

Distribution:

3 - COM, w/encl in duplicate  
3 - EE, " " " "

RECLASSIFIED	
RI/EE	✓
RI/EE	✓
RI/Files	

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2006

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

SUBJECT: Meeting with Staatssekretar Hans Globke

[ ] and [ ] saw Globke by appointment on 17 March 1954, at 1100 hours, in his office at the Schaumburg Palais:

1. Globke was informed that we wished to raise a matter which was not a KUBARK activity but one on which we were acting in a liaison capacity for the High Commissioner. He was informed of the proposed NCPE and RFE Czech election opposition campaign (see Bonn 1572) and the proposal to launch balloons into Czechoslovakia from Bavaria. NCPE had approached the Department of State and requested acquiescence from the High Commissioner and the German authorities. The US High Commissioner would raise no objection and requested a statement of position from the Bundeskanzleramt. Globke advanced the opinion that no amount of propaganda would alter the results foreordained by the system but he stated that the Federal Republic would raise no objections. In order that there would be no interference by police officials he authorized us to deal directly with Ritter von Lex or Ministerialdirektor Egidi in the Interior Ministry. (This information was later passed to Mr. Dowling for HICOG's reply to a Department cable.)

2. Dr. Globke then requested a favor from the Occupation authorities. A German engineer by name of Hermann HUPFENBAUER, who for eight years had been working for the American Occupation Forces, was recently dismissed for political unreliability by the Commanding Officer of the 51st Ordnance Group, APO 154, US Army. HUPFENBAUER complained to his Congressman, Dr. Pfeleiderer who in turn brought the matter to the attention of Dr. Globke for clarification with the Americans. (Lt. Col. Leonard, the G-2 USARKUR liaison officer with this office, will be requested to make a thorough investigation of the case, and the results will then be communicated to Dr. Globke.)

3. Globke was informed that the Allied Directors of Intelligence would discuss the new German Security Regulations (Verschlussachenanweisung) at their March meeting and would request from the Ministry of Interior a statement concerning the adoption of these regulations by various ministries, the dates of entry into force and progress on their implementation. It was pointed out that lack of adequate security was causing a block in EDC planning. Necessary planning data could not be provided, for example, to the Finance and Economic Ministries as long as they did not have a security system that was cleared to handle SPHERIC material. Globke admitted the validity of our pressure for action on the

NOT AVAILABLE COPY

security matter. He pointed out that the new regulations were already going into effect but that the Bundeskanzleramt could exert more pressure if the discussions with the Interior Ministry revealed delays on the part of other ministries.

4. Re blocked Marks in the Bank Deutscher Laender for the [ ] House account, Globke informed us that he had sent a letter to Herr Albrecht of the BDL informing him that the Bundeskanzleramt had no objections to the release of these funds in free marks. Globke could not direct Albrecht to release the funds, but it had previously been established that such a letter would suffice to back up Albrecht in his willingness to accede to our request.

5. A question was put to Globke about the proper point of contact for discussion on the CDU Ost-Buero activities in East Germany. He stated that the Kaiser Ministry was the appropriate point, and suggested that we get in touch with Staatssekretar Thedieck. Asked if Otto Lenz were back in the picture, he stated that he definitely was. The Chancellor had agreed that Lenz would play an important part in CDU and government coalition propaganda activities. This had yet to be confirmed by the Cabinet, but it would be discussed there on Monday, 22 March. Globke suggested that we renew our contact with Lenz. [ ] plans to see Thedieck and Lenz next week.

6. The usual question was brought up about the status of legalisation of ZIPPER. Globke stated that things were proceeding very nicely. Finance Minister Schaeffer has agreed to include the necessary funds in the budget. The details of the budget must be worked out and, in particular, the distribution of funds for somewhat similar activities between ZIPPER, Interior (BfV) and Amt Blank. Schaeffer has, therefore, proposed the formation of a committee to include Bundeskanzleramt (Gumbel), Interior (Schroeder) Defense (Blank) and Minister without Portfolio Franz Joseph Strauss. Adenauer agrees on the committee idea but will not allow the inclusion of Strauss because Strauss is not ex officio involved in this problem, and the admission of one outside member would open the way to demands for yet other representation. Therefore, the committee will be limited to Amt Blank, Interior, and Bundeskanzleramt. Globke stated that integration would be under the Chancellor's office, and that Blank agreed wholeheartedly with this and did not want it under his office. Globke stated that the chart and explanation left by the DDI had been very valuable. They proposed to follow this example in part and establish some type of committee similar perhaps to IAC. This would allow interested agencies to have representation without necessarily getting in the business—for instance, the Foreign Office could make its viewpoints or requirements known without establishing its own intelligence service. They were already having a trial run on the method of procedure that we

AVAILABLE COPY

-3-  
SECRET

used for the production of a National Intelligence Estimate. They were doing a basic analysis and studying a joint position that would be taken with regard to VOSS and his activities in Egypt. The work had been fanned out, i.e., economic aspects to Economics Ministry, diplomatic to Foreign Office, etc. All contributors could comment on all other contributions and the final result would be a thorough going analysis and an agreed position that would be binding on all ministries.

SECRET  
AVAILABLE COPY