

S.S.

- Feed back?

1. Do DETAILED EVALUATIONS ON MAJORITY(?) OF UTILITY ASSESSMENTS, & OPX (<sup>0</sup>) PROJECTS, + SOME TRAINING (ADV TR? ...)

- BASIC % CORRELATION, for tyros (a-f).
  - Concept / Error SET ANALYSIS
  - Show how degree of feedback & timing, nature of target, viewer used, targeting instructions... etc... may have correlate to performance...
  - In of form, content (degree of gen → specific analytical...)
- (Set up!)

2. EVALUATE OPTIMUM No. OF SESSIONS for VARIOUS OBJECTS.

- ESTABLISH CLEAR CUT-off
- IF TOO LONG, PERFORMANCE MAY FALL (boredom), or involvement feedback may be fragile..

3. Review content of UA, O while it progresses.

4. Complete ADDITIONAL OVER ALL STATS (General APPRAISAL)

- ALL TRAINING, for viewer, type(?).
- for viewers, target type, degree of feedback, extent of Monitor knowledge, no session, etc.....

5. FILES: IMPROVE! (INCLUDES TIMELY feedback & EVAL...)

S.S., cont.

5. FROM 1/4, prepare charts/tables that show what we can expect to do operationally & to what degree of confidence or reliability. (may be for viewer, other.) ⊕
- Also indicate low-conf types, or types not yet tried.
  - what conditions seem to be necessary for taking on a project, & expecting some success:
    - viewer dependence, amount of flow loading, degree of need(?); type of targeting strategy, or methodology .... etc...
  - within given project type, is there a variation in degree of conf or tr of type of data? (ie, general description higher than specific function?). Show estimate of this variation where possible, & how derived?
  - is this tied to training as well? New training?
    - (ie, is it the training procedure, the practice, combination, or even the instructor(!) (ie, experimenter effect..). Can we tell?

\* this shows show a type of "limited" expected

Tasks may not be possible (or sufficiently accurate) for applications. Need to show what is reasonable to take on, & see how this fits in with other info.

7. ANP, how to interface (?) with other info -

8. People issues:

- current issues (motivation, tasks... trans, mix of TA/OA/ops...)
- How to follow-on (for those that leave)
- security / retention?
- how to project from base...
- Need more restrictions for members. (without going too far...)
- 'transfers' (when leave...)

9. Do some review of CH & PMS, data base -

- & about tab. record, historical

SZI ACTIONS

1. SUMMARIZE ALL FINDINGS TO DATE (R/P, QS, OTH):
  - TO SUPPORT NEW AND/OR FOLLOW ON WORK (NEED ANYWAY FOR BACKUP TO SOW)
    - CLEARLY SHOW WHAT WE KNOW, DO NOT KNOW AFTER ALL THOSE YEARS
      - FOR QS, ESTIMATE LIMITATIONS, PROBLEMS, ETC FOR ~~THE~~ INT. APPLICATIONS PROJECTS .....
  - 
  - CLEARLY SHOW WHAT NEW/FU WORK IS EXPECTED TO ACHIEVE (IN 1 YEAR!)
- INCLUDE WORK OF OTHER CASE, IF APPLICABLE TO PAST POP SPONSORED WORK ...

2. For "ops" part of sow:

a. - Do "joint" Long Dist Projects

b. - Do Projects THAT ADDRESS ONLY "CONTENTS" OF BUILDINGS (Bio Share "Security"):

(a). ABSTRACT / CRV

(b) a, + PICTURE (WITH VARIOUS SELF-EVIDENT INDICATORS)

(c) a+b + teacher person (B.P.)

(1) B.P WHO KNOWS CONTENT

(2) B.P WHO IS KEPT BLIND

TO CONTENT (? - How to say?)

(d) For "local" + Long Dist...

(1) some under joint 'proj

c. VARIOUS SEARCH PROJECTS..

- W/WO B.P. ?

- SPATIAL + BEARING TO LOCATION

- PERSON, OBJECT

- VGNR, FAR-FIELD.

d. People? (1) Bio, .....; (2) Debrief (T, F), (3)

"Normal NOISE" (UNINT. LEADER)

e. PREDICTIVE:

- FUTURE LOCATION

- FUTURE EVENT (FIX. LOCATION)

(can have data be blocked) (CM)

f. training: IS IT the method, practice or some combination? (or even the instructor.. i.e., exp. effect?)

3. OVER-ALL, FOR OPS RELATED:

- MATRIX OUT SET OF DIVERSE TARGETS, CAPABILITIES, STRATEGIES, PEOPLE (VIEWS...)

- VARIOUS DEGREES-OF-DIFFICULTY

4. MORE BASIC:

- what do we want to do with RV? what are best applications? what are best op. requirements to go for? Can we go for them, or back off to Recadeq requirements. (Show ev. req. vs. act. applicability & to what degree)

5. MISC ISSUES (OVER-ALL DATA)

- Form vs content issue? . . . . .
- Role of Boomer person (WITTING, or WITTING)
- Role of Government in, ACTIVATION, to interest of "need" (importance... etc...)

- Mr. Taffelberg issue (CRV?) or → Target PK9/Recadeq Res. = <sup>ev</sup> ~~ev~~   
 → OPS/OPR ISSUES: List of apparent limitations = <sup>experienced</sup> ~~experienced~~ / <sup>deduced from</sup> ~~deduced from~~   
 all per SRI, workload Lab work (what can be done, not done; what qualify   
 we for what can be done?)

6. CM: (See Noise (People)) - (Set of 4 boomers, 1 boomer, = boomer)

9. NEW PROJECTS:

1. SEARCH / LOCATION:

- a. General (encompassing all features, bearing(?))
- b. Specifics (spatial; near, far-field, bearing(?))
- c. Type (Person, object, event..)

2. PREDICTIVE:

- a. Search / Location (future site, event...)
- b. TYPE EVENTS, & TIMING (near → far-term)... (for some type of strategic)
  - (1). TERRORIST strikes, hijackings
  - (2).
  - (3).
  - (4).
  - ⋮
  - (N) —

3. BIO:

- STATE of health.
- LOCATION(?)
- PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION...

9. NEW PROJECTS, CTD

4... "UNASSESSMENT" TYPE.

- Pw's case (missing record, description of — ... ~~best~~ record;

-

5. LOCAL PICTORIAL TARGETS

- TRAINING

- LINK TO R/D

- LOOK-GR - ?

- SCENING / calibration

-



10. New Procedures / Protocols:

- ERV, + ...
- WRV, + ...
- RA STATE - -
- Hypothesis
  
- TARGETING:
  - ( - "AREA" (Photo, CARD... )
  - ( + PERSON KNOWLEDGEABLE (USSR LAB chief, DESIGNER, OFFICIAL, ...)
  
- TARGETING INSTRUCTIONS + "who knows what" (10, UNWIT known? ... )

11.

10. Potential Difficulties

- Natural biasing toward Tech S/T issues by virtue of Tech int. interest & Tech int. application!

□ overcome in U.A. by Mix (encl, %, time, ...?) of a variety of Non-Tech projects...

- TND TO ADV TR., or Later to ~~TR~~ Targets.

□