

FROM:

CABLE

**ACTION**

~~SECRET~~

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM RECORD SHEET  
RELEASE AS SANITIZED

Accession No. \_\_\_\_\_

Date Received SA \_\_\_\_\_

To	Room No.	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS
		Received	Forwarded		
1. <b>ESTERLINE</b>					<b>RYBAT/PBSUCCESS</b>
[ ]		24 Feb. 1954	[ ]		2 Check out with [ ]
3.					] checked w/ Bill
4.					[ ] [ ]
5.					assistant who said Bill was studying the matter and didn't think any action was necessary on his part. 24 Feb 54
6.					
7.					
8. [ ]					
9.					
10. Cable desk					

Each comment should be numbered to correspond with number in *To* column.

A line should be drawn across sheet under each comment.

Officer designations should be used in *To* column.

Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing.

Action desired or action taken should be indicated in *Comments* column.

Routing sheet should always be returned to registry.

For officer designations see separate sheet.

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM  
RELEASE AS SANITIZED

~~SECRET~~

2003

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

ROUTING

DATE : 20 FEB 54

~~SECRET~~

1		4	
2		6	
3		6	

TO : DIRECTOR  
 FROM : LINCOLN  
 ACTION: WH (1-2)  
 INFO : SO (3)

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM  
 RELEASE IN FULL

2003

LINC 629 (IN 201) (3)

0451Z 20 FEB 54

ROUTINE  
PRECEDENCE

TO: DIR

CITE: SLING

REF: UNDATED MEMO FROM ODENVY RE SITE B, REC 17 FEB 54

PBSUCCESS RYBAT SECUR

CONNIFF TO [ ]

1. LINES OF 1 PAGE 27 LINE MEMO FROM ODENVY HAVE BEEN NUMBERED FOR REF PURPOSES. THE FOLLOWING OBSERVATIONS ARE MADE:

A. LINE 3 INDICATES PERSON OF KNOWN RELIABILITY WHICH WOULD SUGGEST PERSON OF OFFICIAL STATURE, LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OR GOVT EMPLOYEE. THIS TYPE RELIABILITY NOT GIVEN BY ODENVY TO MAIDS OR NEIGHBOR TYPE OF INFORMANT.

B. LINE 7 REFLECTS SITE B RENTED TO MAN, WIFE AND SECRETARY, AND WIFE NEVER APPEARED. HAVE DETERMINED LOCALLY THAT

[ ] CONTRARY TO COVER ARRANGEMENTS ADVISED THE MAID THAT WIFE WAS EXPECTED. LINE 7 ALSO STATES WIFE NEVER APPEARED. COVER ARRANGEMENT WITH [ ] WAS FOR MAN AND SECRETARY TO OCCUPY HOUSE WITH POSSIBLE BUSINESS ASSOCIATES. THE OSTENSIBLE EMPLOYER AND RENTER OF SITE B WAS [ ]. STATEMENT FAILS TO MENTION [ ] AND FAILS MENTION THAT THE MAN NEVER ARRIVED.

~~SECRET~~

IT IS FORBIDDEN TO MAKE A COPY OF THIS MESSAGE

Copy No.

~~SECRET~~

20 FEB 54

LINC 629 (IN 20443)

PAGE -2-

C. LINE 8 INDICATES MR [ ] STAYS AT THE HOUSE. [ ] REMAINED SITE B DURING VISIT RUFUS ONLY, PERIOD [ ] TO EVENING [ ] NO MENTION MADE OF SECOND LATIN OCCUPYING PREMISES WHICH INDICATES INFO MAY PREDATE RUFUS ARRIVAL AS RAMON DID VISIT HOUSE ON ONE PRIOR OCCASION.

D. LINES 8 AND 9 INDICATE A MR. FORD COMES AND GOES AT ODD HOURS. MAID WOULD NOT HAVE THIS INFO AS SHE WAS OFF DUTY EVENINGS.

E. LINES 9 AND 10 INDICATE RADIO OPERATOR KNOWN AS CHUCK VISITS IN THE EVENING. MAID WOULD HAVE KNOWN THAT [ ] ACTUALLY RESIDED ON PREMISES. [ ] RESIDENCE DECIDED AFTER [ ] DEPARTED.

F. LINE 10 INDICATES [ ] SPENT MUCH OF HER TIME AT THE HOUSE. ACTUALLY SHE RESIDED AT HOUSE FROM 4 JAN TILL DEPARTURE 20 JAN.

G. LINES 12 AND 13 INDICATE EQUIPMENT CONNECTED GUEST HOUSE. REASONABLY SURE MAID NOT AWARE THIS CONNECTION, NOR NEIGHBORS, HOWEVER INFO WAS KNOWN BY [ ]

H. LINES 16 THRU 21 STATE 4 OTHER PERSONS, OUTSIDERS, SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITIES AND HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF EQUIPMENT. KNOWLEDGABILITY OF EQUIPMENT BY OUTSIDERS NOT CONSIDERED ANY COMPROMISE AS IS EXPLAINED IN COVER STORY AND UNDERSTOOD BY OWNER AND RENTAL AGENT. MEMO IN THIS REGARD NOT CLEAR BUT ASSUME THAT

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

20 FEB 54

LING 629 (IN 20443)

PAGE -3-

SOURCE OF ODENVYS INFO HAS STATED 4 OUTSIDERS AWARE. DOUBT IF ODENVY RECEIVED REPORTS FROM 4 OTHER OUTSIDERS.

2. ON 18 FEB 54, LOCAL ODENVY REQUESTED FOR ANY INFO CONCERNING SITE B THAT MIGHT HAVE COME TO THEIR ATTENTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARRANGEMENTS PREVIOUSLY SET UP FOR SUCH COORDINATION BETWEEN KUBARK AND ODENVY. LOCAL CHIEF, ODENVY ADVISED INFO CONTAINED REF REPORT DID NOT, EMANATE FROM HIS AREA. INFERENCE GAINED HE WAS AWARE OF REPORT BUT INFORMANT LOCATED HQ AREA.

3. IF INFO EMANATED FROM HQ AREA AND NOT LOCALLY, SUGGEST POSSIBILITY ORIGINATED FROM [ ] FOR FOLLOWING REASONS:

A. [ ] KNOWN TO ONLY [ ] AND MAID AS CHUCK.

B. [ ] WOULD HAVE USED DESCRIPTIVE TECHNICAL TERM "RADIO OPERATOR".

C. [ ] POSSIBLY WITH ODENVY CONTACTS COULD BE LABELLED INFORMANT OF KNOWN RELIABILITY.

D. [ ] ESTABLISHED RAPPORT WITH REAL ESTATE FIRM AND OWNER AND COULD STATE THAT OUTSIDERS AWARE OF ACTIVITY.

E. [ ] EXTREMELY APPREHENSIVE ABOUT COVER ARRANGEMENTS DURING RESIDENCE AND HAD ATTITUDE COVER NOT FEASIBLE.

4. IF MAID FURNISHED INFO IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE ACCURATE AS TO DETAILS AS NOTED PARA 1.

A. MAID WOULD HAVE KNOWN RAMON TEMPORARY RESIDENT OF HOUSE.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

20 FEB 54

LINC 629 (IN 20443)

PAGE -4-

B. MAID WOULD HAVE KNOWN [ ] RESIDED ON PREMISES.

C. MAID WOULD NOT HAVE KNOWN ROBERTO FORD VISITED  
AT ODD HOURS.

5. CAREFUL ANALYSIS FAILS TO REFLECT SERIOUS COMPROMISE  
BUT SOURCE OF INFO VITALLY IMPORTANT FROM SECURITY STANDPOINT.

6. UNDATED NATURE OF REPORT HANDICAPS ACCURATE ANALYSIS  
HOWEVER INFO AS SET FORTH WOULD INDICATE DATA FURNISHED  
BY INFORMANT PRIOR ARRIVAL RUFUS ON [ ], OTHERWISE MENTION  
OF HIS PRESENCE WOULD HAVE BEEN MADE. CAN CONCLUDE INFO EITHER  
ORIGINATED FROM [ ] OR, DESPITE ODENVY DENIAL, FROM PERSONS  
LOCALLY OTHER THAN MAID.

7. REQUEST KUSODA USE EVERY MEANS TO DETERMINE IDEN IN-  
FORMANT ON BASIS THAT INFO OF VITAL CONCERN TO THIS SENSITIVE  
PROJECT. THIS MATTER URGENT AS FURTHER UTILIZATION SITE B  
NOT CONTEMPLATED UNTIL MATTER CLARIFIED.

END OF MESSAGE

~~SECRET~~