

ISAB

23 April 1987

MEMO FOR: OPS

SUBJECT: SOURCE 101/UTILITY ASSESSMENT "M"

1. Source was tasked to describe the "target vehicles" rather than describe/access/report against the target of intelligence interest via geographical coordinates or some other traditional levy.
2. There is no evidence of guidance or instructions given source on how to cope with a major inclemency (encounter) in the first session. Sessions 03 and 04 appeared inopportune conducted in the absence of such guidance.
3. It seems unrealistic to expect source to function operationally under conditions dominated by an acute sense of fright (whether admitted to or not). Source is aware of the "Patrick" tape and the procedures used to cope with a similar encounter any be of use to him.
4. Source went home to work subsequent to the incident. At a minimum, Source no longer feels comfortable in the "ops building". Under suitable pretext, an interviewer should assist in helping him cope with the noted inclemency.

FG

INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION SHEET

IES Control Number: Utility Assessment "M"

Source Number: Source 101

SECTION I

Collection Requirement: Collect foreign intelligence information against [REDACTED] weapons. Determine Source's propensity to access and report against this type of target.

SG1B

Collection Requirement Generated By: DIA (DT-5)

Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

A narrative explanation is requested: Source 101 is an experienced remote viewer engaged in a new initiative to determine his ability to collect foreign intelligence information via the remote viewing methodology without the benefit of an interviewer. Source 101 was apparently unable to access the target because of a major inclemency encountered early in the session.

U  
(classification)

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided, not its usefulness. Responses are scaled from 0-3 utilizing the following explanatory criteria. If a source has not addressed a particular item then select UNKNOWN. If a source describes an item which cannot be verified then select CANNOT BE DETERMINED.

0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

IIa - Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	X
IIb - Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, rail yards, silos, docks, etc.				X
IIc - Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles small buildings, etc.				X
II d.- General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.				X

U  
 (classification)

0- Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

IIe - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage research, missile testing etc. 0-3 UNKNOWN CANNOT BE DETERMINED X

IIf - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government. X

IIg - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions. X

A narrative elaboration is requested:  
See comments in Section I.

14

(classification)



SECTION IV

This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below or additional sheets of paper as necessary to convey your thoughts.

SG1J

EVALUATOR'S NAME AND OFFICE:

SIGNATURE:

SG1J

DATE OF EVALUATION: *28 April 1987*

*u*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(classification)

- ① THIS IS A NEW PROJECT. YOU HAVE NOT WORKED IT BEFORE.
- ② "I FEEL LIKE I'M AT AN ASSOCIATED TARGET BUT NOT THE TARGET SITE." OK, LEN I'LL READ YOU THE COORDINATES AGAIN TO SEE IF YOU CAN LOCK IN THE SITE.
- ③ "DO YOU MIND IF I MOVE TO STAGE IV?" IF YOU THINK YOU'RE READY YOU MOVE ON
- ④ DO YOU TELL ME THERE WAS SOMETHING ELSE YOU HAD NOT DESCRIBED YET? "IT WAS THE STRUCTURE ITSELF.
- ⑤ OK, WE'LL STAY WITH THE STRUCTURE - OUTSIDE AS OUR CUING. "OK"
- ⑥ DON'T FORCE IT - DON'T PUSH THE ROPE IN YOUR COLUMNS, IF IT'S NOT THERE DON'T MAKE IT HAPPEN. "OK"
- ⑦ LEN, START A NEW SHEET OF PAPER WITH EACH MATRIX - "OK, I WAS ON A ROLL --"
- ⑧ EARLY ON YOU REFERENCED A SOUND OF SCREECHING! LET'S EXPLORE SOME MORE ROUNDS. "OK"
- ⑨ WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO NOW? "I HAVE A HESITANCY TO GO INTO THE STRUCTURE SO MAYBE I BETTER SEE WHY." OK
- ⑩ WHAT IS THE TI IN YOUR MATRIX - TI - "TASKER IMPACT" IT'S MY OWN INVENTION - I HAVEN'T WORKED ON IT BEFORE WITH ED BUT IT SEEMS TO WORK FOR ME -

(11)

We still need to gather more information about the inside of the structure "OK we are ahead"

(12)



182478/836131


PROJECT "M"

018

27 MAY 87

crunchy feeling  
grainy feeling  
sandy feeling

SG1B

PROJECT "M" 

You can pretty much forget the first 20 pages  
OR SO BUT after that gang busters --