Approved for Release: 2021/08/12 C00144056



-	St. 194	12/36
FYEC_	MARIE	<u>- 14/)4</u>
611.0	M. Or the	

7 February 1955

12/2 1-477

والمحاف المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المحاف ا

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director, Plans

SUBJECT:

Defection Attempt in Vienna on 5 February 1955,

Boris Yakovlevich NALIVAIKO

1. <u>Background</u>: Boris Yakovlevich NALIVAIKO, Chief of the KGB/ Vienna, West German target group, with the cover position of Soviet Consul in Vienna, has been a defection target of this agency since 1947. At that time he was Soviet Consul in Berlin, also a cover position. Robert B. Gray first knew NALIVAIKO (b)(1) at the time Gray was a Public Relations Officer attached to General (b)(3) Lucius Clay's staff in Berlin. As such he had certain duties which brought him into business contact with NALIVAIKO. From this contact there developed a social relationship between Mr. and Mrs. Gray and(b)(1) NALIVAIKO and his wife. (b)(3)

2. Gray (b)(1)continued to maintain his contact with NALIVAIKO since available (b)(3)information indicated a defection potential. This consisted of NALIVAIKO's consistent interest in and attraction to Western ideas and ways; his wife's frequent visits to non-Soviet Sectors of Berlin; (b)(1) his statement to American Naval personnel in Bremerhaven in 1948 (b)(3)that he saved 76,000 Deutsch Marks which he would like to invest in the West where he hoped to realize a six and one half percent interest yield; and a steady interest in maintaining his friendship with Gray. It was realized by Gray and all concerned that this might be a calculated provocation. However, the best available assessment of the individual indicated valid reasons for continuing to believe him vulnerable to a defection approach if a channel making this (b)(1)possible could be maintained.

4. On 5 May 1954 Gray, about to return (b)(1)had dinner with NALIVAIKO, at which time Gray, according to prearranged (b)(3)plans, told NALIVAIKO that a friend of his in American intelligence

zpproved for release through the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of the Central Intelligence Agency-

	DOCUMENT NO.	005	_
CONFIDENTI	NO CHANGE IN CLA DECLASS FIED	ASS.	
	NEXT REVIEW DATE:	D: 15 501989	i
	AUTH: HR 70-2	· -	

- 2 -



Live Line

had mentioned NALIVAIKO's difficulties to him. Gray offered to furnish contact with American officials in the event that NALIVAIKO wished to save himself from punitive action by the Soviets. NALIVAIKO declined the offer. KGB surveillance of the meeting was noted, and that was believed to have been the major reason for NALIVAIKO's declining the offer. Gray and NALIVAIKO parted on good terms. Several inquiries made by NALIVAIKO to another American source during the summer of 1954 regarding Gray's welfare indicated an interest in maintaining his channel to Gray.

5. Defection Attempt of 5 February 1955: Further information ndicated a better than average chance for defecting from rote a letter in (b)(1)NALIVAIKO. To enhance this effort. which he told NALIVAIKO about his favored status with his American (b)(3)colleagues; why he had defected; why, in view of NALIVAIKO's bad record, NALIVAIKO would do well to defect; and to trust the bearer of the (b)(1)letter. With the arrest of certain Soviet agents in West Germany, more information was shed on NALIVAIKO's espionage career conducted (b)(3)under consultar cover. Many professional errors were noted by agency officials which could embarrass NALIVAIKO whenever the German authorities decided to release the information and publicize their charges against the Soviet agents who, meanwhile, were cooperating with the Western officials.

6. This information, plus all that had hitherto been acquired NATIVATED plus the strong inducement factors inherent in Letter suggested that another - and final - effort should be made before public court action against former NALIVATED agents could take place - which might prompt the Soviets to recall NALIVATED before we could reach him.

7. After considering all possible approaches, it was determined that Gray was the only person who could establish a bona fide defection channel. Accordingly, he was sent TDY to Vienna on 25 January 1955. He was joined there by David E. Murphy from the Berlin Operations Base who was to be introduced as his American intelligence contact. In addition to the first etter, another one was prepared bringing the material up to date. Also a dummy galley proof article ostensibly prepared for publication in Wiener Kurier was presented as a device to show NALIVAIKO graphically how untenable his position would be if such were published.

8. It was planned that a "chance" meeting between the principals would take place after heavy surveillance of NALLYAIKO indicated a time he could be approached in a public place where he would be free



(b)(1) (b)(3)

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

Ver en

from KGB surveillance. Surveillance showed that a "chance" encounter was impossible. Therefore, his wife was contacted and was asked to deliver a message to NALIVAIKO stating that Gray had a message for him. NALIVAIKO was telephoned by Gray. It was necessary to deliver to him the two letters, plus a covering letter written in German by Gray before he would agree to a meeting. A meeting did take place at which NALIVAIKO appeared visibly nervous and shaken. He stated that he needed time to think over the defection proposal. Ultimately a meeting was scheduled for 5 February in a Vienna cafe.

- 3 - CONFIDENTIAL

9. Very heavy security precautions were taken in order to insure the safety of Gray and Murphy. Vehicular and foot surveillances were established outside and the meeting was covered by armed VOB personnel inside the cafe. NALIVAIKO joined Gray and Murphy. Then the three conversed for a few minutes. Murphy, known as Colonel Manning, showed NALIVATKO a letter written on United States water-marked, embossed Government seal note paper which authorized "Manning" to bring NALIVAIKO and his family to the United States under authority of Public Law 110. The letter mentioned the Secretary of State and Department of Immigration and was signed fictitiously "R. A. Farnsworth". The exact text of the letter is not yet available at Headquarters. When Murphy reached to retrieve the letter, NALIVAIKO accosted Murphy and publicly accused him and Gray of trying to force him to commit high treason. At this moment the Soviet Provost Marshal entered the cafe with four armed, uniformed guards and assumed control. Other known KGB personnel also appeared on the scene. Austrian Police, American MP's, British MP's, and the International Police arrived on the scene almost immediately as a result of VOB's communications arrangement. Thus was prevented a possible attempt to abduct Gray and Murphy. The two were duly taken to the Allied Council building, identified (Gray under true name, Murphy as Colonel Manning) and released into American custody.

10. The Soviets made an immediate protest to American Ambassador Thompson. The basis for his formal reply has been coordinated with the Department of State and states that the two Americans were acting in good faith and in accordance with announced American policy of providing asylum for those who seek it. The effort, therefore, is to show that NALIVATKO was not acting in good faith, as can be proved by the evidence of 1 whose surfacing statements can allude to NALIVATKO's (b)(1) true status in Austria and, 2) confessed Soviet agents awaiting trial (b)(3) in Germany. The latter will require the cooperation of West German authorities who are now being asked to publicize the information pertaining to NALIVATKO.

11. Press releases in Austria and this country have given accounts of the event in the Vienna cafe in varying degrees of detail. All gave the names of "Robert Gray" and "Colonel Francis Manning". Cover stories accounting for their presence in Vienna are currently being worked out.



(b)(1)

(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2021/08/12 C00144056

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

- 4

sessment: The Soviets have in their possession two letters (which, in view of their contents, the Soviets are not likely to publish); Gray's letter in German; Manning's (Murphy) letter of authority; and the galley proof <u>Wiener Kurier</u> article. Although the fact of possession is regrettable, it is not considered likely that United States interests or those of the agency can be seriously impaired, since attributability can be plausibly denied.

13. The results of this difficult operation are highly regrettable. However, it was an effort as carefully and securely conducted as was possible, and one which was properly in the interests of the agency. Two overriding considerations remain; 1) the United States may well be able to assume the propaganda initiative in this matter and, 2) the physical safety of CIA personnel has been insured.

A. R.

Dol 100 Wton 6/19/94

JOHN A. BROSS Chief, Eastern European Division

Approved for Release: 2021/08/12 C00144056