Top Secret

SPECIAL ANALYSIS

POLAND: Implications of Labor Crisis

The current labor crisis has demonstrated that the Polish esime is bankrupt, practically powerless, and must rely on outside forces, primarily the Church and the USSR, for its survival. Even if the strikers in Glansk should return to work soon, Poland will remain in a very unsettled condition for some time to come.

The regime clearly is not in control of its own fate, and time is not on its side. Although the economic costs of the strikes obviously continue to mount, the greater danger is that a lengthy impasse in Gdansk could result in the entire population demonstrating its distrust of the Polish Communist Party. The strikes—and the unprecedented candor of the domestic media in reporting the situation—have probably already eroded the reliability of the police and other security forces. The regime may no longer be confident of its ability to use force.

The government's current tack is to raise more dramatically than ever before the dangers of national rebellion and the prospect of Soviet intervention in order
to increase the pressure on the strikers and to scare
the Church into coming to its aid. This tactic apparently
has worked--at least with regard to the Church--but its
use demonstrates the few options open to the regime.

Besides continuing its current tactics, the regime can buy time with new promises, offer up party chief Gierek as a scapegoat, or, in the extreme, risk the use of force.

The Church

Using increasingly specific language, the Church under Cardinal Wyszynski has made it clear it believes the current crisis has placed the "fate of Poland" in jeopardy. The Polish Primate has no great love for Poland's Communist system or rulers, but he believes the

---continued

Approved for Release

Top Secret

28 August 1980

-Top Secret

Church is the carrier of the Polish national spirit, and he will do whatever he can to prevent the ultimate national tragedy, a Soviet military occupation of Poland.

The effect of the Church's statements on the willingness of strike leaders to compromise is unclear, but
strike leader Lech Walesa has indicated he understands
the intended message. At the very least, the Church's
actions and statements will raise serious questions of
conscience for the striking workers.

The Church will undoubtedly become even more directly involved f it perceives a worsening of the situation. Through transport and the activity of local priests, the Church could try to prevent the spread of strikes. Cardinal Wyszynski could lay his prestige even more directly on the line by going personally to Gdansk. Finally, Pope John Paul II could make a more direct appeal.

Such Church activity and appeals could be most effective in the situation where regime negotiators and strike leaders had agreed to compromise solutions and needed help selling them to some of the more militant workers.

The Workers' View

The key variable with regard to a peaceful settlement remains the willingness of strikers to compromise. Although some strike leaders publicly are unrelenting, there are signs that they are prepared to give some ground. Their agreement to separate study of the free trade union issue outside the kleig lights of the formal negotiations enhances the chances of frank talks and possible compromise.

This move may be in response to pressures building on the strike leaders.

More pressure may be necessary, however, to bring the militant strike leaders around to the view that the

--continued

*Top Secret

Top Secret

dangers inherent in the situation have come to outweigh the gains they seek. They clearly are less prepared than in the past to be bought off with promises. Past unfulfilled promises have engendered a cynicism and suspicion that will cause negotiations to drag out. At a minimum, the strikers appear to feel no sense of urgency about moving to reach a quick settlement.

A number of different events might make the strikers more willing to give ground, but the most effective pressure would almost certainly be tough talk and sabrerattling from Moscow.

After Gdansk

Resolution of the trade union issue in Gdansk could be only a first step. There are other political demands that could become sticking points, although strikers now seem less adamant on these issues. Negotiations in Szczecin and elsewhere in the country are going on independent of the talks in Gdansk and could become a new focal point of nationwide attention. Strikes centered on purely economic demands will continue to leapfrog across Poland, encouraged in part by the nature of the settlements struck on the Baltic coast.

Tensions within factories will remain high as suspicious workers wait for the regime to deliver promises made under duress. The regime's instinctive reaction will be to delay implementing concessions and to dilute their significance. The workers' more militant mood and newly discovered sense of solidarity probably will make them intolerant of such tactics.

The Soviets

The Soviet leadership is continuing for the present to maintain a public distance from the Polish crisis, and has sought to dampen speculation about Soviet intervention. Hoping that the Polish Communist Party can resolve the strikes on its own, Moscow appears willing to allow Gierek's new, more conciliatory approach time to produce results.

--continued

The Soviets have at the same time, however, shown their anxiety over the concessions Gierek is offering by such measures as jamming Western broadcasts, selective editing of Gierek's remarks, and by repeating his statements that changes affecting the basis of the socialist system will not be tolerated.

If the strikes could be ended solely with economic concessions, the Soviets would almost certainly provide Warsaw with some economic aid, as they did in 1970 and 1976, to enable it to weather the short-term effects of the strikes and wage increases. Moscow's willingness, however, to provide the long-term economic aid Poland requires is questionable. The Soviets have their own economic problems, have long begrudged what they see as their subsidy of Poland's standard of living--which is higher than their own--and would worry about additional demands from elsewhere in Eastern Europe.

Circumstances could compel the Soviets to go along with some concessions in the political area--such as some loosening of party control--with the hope that these could be tightened once again when the crisis has past. The Soviets could not, however, tolerate genuinely independent trade unions or the abolition of censorship. This would "strike at the foundations" of socialism, which they have declared to be unacceptable.

Should current negotiations fail to bring appreciable progress over the next few weeks, or if the situation should continue to deteriorate, Moscow would be likely to apply political pressure on Gierek to adopt a tougher line toward the strikers. Moscow could couple this with open warnings of Soviet intervention—and perhaps demonstrative military moves around Poland—in an effort to impress the strikers and the Church with the gravity of the crisis. If these measures failed, Moscow might urge that Gierek be replaced.

Another choice would be to advise the Polish party to use force. If Polish force did not resolve the problem, the Soviets would consider the possibility of military intervention. The ramifications of such a move on Eastern Europe as a whole and on Moscow's relations with the West would make the Soviets anxious to exhaust all other possibilities first. If they concluded that the Communist system in Poland was in danger of collapsing, however, they would accept the enormous costs that their military intervention would bring.