

~~SECRET~~

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM
RELEASE AS SANITIZED
1996

OUTGOING CABLE

Routing _____

Files _____

TO: DIRECTOR

PRECEDENCE: OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

FROM: LINCOLN

TOT: 27 FEB 54

INFO:

TO: DIR

CITE: SLINC777

RE: DIR 40038

KYBAT/PBSUCCESS

FOR USE IN TONIGHT'S INTERROGATION:

1. ATTENTION INVITED LINES 1-4, PAGE 2, DEBRIEFING OF PIVALL DATED 19 FEB 54. ROGERS ADVISED PIVALL THAT SEEKFORD HAD WRITTEN ROGERS REQUESTING HIM TO OBTAIN CLOTHES AND PAPERS FROM _____ ONLY PAPERS RECEIVED BY PIVALL WERE THOSE HANDED HIM BY _____

2. ABOVE FACTS ARE NOT COMPATIBLE WITH SEEKFORD'S STATEMENT THAT MESSAGES WERE STOLEN FROM HIS HOTEL ROOM. SEEKFORD KNEW THAT SOME PAPERS WERE AT _____ WHEN HE WROTE ROGERS. THEREFORE, STATEMENT THAT PAPERS WERE STOLEN CASTS ASPERSIONS ON THE VERACITY OF ROGERS' STATEMENT TO PIVALL, WHICH LINCOLN CANNOT RECONCILE.

3. BELIEVE _____ WORDS "SHOWN ONLY TO STRAX AND FOR SEEKFORD NOT TO WORRY" ARE VERY SIGNIFICANT AND REFLECT THE POSSIBILITY MENTIONED PARA 1 BAKER REF MSG.

4. BELIEVE IMPORTANT SEEKFORD BE SPECIFICALLY ASKED: "DO YOU BELIEVE THAT PIVALL'S REMAINING IN AREA WILL RESULT IN THE DISCLOSURE OF PERTINENT INFORMATION _____"

DISTRIBUTION:
1 - Station file
1 - Originator
1 - Subject

ORIGINATOR: _____

APPROVED BY C/P: _____

AUTHENTICATOR: _____

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

OVERSICINE CABLE

Routing _____

RECEIVED STATION COPY

Files _____

TO:

PRECEDENCE:

FROM:

TOT:

INFO:

-2-

NOT HERETOFORE REVEALED? RECOMMEND THIS QUESTION BE ASKED IN NUMBER OF VARIATIONS.

5. BELIEVE LINC SHOULD SEND CONNIFF TO AID DUE TO INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE DETAILS OF CASE. ADVISE.

6. PIVALL MAY BE IN KEMPLUSH BUT MORE LIKELY STILL IN WSHROOFS. IMPORTANT YOU INFORM LINC SOONEST WHETHER ANY REAL DANGER REGARDING PIVALL.

END OF MESSAGE

1. Distribution
2. Distribution file
3. Originator
4. Subject

ORIGINATOR:

APPROVED BY C/P:

AUTHENTICATOR:

~~SECRET~~