

~~SECRET~~

UPDATE BRIEFING: GRILL FLAME PROGRAM

- I. INTRODUCTION.
- II. THREE PHASES OF PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
  - A. THE SEARCH & IDENTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL FOR TRAINING.
  - B. PRELIMINARY TRAINING.
  - C. INTENSIVE TRAINING, INCLUDING ATTEMPTS TO IDENTIFY VARIOUS INDIVIDUAL ABILITIES AND THE ACCEPTANCE OF SOME OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- III. MISSION.
- IV. PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
  - A. SELECTING PERSONNEL.
  - B. PRELIMINARY TRAINING:
    - (1) SRI TRAINING.
    - (2) IN-HOUSE EFFORT
    - (3) TARGET SELECTION PROCESS
  - C. INTENSIVE TRAINING:
    - (1) SRI TRAINING
    - (2) IN-HOUSE EFFORT
      - (A) GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES
      - (B) CDEC TARGETS
      - (C) OPERATIONAL TASKS
    - (3) DEVELOPMENT OF TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)
  - D. IDENTIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL CAPABILITIES
  - E. CURRENT PROGRAM
  - F. FUTURE OBJECTIVES
- V. SUMMARY.

~~SECRET~~

Inclsure 1

## MISSION STATEMENT

(S) To establish a program using psychoenergetics for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergetics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)\*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-High (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

\*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

\*\*Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA  
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99  
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

<u>ASSIGNED VALUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	48
2	60
3	52
4	16
5	6
6	1
7	0
NOT RATED	15
AWAITING EVALUATION	39
NOT GRADED	1
NOT FINALIZED	13
	<hr/>
	244

- NOTE: a. 76 sessions may have been influenced  
by noise or work related problems
- b. 21 sessions on NK
- c. 39 sessions on Iran

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC) FILE NO. 1 SET CORRELATION CHART

WEEK	DATE	CORRELATION LEVELS							REMARKS
		NONE (0%)	LOW (15%)	LOW-MODERATE (30%)	MODERATE (50%)	MODERATE-HIGH (70%)	HIGH (80%)	DIRECT HIT (100%)	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	25 FEB - 3 MAR	?							RPT HAS NOT BEEN RATED
2	4 MAR - 10 MAR								
3	11 MAR - 17 MAR								
4	18 MAR - 24 MAR								
5	25 MAR - 31 MAR		⊙						
6	1 APR - 7 APR	⊙							
7	8 APR - 14 APR								
8	15 APR - 21 APR	⊙		⊙					* NOISE FACTOR
9	22 APR - 28 APR			⊙					
10	29 APR - 5 MAY			⊙*					* NOISE FACTOR
11	6 MAY - 12 MAY								
12	13 MAY - 19 MAY								
13	20 MAY - 26 MAY								
14	27 MAY - 2 JUN	⊙							
15	3 JUN - 9 JUN								• NON-RATED SESSION
16	10 JUN - 16 JUN	⊙							
17	17 JUN - 23 JUN		⊙						
18	24 JUN - 30 JUN		⊙	⊙					
19	1 JUL - 7 JUL	⊙*							* NOISE FACTOR
20	8 JUL - 14 JUL	⊙		⊙	⊙				* NOISE FACTOR
21	15 JUL - 21 JUL								Tdy - w/least TRAINING
22	22 JUL - 28 JUL								
23	29 JUL - 4 AUG	⊙							* NOISE FACTOR & PERSONAL PROBLEMS
24	5 AUG - 11 AUG								
25	12 AUG - 18 AUG								NOISE PROBLEM (WORK RELATED) PROBLEM
26	19 AUG - 25 AUG								
27	26 AUG - 1 SEP								
28	2 SEP - 8 SEP								NOISE PROBLEM
29	9 SEP - 15 SEP								
30	16 SEP - 22 SEP								QUEST CORIST TDY AND JOB-RELATED PROBLEMS
31	23 SEP - 29 SEP								
32	30 SEP - 6 OCT								
33	7 OCT - 13 OCT								* NOISE PROBLEM
34	14 OCT - 20 OCT								
35	21 OCT - 27 OCT								
36	28 OCT - 3 NOV								* NOISE BIG PROBLEM ⊙ NOV - NON-RATED SESSION ⊙ NOV - NON-RATED SESSION
37	4 NOV - 10 NOV								
38	11 NOV - 17 NOV								
39	18 NOV - 24 NOV								* NOISE PROBLEM

Target Identification	Date Assigned	Viewer	Interviewer	Analyst	No. of Sessions	IC Range	Target Cue or Beacon Type	Status			Remarks
								Past	Present	Future	
SG1A	10 Aug	ALL	ALL	N/A	11	1-3		X	X	Complete	TRAINING ONLY
	SEP	ALL	ALL	N/A	6	1-3		X		ON-GOING	TRAINING ONLY
	26 SEP	1,3,8	F	LR	4	3-5		X	X	ON-GOING/ANALYSIS	ITAC
	TBD									AWAITING GUIDANCE FROM SSD, 902 MIG	
	3 SEP	6,1	F/R	T	10	2-5		X	X	ON-GOING/ANALYSIS	AWAITING FURTHER GUIDANCE
	19 Nov	3,8	TBD	DW							AWAITING TARGET PACKAGE
	5 Oct	8	F	W	1	3		X		ON-GOING	COORDINATE WITH ITAC
	1 Nov	1,3,5,6,8	F,S,M	W,B	20	1-5		X	X	ON-GOING, MONITOR ON PERIODIC BASIS	COORDINATE WITH ITAC