26516

9 June 1948

NEMORATION FOR THE PRESIDENT

The unification by the US, the UK, and France of their sense of Germany under a provisional government and the internationalisation of the Ruhr under the control of the western powers presumbly will be interpreted by the Kremlin as potential barriers to the basic Soviet objective of preventing the economic recovery of European countries outside the Seviet sphere.

As yet no conclusive evidence has come to light that the Kremlin believes the reorganization or unification of the western mones can be successfully accomplished or will materially assist the European recovery program. In view of the complexities inherent in the establish ment of a provisional government under the London agreements, the USER is likely to delay any counter moves until the Frenlin is convinced that the western German organization is becoming a threat to Seviet fereign policy. In determining its course, the USSR will take careful note of: (1) the difficulties to be overcome by the US, the UK, and France in furnishing the new regime with proper political guidance and adequate and timely economic assistance; and (2) the extent of German cooperation or non-cooperation, particularly in the Buhr.

The Kremlin's immediate reaction to the trisonal merger, therefore, will probably be an intensification of present Soviet activities in Cornery father than an abrupt change in either attitude or course of action. The USSR may be expected to continue its hindrense of vestern powers in Berlin and elsewhere in Germany by means short of military force. It will further consolidate Communist control of the eastern sene in order to obtain a "loyal" and "democratic" area, which can eventually be declared a "free German" state or used to Sovietise a unified Germany. The USER may be expected also to step up its propagnada efforts to discredit the western povers in German eyes as the disrupters and despellers of Germany and to depict the Soviet Union as the champion of a unified Cornery.

If the trisonal merger appears successful and premises to rehabilitate western Germany as well as contribute to the Enropean recovery program, the Kremlin will probably be impelled to alter its present testies. Emplusive of a resort to military force, the Kremlin cam legically pursue one of two courses: (1) optimistly abandon its recalcitrant attitude and make an attractive offer to form a unified German Government under quadripartitite control (in order to slew the progress of German recovery); or (2) retaliate by establishing an eastern German state.

Vaul Com to the Killy 1. Called & I to 10. 9 (4 TOP SECRET



The Kremlin will probably resort to the course outlined in (1) and make a vigorous effort to persuade the vestern powers that the USER is sincere in its premises of cooperation. The Seviet Union is likely to make a serious endeavor to join its zone to the vestern sones under a single government, unless wentern terms for Seviet pertisipation in a new quadripartite structure are prohibitive. If the Kremlin combindes that it cannot make the concessions demanded by the vestern powers, the USER will likely adopt course (2) and announce the establishment of a new state in eastern Germany with propaganda pretensions of being the only legally-constituted German Republic and the representative government of all Cermans.

R. H. HILLEMKOFFER

Rear Admiral, USH

Director of Central Intelligence



ESTIMATE OF SOVIET MEACTION IN GERMANY TO UNIFICATION OF THE THREE WESTERN ZOMES

AFERNDICES A & B


A - Discussion of possible Seviet course (1)

Any suggestion by the USSR that it join the western powers in the quadripartite control of a unified German governnent would be made with the full realization on both sides that past Soviet obduracy, chiefly in the natter of economic unification, had exhausted the patience of the US, the UK, and France. and had led directly to the present tripartite action. The USSR will realize, too, that the western powers would be extremely reluctant to abandon their program for the western somes either to please the Soviet Union or to take part again in fruitless discussions in an impotent Allied Control Council or elecuhere. It is probable, therefore, that any Soviet everture would be carefully worded to give the impression that the USER had abandoned its previous intransigent attitude, and sincerely believed its own propaganda for German unity. The everture would seriously urge the western powers to consider an everall political and ecenomic unification of Germiny under a German government with a minimum of overt occupation power control.

Because the primary Soviet purpose in making such a suggestion would be to delay German and hence western European recovery by discussions and other typical Soviet delaying tactics, the USER would be prepared to offer important tactical concessions in the form of the German administration to be established. Under almost any circumstances, the USER could be sure that the merger of the Soviet Zone in any form of a unified Germany would assure the existence of a Communistracentrelled bloc which could be relied upon to delay and block economic recovery throughout the country. Under these conditions, the USER might also offer, largely for German demestic consumption, to reduce or drop some Soviet reparations claims, or even possibly to consider substantial reductions in the occupation forces after a "democratic" state had been firmly established.

If the vestern powers should permit the USSR to join in a quadripartite zonal werger vithout first having absolute and therefore practically impossible clarification of Soviet intentions, the USSR, either directly or through its German representatives, would work actively to defeat vestern plane





1W-3

by such actions as: (1) demanding a Seviet veice in the centrel of the Ruhr; (2) preposing that all political erganizations act now permitted in various areas be recognised on a quadripartite basis; (3) urging similar recognition of the Communist-dominated Free German Trade Union League in order to facilitate future Seviet control of a unified labor movement, particularly in the Ruhr; and (4) supporting rightiet as well as leftist political elements in the west in order to add strength to the Soviet-centrelled bloc.

3 - Disquesion of possible Soviet source (2)

The present high degree of Soviet control ever the eastern some of Germany would greatly facilitate the conversion of that aren into a Satellite state, if the Kremlin decides to retaliate by setting up a provisional government in eastern Germany. No serious opposition could arise within the some to the appointment, under the pretense of popular elections, of Soviet candidates to the leading positions in the new state. The creation of such a state, however, would give the USSR no immediate benefits beyond those now received from the same area. Long-range benefits would be dependent upon the acceptance by exportunistic Germans of transparent Soylet propaganda designed to depict the Soylet-eponsored state as a restoration of the Heich. The USSR would attempt to undermine the tripartite German state by urging the western Germans to rejoin the Reich. Except for the questionable value of such propaganda, the USSE would have at its disposal only strikes and sabotage with which to interfere with the economic and political recovery of vestern Germany.

A Satellite state, in short, would promise the USSR neither additional economic nor political benefits of any magnitude, and would fall short of the immediate objective of blocking the western power program. Such a state would guarantee continued somal autonomy and allow the western powers to continue their independent course without serious Soviet interference.

