

**Meeting Agenda
Remote Viewing Panel
Tuesday July 25, 1995**

Facilitators: Dr. Michael Mumford
Dr. Andrew Rose

Panel: Dr. Raymond Hyman
Dr. Jessica Utts

SG11

Sponsors:

9:00 - 9:30 Introduction

- (1) Review of objectives
- (2) Procedural issues

9:30 - 12:00 Analysis of Material

- (1) What material is there?
- (2) What is the most valuable material?
- (3) What material can be eliminated?

12:00 - 1:00 Lunch

1:00 - 4:00 Establishing Review Criteria

- (1) What issues should be considered in review?
- (2) What are key methodological issues?
- (3) What are the statistical issues?
- (4) What are the key applications issues?
- (5) What, if any, additional information is needed?

4:00 - 4:30 Procedural Issues

- (1) How will review process proceed?
- (2) What will be included in the report?
- (3) When will preliminary reports be delivered?
- (4) How will the final report be prepared?

4:30 - 5:00 Preview of the Meeting with Ed May

- (1) What are the key questions to be addressed by Ed May?
- (2) How will the meeting be structured?

Questions for Ed May

1. List of unique experiments - when were they done, where can we find them?
2. Discuss methods for analysis - e.g., individuals and combinations for analysis
 - procedural variants
 - design variants
3. Can you present a clear sketch of what was done - i.e., the experimental structure? Can you provide an experimental catalog of Ss, dates, treatments, etc.?
4. What will/are your criteria for evaluating experiments?
5. What would you do next?
6. What is your view of operational applications?

INITIAL OUTLINE OF REPORT

- Brief history and statement of problem, issues, and scope
- Overview of early SRI work
- Overview of SAIC work
- Theories, rationales, procedures, evaluations; alternative hypotheses
- Criteria for evaluations
 - Internal consistency, reliability
 - External consistency with other literature
- Evaluative results, including meta-analysis / effect sizes if warranted
- Recommendations for:
 - future R + D from scientific perspective
 - operational applications; utility for sponsor
 - current "efficacy and effectiveness"
 - potential
- Areas of agreement / disagreement; reasons for disagreement
 - "Positions" or opinion statements
 - resolutions

SG1J

PAX <1993> Foreign Assessment

SG1J [redacted] has log.

* Feb 94

ABC

SG1J [redacted] a rephrasing
close friend of Stubblebrink US Army INSCOM

2920
29 September

[redacted]

[redacted] Best ops group.

SG1J

listing of 30 best

[redacted] or Jack Verona

SG1J

SG1J [redacted] former DIA talker

20/20 doesn't have that much info about what's going on.
important would be about 1 to 2 bags popcorn.


→ I have this document (May 1994)
"Anomalous Mental Phenomena Research in the Former
Soviet Union: A Threat Assessment."
PAG-TA-1080-S



Garnet Carson of FOX-TV


SG1I

SG1J



DIA - Investigations.
Investigator w/ DIA

Special Program; Security Program



- ⓐ
- ⓑ
- ⓒ

SG1J

Criminal? prob. not

SG1I.

Administrative Yes

Administrative Sanctions



Slides this issue

applicability of Administrative sanctions.


Historically classified, remains classified.

If someone takes it on their own to take it and release it - no declass review request.

Violated variety of admin and security reg's.

Not individuals rights, it's the appropriate classification officer. NO UNILATERAL RELEASES

DIA should make inquiry



SG1I

