

INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION SHEET

IES Control Number: Utility Assessment "8-2"

Source Number: 018

SECTION I

Collection Requirement:

Conduct Utility Assessment to determine Source's ability to and propensity to access ~~and present~~ foreign national personalities and collect foreign intelligence information of a biographical nature

Collection Requirement Generated By:
(ICR/CIR/DIRM/INITIATIVE/OTHER)

DT-5

| Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement? | YES | IN PART | NO | CANNOT BE DETERMINED |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

A narrative explanation is required:

A review of all sessions by Source against this target reveal the possible presence of inclemencies that preclude target access to the target.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

(classification)

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided, not its usefulness. Responses are scaled from 0-3 utilizing the following explanatory criteria. If a source has not addressed a particular item then select UNKNOWN. If a source describes an item which cannot be verified then select CANNOT BE DETERMINED.

0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

| | 0-3 | UNKNOWN | CANNOT BE DETERMINED |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| IIa - Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| IIb - Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, rail yards, silos, docks, etc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| IIc - Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| IIId.- General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

(classification)

0- Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

| | 0-3 | UNKNOWN | CANNOT BE DETERMINED |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| IIe - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/research, missile testing etc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| IIIf - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| IIg - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

A narrative elaboration is requested:

Perceived inaccuracies being addressed

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

(classification)

SECTION III

Please check which best describes the intelligence value of the information provided.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| VERY USEFUL | USEFUL | MARGINAL VALUE | NO VALUE | CANNOT BE DETERMINED |

Narrative comment required. Explain how information provided will be used (i.e. confirm other intelligence sources, targeting, etc.). If information is of marginal or no value, explain why.

Identification and surfacing of possible inclementals may prove useful. Their removal may allow Source to access the target.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

(classification)

SECTION IV

This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below or additional sheets of paper as necessary to convey your thoughts.

SGFOIA3

EVALUATOR'S NAME AND OFFICE:

SIGNATURE:




DATE OF EVALUATION:

7 MAY 1987

(classification)

PROJECT O-2



FRUCO 10 X 10 TO 1 INCH
10% LINE HEAVY

REMOTE VIEWER

Project "O" - 2

Interviewer/Monitor Tasking

Describe Person:

(See envelope for clues)

Suggestions → Physical Description:

- Profession:
- Habits:
- Education:
- Location (NEW?):
- Health:
- Telepathic Message?
- etc.

Note: In the envelope(s) (don't open it) are specific identifying documents.