		14 July 1957
CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN OFFICE OF CURRENT INTELLIGENCE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY This document contains classified information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for		Copy No. 156
CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN OFFICE OF CURRENT INTELLIGENCE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY This document contains classified information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detail to the safety or interest of the United States or for	N. Committee of the Com	
DATE OF CURRENT INTELLIGENCE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY This document contains classified information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United State or for the benefit of any foreign government to the details.	CURRENT	
OFFICE OF CURRENT INTELLIGENCE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY This document contains classified information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United State or for the benefit of any foreign government to the details.	INTELLIGENCE	DODUMENTINO. NO CHANG 4 DE CALADS.
OFFICE OF CURRENT INTELLIGENCE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY This document contains classified information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the details.	BULLETIN	CLASOL CHARLED TO: TSUS CLASOL CHARLED TO: TSUS REXCIPELYEW DATE:
This document contains classified information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to any authorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the details.	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE HR 702 REVIEWER:
the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, US Code Title 18, Section 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to any authorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the details.	OFFICE OF CU	KKENI INIELLIGENCE
	This document contains the national security meaning of the espionag 793, 794, and 798. The or the revelation of its authorized person, as prejudicial to the safet or for the benefit of an	classified information affection of the United States within the laws, US Code Title 18, Section le law prohibits its transmission contents in any manner to an usual as its use in any manner y or interest of the United States of the United
	This document contains the national security meaning of the espionag 793, 794, and 798. The or the revelation of its authorized person, as prejudicial to the safet or for the benefit of an	classified information affection of the United States within the laws, US Code Title 18, Section le law prohibits its transmission contents in any manner to an usual as its use in any manner y or interest of the United States of the United
	This document contains the national security meaning of the espionag 793, 794, and 798. The or the revelation of its authorized person, as prejudicial to the safet or for the benefit of an	classified information affects of the United States within t elaws, US Code Title 18, Section le law prohibits its transmissicontents in any manner to an well as its use in any mann y or interest of the United Stat y foreign government to the def

Approved for Release: 2019/12/10 C03169384

SECRET

·			
·			
·			

Approved for Release: 2019/12/10 C03169384



CONTENTS

1. ISRAEL AGREES TO PLAC	EMENT OF UN OBSERVERS ON
ISRAELI-SYRIAN BORDER	(page 3).

of?	ORTED FOR PURGED SOVIET LEADERS page 4).
.].	
0 ps.	SN OFFICE VIEWS SOVIET PURGE ge 5).

0 K4.	PUBLIC	REACTION II	1 MOSCOW	TO SOVIET	PURGE
)		(page 7).			

6 5. BONN FAVORS RECESS IN DISAF	RMAMENT TALKS UNTIL
AFTER GERMAN ELECTION	(page 9).
05/~	

(page 10).

14 July 57

Current Intelligence Bulletin



1. ISRAEL AGREES TO PLACEMENT OF UN OBSERVERS ON ISRAELI-SYRIAN BORDER

The Israeli permanent UN representative has informed the American delegation that Israel has agreed with the United Nations Truce Supervisory Organization on the establishment of five UN observer posts in the area of the recent incidents

along the Israeli-Syrian border. The arrangement is to go into effect on 13 or 14 July for a trial period of one month, but does not provide for observers in the demilitarized zone. The Israeli official assured the delegation that Israel was not going to sabotage the arrangements. He said he was displeased at rumors of an early Security Council meeting.

Comment This limited Israeli acceptance of numerous United Nations requests for observers along the Israeli-Syrian border appears to be an attempt to avert a full-scale Security Council investigation of continuing tensions in the demilitarized zone and the recent incidents on

14 July 57

the border.

Current Intelligence Bulletin

2. NEW JOBS REPORT	ED FOR PURGED SOVIET LEADERS
; ,	
	Molotov had asked to be ap-
	pointed ambassador to a South American ked a specialty other than that of diplomat.
He was temporarily	attached to the Soviet Ministry of Foreign
Affairs, pending a d	ecision.
	Shepilov,
had been appointed t	o work in the local Soviet press in Kazakh-
stan and nad aiready signed to the Soviet	y left Moscow. Kaganovich had been as- Academy of Sciences in Moscow as a scien-
tific adviser.	
	Malenkov,
the main force in th	e group opposing Khrushchev, was being
sent to a Siberian C electric power stati	ouncil of National Economy to head a local on administration.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
not known publicly i	The fates of Pervukhin and Saburov were n the USSR except for the official announce-
ment of their demot	ion and removal, respectively.
Comment	The Paris newspaper France Soir on
gtated that Molotov	12 July, quoting Soviet diplomatic sources, had been offered the Soviet ambassadorial
post in Argentina.	nau been dieted die bestet mines bestet
	By 14 July Soviet citizens had not yet been
informed of Malenk	ov's appointment as director of the Ust-Kamenog-
orsk electric power West by Moscow ra	station in east Kazakhstan, announced to the
Meer by Minecom La	uto on to sury.

14 July 56

Current Intelligence Bulletin



3. BRITISH FOREIGN OFFICE VIEWS SOVIET PURGE

	British Foreign Office specialists on
	Soviet affairs are divided as to Khru-
	shchev's motivation for the June purge.
	The majority believes he set out some
Airea ana amakamakia	ally to undermine Malenberr and that

time ago systematically to undermine Malenkov, and that what united the "antiparty" group on quick action was a report that Khrushchev intended to link Malenkov's name with the "Leningrad Affair" (a purge of the Leningrad party organization in 1949) when he went to the Leningrad anniversary celebration scheduled for 22 June.

Khrushchev is said to have been motivated both by personal ambition and by impatience with restraints imposed by collective leadership, which he felt was inherently too unstable to function in present-day Russia.

The British embassy in Moscow reported in early June that the effect, though not the motivation, of Khrushchev's economic reorganization plan was to weaken the power of Malenkov, Molotov, Kaganovich, Pervukhin, and Saburov by eliminating centralized industrial ministries. Candidates for the Gorky and Leningrad economic regional councils, for example, reportedly were nominated by the local oblast party committees, which are subject to the wishes of Party First Secretary Khrushchev.

The "antiparty" group failed not because its members were maladroit but because they had no choice but to launch a desperate counterattack in the face of Khrushchev's pushing them toward political oblivion. In this view, Khrushchev knows where he is going and now has a relatively free hand. He will counter his only potential rival, Marshal Zhukov, by building up his own prestige and the authority of the Communist Party.

The minority view in the Foreign Office is that Khrushchev barely escaped being overthrown by a majority in the presidium and acted defensively. He only stood

Current Intelligence Bulletin

Page 5

14 July 57

to lose by destruction of the myth of "collective leadership," under which others could be made to share responsibility for what were essentially Khrushchev policies. Khrushchev saved his career but solved none of his problems. The stability of the regime has been further compromised by his now obvious dependence on Zhukov and the military.

Comment

Khrushchev.

The majority opinion offers an answer to the question of why the "antiparty" group moved against Khrushchev in the presidium with no assurance that the central committee or the military would side against



4. PUBLIC REACTION IN MOSCOW TO SOVIET PURGE

The "impressionistic conclusions" of the American embassy in Moscow from
conversations with more than 100 Soviet citizens, are that the Moscow public re-
acted to news of the June purges with keen interest, outward calm, and detached resignation. "They never tell us what is going on and there is nothing we can do about it," was a frequently heard statement.

No one expressed any "wrath" or "indignation" at the "perfidious actions" of the ousted leaders. On the contrary, most citizens expressed disapproval of the central committee's action. Uneasiness or fear that there might be mass purges and arrests was a frequent reaction.

Many citizens took a cynical attitude, viewing the events as merely a struggle for power among "top dogs" which was not relevant to the interests of the people. <u>Izvestia</u> on 9 July, perhaps with this attitude in mind, asserted that the "party's fight against the opposition group" was based on matters of principle and was not the same as the "mistakes connected with the personality cult of J. V. Stalin."

A number of people showed open sympathy for Molotov particularly, probably because he is an "Old Bolshevik" and a symbol of continuity. "How can they do that to Molotov after his many years of service?" Malenkov, widely popular among the masses for his consumers' goods program, was described as "a good man; he did a lot for the people."

The embassy has not received any reliable reports of public disturbances. No unusual troop movements were observed in Moscow on 3 or 4 July when news of the purge

Page 7

14 July 57

Current Intelligence Bulletin

began to spread through the city and there was no visual evidence or report of precautionary security measures at the time the plenum was held. The embassy adds that the first news of the change reached it on 3 July.

The embassy believes the regime has suffered a loss of prestige among the population as a result of the ousters but does not see this as affecting its ability to maintain stability. The spectacle of open warfare among the top leaders of the "monolithic" party, and the campaign of vilification loosed overnight against the deposed leaders, does not enhance respect for the party leadership. Furthermore, the ousters do not seem to have been a popular move. In the final analysis, however, the relative popularity of the Khrushchev team will depend more on the results of its policies than on what it did to the ousted group.

The Soviet public is primarily interested in an increased living standard, peace, and freedom from arbitrary arrest. The present leadership has pledged its support to these goals and will be judged by the degree to which it keeps its pledge.

5. BONN FAVORS RECESS IN DISARMAMENT TALKS UNTIL AFTER GERMAN ELECTION

Herbert Blankenhorn, West German permanent NATO representative, told American Ambassador Perkins on 12 July that his government was concerned about the

disarmament negotiations and hoped they could be recessed until after the 15 September German election. He said the government was not against an agreement, but did not know what effect inclusion of a European inspection zone might have on the campaign, how inspection might work, or what its implications might be in regard to German unification and neutralization.

He said the Germans had in mind proposing to the North Atlantic Council a series of conditions, which he did not describe, for future steps in disarmament after the first phase. Bonn felt it must be able to point to some initiative in connection with unification and disarmament.

Comment

The Germans have indicated that they want a Western understanding on when and how the unification issue will be pushed. They have also been skittish about ground inspection, apparently because they are afraid it would formalize the German interzonal border.

14 July 57

Current Intelligence Bulletin

Page 10

TOP SECRET

TOP	ECKE I	

Approved for Release: 2019/12/10 C03169384