HQ COPY

SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSTOR AGOPY BATE 2006

MEMORANDUM FOR: Munich

INFO:

FRAN (Station)

MICROFILMED $_{ t JUN \, 1}^{ t EE \, (Attn: \{1970 \, \})}$ DOC. MICRO. SER.

1. Enclosed are photocopies of Ahren's letter with original attachment (Att. 2), supposed agent report on Bull - Pauls meeting (Att. 7), supposed agent report on Roberts - Bauer meeting (Att. 8) summary presumably prepared by Schellenberg and containing marginal comment believed to be in his handwriting. Also included are following examples of propaganda utilization by Sovs:

Falsifiers of History, Sov Info Bureau, 1948, pp. 55-56, marked FALSIF.

- "The Dirty Work of Allen Dulles", About Those Who are Against Peace, Sov Info Bureau 1957, pp. 114-124, marked ABOUT THOSE.
- c. Extract from Julius Mader's Allens Gangster in Aktion, 1959, pp. 26 H, marked MAD.
- L. Bezymensky and A. Leonidov, "Documents on Allen Dulles's Secret Negotiations with the Nazis in 1943", New Times, Moscow, No. 27, 30 June 1960, marked New Times
- e. Extract from U.S. booklet by Lift and Marzani, Cuba vs. the CIA, 1961, pp. 11-14, marked L & M.
- 2. Marliese SCHIENKE was born in Goeritz on 12 December 1909. She has German citizenship. She has been living in the 14th Bezirk of Vienna since 11 August (or possibly March) 1959, having come here from Berlin. The address is Einwanggasse 27/16, where she is a sub-tenant (Untermieterin) bei Elisabeth KOBES. The telephone number of KOBES is 82 30 283. SCHIENKE frequently travels to Berlin (presumably West Berlin) on behalf of her job with the Austrian department store, firm GÉRNGROSS, which has apparently become a subsidiary of the German firm HERTIE.
- Undersigned called on her at 0800 on 1 May. She was very unwilling to get mixed up in anything arising from her past, even though the letter from Dr. SCHMITZ reassured her slightly. allowed herself to be persuaded, though reluctantly, to discuss the matter with L Lat his hotel shortly after 0900. (She knows by last TN.) Instead she called and said that upon reconsideration she wanted nothing to do with it. After further expostulation she asked for time to think it over in her office at Gerngross and proposed meeting or calling Bunce ca. 2000 hours on 1 May. She suggested that she might bring to a meeting a male friend who did not know her past, but dropped the idea when it was pointed out that a discussion about SCHELLENBERG and the RSHA would be

602 WH 1 to EGMA-5784

308 \$ 69-917/22 B.x: 10 Polar / 8

hampered by his presence. She said that she had been approached twice previously, in Vienna, by strangers, and that both times embarrassing newspaper articles had resulted from these interviews. She also said that she would bemuch readier to discuss the subject in Berlin than in Vienna. In view of the undersigned's time schedule, calling for departure from Vienna airport at 0800 2 May, this memorandum has been written before the 2000 phone call or visit. If she still proves adamant, the undersigned will underline the point, made in SCHMITZ' letter, that the inquiry is official and has official German as well as U.S. support. As noted above, she still has German citizenship. If these tactics fail, she will be asked to set a time and place for a Berlin meeting with Dr. SCHMITZ or someone appearing with a letter from him. If she provides, will cable data from Rome.

- 4. Although her whereabouts were determined by the Austrian police, she was told that the information came from the Einwohner-meldeamt. It is suggested that UPHILL be told the same story.
- 5. The following information is outlined for the benefit of UPHILL:

diameter (

ricitricad d

a.

under true name, debriefed Dr. SCHUEDDEKOPF in Braunschweig during the morning and early afternoon of 14 April 1962. The Hannover representative of UPHILL, @ BREUER (whose true particulars have been provided to MOG) set up the meeting but was not present except at its close at 1500 hours. SCHUEDDEKOPF identified the handwriting on p. 1, lines 7 and 10, Att. 6, as his own, and believed that he might have written the marginal comment on p. 5. (He could not guess who might have written the marginal comment on p. 8.) He said that he could not remember any of the attachments, including 2. He theorized that 6, 7, and 8 were passed to him directly by SCHELLENBERG or, more probably, through Dr. PAEFGEN, SCHUEDDEKOPF's superior (in other words, PAEFGEN was then - April 1943 - chief of RSHA Amt VI D). SCHUEDDEKOPF's explanation for his failure to recall the documents was that he had been called into the SD in mid-1942 (having served previously on the eastern front), had had no military rank, had been concerned only with British and not with U.S. affairs, and had had practically no social contact with other SD personnel. Compartmentation was strict in the SD, he said, not only for security reasons but also because of interdepartmental jealousy. He surmised that Dr. PAEFGEN must have told him that BULL was Mr. Dulles and that ROBERTS was Taylor or Tyler. He farther surmised that SCHELLENBERG had buck-slipped Atts 6, 7, and 8 to VI D, that they had to be returned, and that therefore he (SCHUEDDEKOPF) had had the documents copied -hence the "Abschrift" on Atts 6 and 7. When the marginal comment on p. 8 of Att. 6 was again drawn to his attention, he said that Atts 6 and 8 must be copies of what he received from SCHELLENBERG and that only Att. 7 was an attachment made in his own office. SCHUEDDEKOPF recalled that SCHELLENBERG had sometimes complained of SCHUEDDEKOPF's sober or dry literary style and that SCHELLENBERG himself tended to be more literary or flowery. Therefore he (SCHUEDDEKOPF) considered it thoroughly likely that SCHELLENBERG had himself written Atts 6, 7, and 8, see especially 6. He theorized that SCHELLENBERG would have done so in order to persuade HIMMLER

that it would serve the purposes of the Third Reich to maintain and intensify contact with Mr. Dulles. When asked to furnish leads, SCHUEDEEKOPF mentioned Dr. Max PAEFGEN, his former secretary T.H. (whose name he professed to be unable to recall); Heinrich AHRENS, and Hans DAUFELDT.

b. @ BREUER then drove — to Bielefeld, where on the same day, the 14th, a strained session with AHRENS was held. Because @ BREUER did not have an UPHILL accreditation (it had expired and he was waiting for a new one), both AHRENS and wife (who attended the meeting by obvious previous arrangement with her husband) were highly suspicious and resistant. AHRENS claimed that he could not remember VM 144/7957, BULL, PAULS, ALFONSO, ROBERTS, BAUER, or much of anything else. He launched into a bitter explanation of his physical mistreatment by the Dutch after the war. After he had warmed up a little, he said that his signature on Att. 2 was authentic and that he believed that SCHELLENBERG had written Att. 6. He thought the marginal comment on p. 8 was in SCHELLENBERG's handwriting. As leads he suggested Dr. SCHMITZ (could not recall first name), Dr. Eugen STEIMLE (former chief of Amt VI B) and the two secretaries of SCHELLENBERG, whose names he also claimed to have forgotten. AHRENS knows @ BREUER as BREUER and the undersigned as — He had previously met @ PETERSEN because of the UJDROWSY case. @ BREUER was present throughout the interview.

Canara Canara Canara

NEC MARKET

HX TOO TOO

THE P

- c. On 24 April 1962 UPHILL brought Dr. STEIMLE from Stuttgart for a meeting in Munich with [] @ PETERSEN was present throughtout the meeting but not at the lunch that followed. Of Att. 2 STEIMLE said that he would have stopped AHRENS! inquiry if he had seen it because VI B had five or six leads # into Dulles and didn't need to have him looked up in Who's Who. STEIMLE felt that the man who would know the most about Att. 2 and VM 144/7957 is Dr. Klaus HUEGL (or HUEGEL), former VI B 3 chief, who wasin Algiers at the time of our talk but who would be finding new living quarters in Stuttgart during the first week of May. As for the penetrations, he said that they were all "special connections" except fnu GROSSMANN, alias LUDWIG, an agent directed by SCHELLENBEI STEIMLE said that GROSSMANN was arrested by the Gestapo in mid-1944 as a Soviet spy. @ PETERSEN knows the details. GROSSMANN supported the son or nephew of Dr. Joseph WIRTH with RSHA funds during the war and posed as his private benefactor, thus obtaining WIRTH's gratitude. Asked for further identities of penetrations, STEIMLE listed a fnu FRANK, then of Stuttgart, an industrialist; ITA, who was run out of Vienna, and DAUFELDT. When pressed further, he said that HUEGL would know the details. He too surmised that SCHELLENBERG was the probable author of Att. 6 and possibly of Atts 7 and 8. He did not believe that SCHELLENBERG had prepared these papers, or caused them to be prepared, for passage to the Soviets, but only to HIMMLER. STEIMLE knows a st
- d. On 25 April C = met Dr. Hans SCHMITZ, who had been aide-de-camp to SCHELLENBERG. SCHMITZ was brought by UPHILL from Hannover to Munich for the meeting, which was attended in its entirety by @ PETERSEN. No recapitulation is considered necessary.

了是世界

SCHMITZ produced leads to Marliese SCHIENKE, former p head secretary to SCHELLENBERG; Hans Wilhelm EGGEN, a SCHELLENBERG agent who had direct contact with Mr. Dulles; Crystel ERDMANN, SCHELLENBERG's No. 2 girl; and previously interviewed. He confirmed that Reinhard SPITZI was Amt VI - in fact, that he was a staff member directed by SCHELLENBERG. He felt fairly sure that the marginal noteon p.8, Att. 6, was in SCHELLENBERG's handwriting and that SCHELLENBERG had probably written or dictated Att. 6,

- 6. The meetings with Hans DAUFELDT will be reported separatel So far as is known, UPHILL is not aware that these meetings occurred and should not, & of course, be informed. MOG has been given the particulars, including the case of the dead but resurrect brother.
- 7. It is requested that @ PETERSEN follow up on these leads, listed in the order of value:
 - a. Marliese SCHIENKE, if she won't talk to (Vien note: Presumably three Schmitz)
 - EGGEN, if he will talk to PETERSEN.
 - HUEGL. c.
 - d. PAEFGEN.
 - ERDMANN. e.
 - Finally, Max Egon HOHENLOHE, if he come to Bonn.

P.S. On night of 1 May I finally persuaded Frl. SCHIENKE to meet me and read the basic documents. She is sure, or almost sure, that she knows who PAULS is, but she is not willing to tell me because he is still alive. She is willing, however, to send a letter to Dr. SCHMITZ and tell him who PAULS (and BAUER) are, in her opinion, and to let him do as he will with this information. She may went and to let him do as he will with this information. She may want to look at the photocopies again, as could easily be arranged. When she goes to West Berlin, she goes to the home of her mother, and Dr. SCHMITZ knows this address.

She said that she believes that the marginal comment, Att. 6, p. 8, is in SCHELLENBERG's hand. She did not type any of the attachments herself.

MERCHAN TO A COMMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

Same on the Property me 1996年1月1日日本本本語 63 g

Sold:

11.2:

CONTRACT. Manual Co. RESERVE OF CO STATE OF THE STATE

COMPERSON