



APPROVED FOR RELEASE  
DATE: MAR 2002




Copy 6

17 March 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Plans)




SUBJECT : SAC U-2 Photographic Mission on the Northern  
and Eastern Periphery of the 




EO 12958 3.4(b)(1)>25Yrs  
(S)

1. As I reported to you this morning, AFGIM (Colonel Kemp) has inquired for my views regarding the handling of photography which it is the intention of SAC to obtain through peripheral U-2 flights (20 miles from shore) off the  in the near future. He was particularly concerned about the intended classification of such photography as SECRET and what it might do to the TALENT system.

EO 12958 3.4(b)(1)>25Yrs  
(S)

2. On inquiry it was learned that a proposal for such peripheral flights was coordinated with the Project in mid-December and again within the last couple of weeks. Admittedly it is not AFGIM's responsibility to conduct such coordination so Kemp is only reporting what he has been told.

3. This afternoon Messrs. Lloyd, Massa, Lundahl, Thomas, Tidwell, Roth, and I have examined this question both in terms of the possible impact of these proposed flights (an alleged total of six) on  as well as on the TALENT security system which is designed to protect  Our view is as follows: We foresee that through exposure of the activity at  the possible tracking by the Soviets, a possible incident in flight, a possible landing of the craft on Soviet territory in the face of emergency, in the processing of the film

 -- in developments pertaining to any one or all of these, the real possibility of circumstances which could jeopardize future  efforts at major highest priority targets. Perhaps of equal importance is the shadow which would be cast upon our historic  activity and the cover which has seemingly been adequate to permit continuing activity.

EO 12958 3.4(b)(1)>25Yrs  
(S)

4. The intricacies of the points alluded to above are such that we are unable this afternoon to completely perform necessary analysis and



~~TOP SECRET~~

EO 12958 3.4(b)(1)-25Yrs  
(S)


2



to weigh each element and its threat precisely. At most, the burden of our comment is to ask the question: What's the hurry? And to strongly express our view that the hurry cannot be justified in terms of the targets that are the object of these flights since within the area outlined there are no highest priority requirements of the ARC (a tabulation which includes the interests of the Air Force as well as the community).


5. Recommendation: That high level representation be registered as appropriate to cause the projected SAC flights to be postponed pending a careful and thorough examination of the effects of such flights on this Government's aerial reconnaissance directed against the Soviets.

EO 12958 6.1(c)-25Yrs



JAMES C. KEBLER  
Chief, Special Requirements Staff  
DPD-DD/P

cc:



EO 12958 3.4(b)(1)-25Yrs  
(S)

EO 12958 6.1(c)-25Yrs

