			<u></u>
PAGE 00	DEC 94		
 	d		
			(b) (1)
			(b) (1) (b) (3) (S)
FATRLY WELL (OUTLINE THE BAC	KGROUND ON	

THE MICHAEL ((@DEVINE)) GAND EFRAIN ((@BAMACA)) GVELASQUEZ CASES; THE TWO MOST CELEBRATED HUMAN RIGHTS CASES AT PLAY IN GUATEMALA TODAY. ANOTHER HUMAN RIGHTS CASE OF NOTE INVOLVES THE 1990 KILLING OF HUMAN RIGHTS ACTIVIST MYRNA ((@MACK)). GMORE RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THIS CASE INCLUDE THE SUPREME COURT'S CONFIRMATION OF THE TWENTY-FIVE YEAR SENTENCE GIVEN TO THE ASSASSIN, A FORMER GOVERNMENT SECURITY OFFICIAL. THE COURT ALSO LEFT LEGAL PROCEEDINGS OPEN AGAINST SENIOR MILITARY OFFICERS WHO ARE LARGELY VIEWED AS THE INTELLECTUAL AUTHORS OF THE MURDER.

THE THRUST OF CURRENT USG EFFORTS IN GUATEMALA IS TO PRESSURE BOTH ARMY AND GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS TO PURSUE IN GOOD FAITH THE RESOLUTION OF PENDING HUMAN RIGHTS CASES SUCH AS DEVINE AND BAMACA. PRESIDENT ((aDE LEON)) MAND GUATEMALAN MILITARY OFFICIALS HAVE TAKEN DELIBERATE STEPS TO EFFECT REFORM WITHIN RESPECTIVE INSTITUTIONS IN EFFORT TO MINIMIZE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND END IRREGULAR RACTICES SANCTIONED IN THE PAST. JSTATEMENT REGARDING "CREDIBLE ALLEGATIONS OF HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES ON BEHALF OF THE MILITARY" MOST LIKELY REFERS TO - (1) THE POLICY OF FORCED RECRUITMENT BY THE MILITARY, (2) EXTRA-JUDICIAL ACTIVITIES OF THE MILITARY-SUPPORTED CIVIL DEFENSE PATROLS (PACS). THESE TWO ISSUES HAVE BEEN THE FOCUS OF MUCH PUBLIC DEBATE. PRESENTLY, PROGRAMS OF FORCED RECRUITMENT ARE NO LONGER ACTIVE. HOWEVER, PAC ACTIVITIES HAVE YET TO BE FULLY CONTROLLED DUE TO THEIR UTILITY TO THE

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: SEP 2003

Z-138 R 158-1

SECRET

OUTLYING AREAS WHERE THERE IS VERY LITTLE OR NO MILITARY PRESENCE. THE THIRTY PLUS YEAR INSURGENCY CONTINUES WHEREBY BOTH GUATEMALAN NATIONAL REVOLUTIONARY UNION (URNG) INSURGENTS AND GUATEMALAN ARMY PERSONNEL SUFFER CASUALTIES ON AN ALMOST WEEKLY BASIS. AS A RESULT, PRESSURE FROM HARDLINE ARMY PERSONNEL WHO OPPOSE URNG AND INTERNATIONAL DEMANDS AT THE ONGOING PEACE NEGOTIATIONS LEND SUPPORT TO THE ARMY LEADERSHIP'S POSITION OF NOT REVEALING POSSIBLY DAMAGING DETAILS OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS CASES IN QUESTION, WHICH IN TURN WOULD AID THE URNG AIM TO DISCREDIT THE ARMY. AT THE SAME TIME, THE MILITARY LEADERSHIP REALIZES IT MUST CONTINUE REFORM EFFORTS, COME TO TERMS WITH THE URNG AND INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY, AND MORE EFFECTIVELY TURN FOCUS TOWARDS CREATING A POST-INSURGENCY GUATEMALAN ARMY. THE URNG LEADERSHIP BASED IN MEXICO HAS BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL IN CONVEYING THEIR POSITION TO THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY AND USING THE HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUE AS A NEGOTIATIONS PLOY. AS OF LATE HOWEVER, UNITED NATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL OFFICIALS HAVE CRITICIZED THE URNG LEADERSHIP FOR REBUFFING RECENT GOOD FAITH EFFORTS ON THE PART OF THE COVERNMENT PEACE COMMISSION. IN SUM, HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES HAVE BEEN AND CONTINUE TO BE COMMITTED BY BOTH THE GUATEMALAN SECURITY FORCES AND URNG GROUPS. IN THE CURRENT POLITICALLY CHARGED ENVIRONMENT, THE ARMY WILL, IN ALL PROBABILITY, NEVER ALLOW FULL DISCLOSURE OF SELECTED HUMAN RIGHTS CASES. IN THEIR EYES, THIS WOULD INEVITABLY LEAD TO ALL OUT INTERNATIONAL CRITICISM OF THE INSTITUTION AND EVENTUALLY, ITS DEMISE. STATION WELCOMES FURTHER HQS CLARIFICATION ON THESE TOPICS.

END OF MESSAGE

GUATEMALAN ARMY IN COMBATING ANTI-GOVERNMENT FORCES IN