-						30 July 19	953
						Copy No.	67
					•		
				·			
	CU	RREN'	T INTELI	LIGEN	ICE BULL	ETIN	
				מ	OCUMENT NO	53	
**				N	O CHANGE IN CL DECLASSIFIED	. 🗸	_
				N	LASS, CHANGED EXTREVIEW DAT UTH: HB 70-2		07
					12-2(1)	REVIEWER:	3.5(c)
			<u>. · </u>				
		Offic	o of Curr	ont Ir	ntelligence		
		Offic	e or Curr	CHt H	itorrigoneo		
	C	ENTR A	L INTEL	LIGE	NCE AGEN	CY	

SUMMARY

GENERAL

Indication of Soviet position on postarmistice political conference membership (page 3).

SOVIET UNION

3.3(h)(2)
US reconnaissance aircraft possibly shot down south of Vladivostok (page 4).

FAR EAST

4. Possible movement of North Korean aircraft into Korea noted (page 5).

SOUTHEAST ASIA

5. Burmese set time limit on Bangkok negotiations (page 5).

SOUTH ASIA

- 6 Nehru refuses to accept Battle Act conditions as binding on India (page 6).
- 7. Top Indian Communist leaders reportedly agree on party policy (page 7).

3.5(c)

- 2 -



GENERAL							
Indication of Soviet position on postarmistice political conference membership:							
Soviet UN delegate Tsarapkin told General Assembly president Pearson that he contemplated a postarmistice political conference limited to the "two sides" in the Korean dispute. He also indicated that, should the USSR participate, there would have to be a larger membership and "some kind of voting procedure would have to be worked out."	3.3(h)(2)						
Comment: The noncommittal remarks of the Soviet representative suggest that Moscow is waiting for a clearer indication of Western thinking before taking a firm position on the membership question.							
The reference to "two sides" suggests that the Soviet Union has not excluded negotiations in which each side has one vote. Any Communist proposal for a multilateral conference would probably follow the Soviet UN resolution of 10 November 1952 which called for the creation of an 11-nation commission in which the Communist members would exercise a virtual veto. This resolution received the support of Chou En-lai, who said that the commission "is of the same nature as the political conference provided for in the armistice agreement."							
SOVIET UNION							
	3.3(h)(2)						
	Indication of Soviet position on postarmistice political conference membership: Soviet UN delegate Tsarapkin told General Assembly president Pearson that he contemplated a postarmistice political conference limited to the "two sides" in the Korean dispute. He also indicated that, should the USSR participate, there would have to be a larger membership and "some kind of voting procedure would have to be worked out." Comment: The noncommittal remarks of the Soviet representative suggest that Moscow is waiting for a clearer indication of Western thinking before taking a firm position on the membership question. The reference to "two sides" suggests that the Soviet Union has not excluded negotiations in which each side has one vote. Any Communist proposal for a multilateral conference would probably follow the Soviet UN resolution of 10 November 1952 which called for the creation of an 11-nation commission in which the Communist members would exercise a virtual veto. This resolution received the support of Chou En-lai, who said that the commission "is of the same nature as the political conference provided for in the armistice agreement."						

- 3 -



3.3(h)(2)

3. US reconnaissance aircraft possibly shot down south of Vladivostok:

The American RB-50 reconnaissance plane lost on a mission south of Vladivostok in the early morning of 29 July possibly was shot down by Soviet fighters.	3.3(h)(2)
	3.3(h)(2)

A rescue plane has dropped life rafts to six survivors and destroyers are speeding to rescue them.

_ 4 .



	attempt by the Soviet air force to intercept a US reconnaissance aircraft in the Far East occurred 27 March off the Chukotsk Peninsula. Soviet fighters on that occasion made several nonfiring passes.							
	FAR EAST							
4.	Possible movement of North Korean aircraft into Korea noted:							
	On 27 July two North Korean aircraft, possibly TU-2's, were noted airborne in the Antung area and may have landed at Uiiu. just south of the Yalu River.	3.3(h)(2)						
	Comment: The cease-fire agreement went into effect on 27 July at 10:00 p.m. The armistice agreement prohibits any subsequent movement of aircraft into Korea.							
	No combat aircraft have been based on North Korean airfields since early in the war. It has long been suspected that the Communists would move across the border at the last moment all North Korean planes hitherto based in Manchuria. The North Korean air force possesses at least 150 MIG-15's, 39 IL-28's and 13 TU-2's.							
	SOUTHEAST ASIA							
5.	Burmese set time limit on Bangkok negotiations:							

Burmese commander in chief Ne Win states 3.3(h)(2)

that Burma's delegate to the four-power committee in Bangkok will be withdrawn

TOP SECRET

- 5 -

when the next regular UN General Assembly convenes on 17 September unless some progress toward the evacuation of Chinese Nationalist troops is achieved in the meantime. Ne Win expressed confidence that his forces could wipe out the Nationalists, but he said a campaign against them would delay operations against the Communists and other insurgents for probably a year.

Comment: Past performances indicate that the Burmese armed forces are incapable of eliminating the Nationalists in the foreseeable future by their own efforts. Recognition of this situation was probably the primary basis for the recent statement by the acting foreign minister that Burma may be forced to seek Chinese Communist assistance in dealing with the Nationalists.

SOUTH ASIA

6.	Nehru	refuses	to accept	Battle	Act	conditio	ns as	binding	on	India:

Prime Minister Nehru on 28 July categoricall, 3.3(h)(2) informed the American ambassador in New Delhi that he would not attempt to stop the previously reported shipment of thorium

nitrate from Bombay to Communist China. He further stated emphatically that India never would jeopardize its national sovereignty by permitting an American law to determine with whom and in what commodities India should trade.

He could not accept the conditions of the Battle Act as binding on his country, and would never be willing to give guarantees as to the nature of India's commerce.

<u>Comment:</u> Nehru's remarks presumably end all hope of halting the shipment, thus making it mandatory for the United States immediately to terminate all military, economic, and financial aid to India.



- 6 -

3.3(h)
-

Comment: For over two years there has been sharp disagreement among Indian Communist leaders, involving personal struggles for control of the party and differences of opinion on implementing the Moscow line. Despite the reported Gopalan-Dange agreement, basic differences between them and other party members will probably continue. In the near future, however, a temporarily more effective Communist program in India may emerge.

_ 7 _



Approved for Release:	2019/07/10	C02059476

TUP SECKET

3.5(c)

3.3(h)(2))

